Should children be vaccinated against COVID-19?
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ABSTRACT

Whether all children under 12 years of age should be vaccinated against COVID-19 remains an ongoing debate. The relatively low risk posed by acute COVID-19 in children, and uncertainty about the relative harms from vaccination and disease mean that the balance of risk and benefit of vaccination in this age group is more complex. One of the key arguments for vaccinating healthy children is to protect them from long-term consequences. Other considerations include population-level factors, such as reducing community transmission, vaccine supply, cost, and the avoidance of quarantine, school closures and other lockdown measures. The emergence of new variants of concern necessitates continual re-evaluation of the risks and benefits. In this review, we do not argue for or against vaccinating children against COVID-19 but rather outline the points to consider and highlight the complexity of policy decisions on COVID-19 vaccination in this age group.

INTRODUCTION

Whether all children should be offered vaccination against SARS-CoV-2 has been controversial in children aged 12–15 years old, and remains so for those under 12 years of age, partly because the balance of risk and benefit in this age group is more complex (see figure 1).

The risk of severe acute COVID-19 in healthy children infected with SARS-CoV-2 is much lower than in adults.1–10 Two longer term consequences of SARS-CoV-2 infection might therefore be more of a concern in this age group. The first is ‘paediatric inflammatory multisystem syndrome-temporally associated with SARS-CoV-2 (PIMS-TS)’, also known as ‘multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children’, an immune-mediated disease that occurs in a small proportion of children 2–6 weeks after being infected with SARS-CoV-2.11–20 The second is long COVID-19, the persistence of symptoms following SARS-CoV-2 infection, a heterogeneous group of conditions.21

Aside from potential long-term consequences, other considerations in deciding on COVID-19 vaccine policy for children include safety (both common reactions and rare serious side effects), population-level factors, such as reducing community transmission, vaccine supply, cost of vaccination, the avoidance of quarantine, school closures and other lockdown measures, and the potential impact on routine immunisation programmes.

In this review, we do not argue for or against vaccinating children against COVID-19 but rather outline the points to consider to highlight the complexity of policy decisions on COVID-19 vaccination in this age group.

Benefits and risks of vaccinating children against COVID-19

The main question for implementing any vaccine is ‘do the benefits of the vaccine in preventing the harms of the disease outweigh any known or potential risks associated with vaccination?’ To date, two COVID-19 vaccines have been shown to be effective in children aged 12–17 years, and have been authorised for emergency use and subsequently recommended for this age group in many countries.22–26 Both vaccines are currently being evaluated in children aged 6 months–12 years and it is likely that emergency authorisation will be sought in this age group soon. Nevertheless, COVID-19 vaccine trials in adolescents so far include less than 4000 participants and appropriately focus on efficacy, immunogenicity and rates of common reactions.27 A phase 2/3 trial in children 5–12 years of age recently reported that a messenger RNA (mRNA) vaccine was safe, well tolerated and induced robust neutralising antibodies.27 Results from the same trial in children under 5 years of age are expected by the
end of 2021. Rare adverse effects are difficult to detect with such sample sizes, and are often seen only after large-scale use.

Outside clinical trials, millions of adolescents between 12 and 18 years of age have been vaccinated, including 13 million in the USA. Arguments for and against vaccinating children against COVID-19 are summarised in Table 1.

### Potential benefits of vaccinating children

**Protection against COVID-19**

COVID-19 is generally a mild disease in children with less than 2% of symptomatic children requiring hospital admission.\(^1\) The rate of intensive care admission of hospitalised children ranges between 2% and 13%.\(^7\)\(^8\)\(^9\)\(^10\)\(^11\) Higher rates (10%–25%,\(^31\)\(^32\) up to 33% in some studies\(^33\)\(^34\)) are reported from the USA. However, these numbers often include children who are hospitalised with COVID-19 and not because of COVID-19, and therefore overestimate the severity. In children and adolescents, the risk of death from SARS-CoV-2 infection is 0.005%,\(^35\) and in those who are hospitalised with COVID-19 it is 0%–0.7%.\(^7\)\(^8\)\(^9\)\(^10\)\(^11\)\(^12\)\(^13\)\(^14\) However, again, these numbers often include children who died with a SARS-CoV-2 infection and not because of it (a recent population-based study showed that only 41% of child deaths reported from SARS-CoV-2 infections were from COVID-19).\(^35\) Therefore, the prevention of SARS-CoV-2 infection is not as strong an argument for vaccinating all healthy children as it is for adults. Nevertheless, this might change if new variants emerge which cause more severe disease in otherwise healthy children.

There are insufficient data to estimate the risk of myocarditis in children and adolescents with COVID-19, although one report from the USA suggested a risk of 876 cases per million.\(^36\) Another study reported an adjusted risk ratio for myocarditis from patients with COVID-19 compared with patients without COVID-19 of 36.8 in children less than 16 years of age and 7.4 in adolescents 16–24 years of age.\(^37\) A third study reported an 8.2-fold increase in myocarditis admissions during the pandemic, but no cases among the 1,371 children and adolescents less than 18 years of age.\(^38\) Information on the long-term outcome of myocarditis resulting from SARS-CoV-2 infection (e.g., progression to fibrosis) is currently lacking.

In the USA, with the emergence of the more transmissible Delta variant, a recent rise in infections in children has led to overcrowded hospital and intensive care units.\(^39\) For hospitalised children, intensive care admission and mortality rates are currently stable at 23% and 0.4%\(^39\)–1.8%,\(^30\) respectively. Of note, this has occurred in settings with low vaccine coverage in adults and suboptimal preventive measures in place. There are no reports indicating an increase in the severity of COVID-19 in children since the Delta variant has become dominant.

At this time, COVID-19 vaccines only have ‘emergency use authorisation’ in children between 12 and 16 years of age, which is for interventions that address a serious or life-threatening condition. It has been argued that, unless children are at high risk of severe COVID-19 because of an underlying condition, it is unclear whether the benefits to the individual outweigh the risks in this age group, and approval through the standard regulatory process should be awaited.\(^40\)

There are good reasons to consider offering vaccination to children and adolescents at higher risk of being hospitalised or becoming severely unwell from a SARS-CoV-2 infection, as, in their case, the risk of harm from vaccination is estimated to be lower than the risk of harm from COVID-19. This includes children with neurodisabilities, Down’s syndrome, immunodeficiencies, malignancies, some cardiac, respiratory and renal diseases, obesity and poorly controlled diabetes.\(^41\)

The low risk of hospitalisation and death from COVID-19 might not be a good argument against vaccinating against this disease as the risk is similar or even higher than that for other diseases for which vaccines are routinely given, such as varicella, rubella, hepatitis A and influenza.\(^42\)\(^43\) In addition, if a high proportion of children are infected, even a very low rate of severe illness might translate to a high absolute number of cases. Moreover, in low/middle-income countries (LMICs), the impact of COVID-19 in children may be greater due to comorbidities that impact immunity, including diarrhoea, dengue fever, tuberculosis, malnutrition, stunting and anaemia.\(^39\) Similarly, in high-income

---

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For</th>
<th>Against</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protection against COVID-19</td>
<td>COVID-19 is generally mild in children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection against severe COVID-19</td>
<td>Risk of adverse effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact of new variants uncertain</td>
<td>Long-term safety unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection against PIMS-TS</td>
<td>Efficacy against PIMS-TS unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection against long COVID</td>
<td>Efficacy against long COVID unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribution to reducing community transmission</td>
<td>Impact on transmission uncertain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoidance of isolation, quarantine, school closures and other indirect harms of lockdowns</td>
<td>Large proportion already immune</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faster return to pre-pandemic activity and economic stability</td>
<td>Limited vaccine supply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Impact on routine immunisations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cost</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1 Arguments for and against vaccinating children against COVID-19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benefits of vaccinating children</th>
<th>Arguments for COVID-19 vaccination</th>
<th>Arguments against COVID-19 vaccination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Protection against COVID-19</td>
<td>► Good justification if risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection is high</td>
<td>► Children are less likely to get infected after a SARS-CoV-2 exposure[7,111-114]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► Risk of harm from vaccination lower than risk of harm from COVID-19[91]</td>
<td>► Most children have asymptomatic or mild COVID-19[1-8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► Clear benefit for children with risk factors for severe COVID-19[48]</td>
<td>► A large proportion of children might already be immune to SARS-CoV-2 in many regions of the world</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► Risk of hospitalisation and death from COVID-19 is commensurate with or higher than other diseases in routine immunisation programme[82]</td>
<td>► Risk of COVID-19 in children might be less if large proportion of adult population is vaccinated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► Higher disease burden of COVID-19 in children in LMICs with comorbidities that impact immunity[31]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► Higher immunogenicity of mRNA vaccines in children might mean one or lower dose sufficient[25]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► Adolescents have a higher frequency of infection and disease burden than younger children</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► Risk of severe COVID-19 in healthy children might be higher with SARS-CoV-2 current or future variants of concern</td>
<td>► At-risk children could be protected by targeted rather than universal vaccination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Protection against PIMS-TS</td>
<td>► No long-term data on children with PIMS-TS; if sequelae are important, the risk–benefit of COVID-19 vaccination might change</td>
<td>► No data yet on whether vaccination prevents PIMS-TS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► Not well studied yet; could affect a large number of children</td>
<td>► Difficult to separate infection-associated from pandemic-associated symptoms[5,61,65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) Prevention of community transmission</td>
<td>► Children, even young children, can transmit SARS-CoV-2[175]</td>
<td>► No data yet on whether vaccination prevents transmission in children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► Prevention of transmission to other children and older age groups</td>
<td>► Transmission in educational settings is rare and index cases are often adults[8-41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► Prevention of transmission to high-risk household members</td>
<td>► Index cases in households much more likely to be a parent or adolescent[6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► Herd immunity likely infeasible without vaccinating children and adolescents</td>
<td>► Community transmission will decrease if sufficient adults are vaccinated[49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► Reduction in the risk of new VOC emerging</td>
<td>► Subjecting children to potential risk of vaccine adverse effects to drive indirect effects with little or no direct benefit might be ethically questionable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► Risk of transmission might be changing with emergence of new VOC (eg, Delta)</td>
<td>► Effect of vaccination on transmission might decrease with waning immunity and emergence of VOC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► Potential considerable indirect benefit (eg, schools remaining open) to children even if no direct benefit (see [6])</td>
<td>► Primary infection at young age when the disease is mild combined with boosting exposure from ongoing transmission at older age might be better strategy[80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► 'No one is safe until we are all safe'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) Avoidance of indirect harms, including quarantine, school closure and other harms of lockdowns</td>
<td>► Transmission in school can contribute to the circulation of SARS-CoV-2[7]</td>
<td>► Might not be sufficient to prevent school closures and lockdowns (especially if a large proportion of adults are not vaccinated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► Lockdowns and school closures have a major impact on physical and mental health of children[176]</td>
<td>► Might not be necessary to prevent school closures and lockdowns, especially if adult staff are all immunised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► Vaccinated children might be exempt from quarantine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>► COVID-19 vaccination might become a requirement for international travel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
countries, children from deprived and ethnic minority groups are more frequently infected with SARS-CoV-2, which might be due to a greater likelihood of living with unvaccinated adults or in multigenerational and overcrowded households. These children have also been reported to have more severe COVID-19 and to more frequently suffer from PIMS-TS.

**Protection against PIMS-TS**

The risk of PIMS-TS is low, affecting less than 0.1% of children with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Although up to 70% of children with PIMS-TS are admitted to intensive care units, almost all patients recover without sequelae. Between 79% and 100% of abnormal cardiac findings are reported to resolve within 14–30 days after hospital discharge. Six months after discharge, 96% of children have a normal echocardiogram, and renal, haematological, otolaryngological and neurological abnormalities have largely resolved. However, the long-term consequences of PIMS-TS remain uncertain and the death rate from PIMS-TS is estimated to be 1%–2%. There is no evidence to date on whether vaccination protects against PIMS-TS: although by protecting against SARS-CoV-2 infection it may well also protect against post-infectious sequelae; data are needed to confirm this. Since the pathogenesis of PIMS-TS remains unclear, there is also a theoretical risk that antibodies induced by COVID-19 vaccination could cause PIMS-TS, though there is no evidence of this to date.

**Protection against long COVID-19**

While vaccination prevents infection with SARS-CoV-2 to a degree and thus, presumably, persistent symptoms following the infection, more data are needed to determine accurately the incidence of long COVID-19 in children. Studies to date report a prevalence ranging from 1.2% to 66%. However, most of these studies have substantial limitations, including a lack of a clear case definition, the absence of a control group without infection, inclusion of children without laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection, follow-up at arbitrary time points and high non-responder bias. Of the five studies to date that have included controls, two did not find a difference in the prevalence of persistent symptoms between infected and uninfected children. This highlights the difficulty of separating COVID-19-related symptoms from those attributable to other factors associated with the pandemic, such as lockdowns and school closures. The three that did find a difference had significant limitations, including potential selection bias due to a high non-responder rate, that could lead to an overestimate of the risk of long COVID-19.

**Prevention of community transmission**

Another advantage of vaccinating children is helping decrease transmission and thus reducing severe cases in adults and the risk of new virus variants emerging. As well as reducing disease, COVID-19 vaccines also reduce infection. Initial studies reported that vaccinated individuals who become infected are
less likely to transmit the virus due to decreased viral load and duration of virus shedding.69 70 and as a consequence, transmission from vaccinated individual to household contacts is significantly lower 71 (by 50% in one study).69 However, more recent studies done since the Delta variant became dominant show similar viral loads in vaccinated and unvaccinated individuals.72–75

Children, including young children, can transmit SARS-CoV-2.76 Nonetheless, even though transmission in schools can contribute to the circulation of SARS-CoV-2,77 the rate of transmission in educational settings is low and index cases are often adults.78–81 The risk of infection in schools correlates strongly with local community infection rates, which can be reduced by vaccinating older age groups. Nevertheless, the risk of transmission in different age groups and settings might change with the emergence of new virus variants of concern. For the Delta variant, it has been suggested that infected fully vaccinated individuals are as likely to transmit SARS-CoV-2 as infected unvaccinated individuals, although for shorter duration.82 83 However, recent data from Australia reported a low risk of transmission in educational settings with protection measurements in place, even with the Delta variant (the transmission rate from adults to children was 8%, from children to adults 1.3% and from children to other children 1.8%).84

Earlier in the pandemic, it was reported that index cases in households were more likely to be a parent or adolescent than a young child.6 85–87 However, one study suggests that children and adolescents are more likely to infect others.88 Another study reported that household transmission was more common from children aged 0–3 years than from children aged 14–17 years.89 However, this might change with the Delta or other new variants. In a population with low numbers of vaccinated adults, infected children transmitted the Delta variant to 70% of households (in 57% of households all members became infected).90 Nevertheless, once a large proportion of the adult population is vaccinated, preventing transmission to them from unvaccinated children becomes less important. There is a stronger argument for vaccinating children and adolescents who live with immunosuppressed or other high-risk household members, not only for the protection of the latter but also to benefit the mental health of the former. Also, in LMICs children under 12 years of age form a larger proportion of the population and might therefore have a larger role in transmission.

Another consideration is that, once SARS-CoV-2 becomes endemic, primary SARS-CoV-2 infection in early childhood, when COVID-19 is mild, with subsequent boosting from ongoing exposure at older ages, may bring about population immunity, as seen with common circulating coronaviruses, more effectively than mass immunisation.90

Avoidance of indirect (population-level) harms
Vaccinating children and adolescents might help reduce the indirect harms caused by quarantine, lockdowns, repeat testing, school exclusion and closures, and other policies aimed at reducing community transmission, although the extent to which mass vaccination is necessary to achieve this remains unclear. Also, if the purpose of lockdowns and school closures is to protect adults, the incremental benefit of vaccinating children will be minimal once most adults are protected through vaccination. The possibility that vaccination might become a requirement for children for international travel is another consideration.

Potential risks of vaccinating children
Risk of adverse effects
As with any vaccine, there are potential rare adverse effects of COVID-19 vaccines. The development of myocarditis or pericarditis after mRNA vaccines has been a recent concern,91 92 particularly in male adolescents (studies reporting 6.3–6.7 cases per 100 000 second vaccine doses in males aged 12–17 years,91 93 and 15.1 cases per 100 000 second vaccine doses in males aged 16–19 years94). Another study reported an incidence of 10.7 cases per 100 000 persons in males aged 16–29 years.95 Of these patients, approximately 6% required intensive care admission.96 However, most recovered without sequelae (86% had resolution of symptoms after mean duration of 35 days).97 98 Importantly, even in this age group, recent reports suggest the risk of myocarditis associated with COVID-19 is higher (see above).

The risk of thrombosis after viral vector vaccines observed rarely in adults also needs to be considered. The thrombotic risk in children or adolescents is less99 and no cases have been reported to date in this age group. However, since the pathogenesis underlying thrombosis associated with COVID-19 vaccines is thought to differ from that for clots from other causes, such as stasis and the contraceptive pill, further data from children are necessary. As thrombotic events have either not been observed or appear to be very rare in Asia, Africa and Latin America, some countries are considering these vaccines as an option. The theoretical risk of COVID-19 vaccines triggering PIMS-TS has been raised but there are no reports of this to date.100

Long-term safety
The lack of long-term safety data is another consideration. Longer term follow-up of myocarditis cases is needed to exclude any possibility of myocardial fibrosis and associated dysfunction or arrhythmia risk. Two studies showed a high prevalence of late gadolinium enhancement in MRIs in patients suffering from post-vaccine myocarditis.97 101 Further studies are needed to establish whether this resolves or evolves into fibrosis. As discussed above, information on this risk is also needed for myocarditis resulting from SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Although the majority of adverse vaccine effects occur early after vaccination, any unforeseen adverse effects could undermine vaccine confidence and reduce vaccination rates against other diseases.102

Vaccine supply
The currently limited global COVID-19 vaccine supply is another factor to consider. To date, many LMICs have only been able to vaccinate less than 5% of their population despite the COVAX programme. At this time, available supplies might be better prioritised for vaccinating adults with a higher risk of severe COVID-19 and death, including healthcare workers.103 Another consideration is the higher immunogenicity of mRNA vaccines in children, meaning that one dose or a reduced dose might be sufficient to protect this age group.25 On the other hand, the infrastructure to upscale the production of COVID-19 vaccines already exists and strategies for boosting global supply have been outlined.104

Cost
Since the risks of intensive care admission or death in children are so low, the cost–benefit ratio of COVID-19 vaccination in children is higher. However, the emergence of new variants
might change this if these variants cause more frequent or more severe disease in children. Implementing a universal COVID-19 vaccine programme for these age groups runs the risk of causing further delays by using up existing delivery resources and personnel. This in turn may harm children by resulting in more cases of vaccine-preventable infections and diseases such as cervical cancer, meningitis, measles and pertussis. However, if COVID-19 vaccination is combined with the administration of other routine vaccines, this problem might be reduced.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

In summary, the case for vaccinating all healthy children against COVID-19 is more difficult than for adults as the balance of risks and benefits is more nuanced. If COVID-19 remains a generally mild disease in children and in vaccinated adults, it may not be necessary to vaccinate all children. In addition, it is important to consider different age groups separately; the balance of risk and benefit of vaccination is likely to differ between infants, young children and adolescents. Children under 5 years of age are likely to need separate consideration to those 5–11 years of age. Continued monitoring of disease severity across all age groups is crucial. If a variant of concern emerges with increased severity in children (as is, for example, the case for Middle East respiratory syndrome-coronavirus), this would alter the risk–benefit equation.

In LMICs, where the burden of COVID-19 is higher in the paediatric population as a result of comorbidities, there may be a lower threshold for vaccinating children. A one-dose schedule (as now recommended in the UK and Norway) or a reduced-dose vaccine might be an option for this age group; this might also reduce the risk of myocarditis with the second dose of mRNA vaccines. Although mass COVID-19 vaccination of all ages, including children under 12 years of age, may become the general approach globally in the future, it seems wise at present to weigh up the risks and benefits with caution and to proceed with care.
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