



OPEN ACCESS

Takeaway meal consumption and risk markers for coronary heart disease, type 2 diabetes and obesity in children aged 9–10 years: a cross-sectional study

Angela S Donin,¹ Claire M Nightingale,² Chris G Owen,¹ Alicja R Rudnicka,¹ Derek G Cook,² Peter H Whincup¹

► Additional material is published online only. To view please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/archdischild-2017-312981>).

¹Population Health Research Institute, St George's, University of London, London, UK
²Population Health Research Institute, St George's, University of London, London, UK

Correspondence to

Dr Angela S Donin, Population Health Research Institute, St George's, University of London, London SW17 0RE, UK; adonin@sgul.ac.uk

Received 7 March 2017

Revised 10 October 2017

Accepted 23 October 2017

ABSTRACT

Objective To investigate associations between takeaway meal consumption and risk markers for coronary heart disease, type 2 diabetes and obesity risk markers in children.

Design A cross-sectional, school-based observational study.

Setting 85 primary schools across London, Birmingham and Leicester.

Participants 1948 UK primary school children in year 5, aged 9–10 years.

Main outcome measures Children reported their frequency of takeaway meal consumption, completed a 24-hour dietary recall, had physical measurements and provided a fasting blood sample.

Results Among 1948 participants with complete data, 499 (26%) never/hardly ever consumed a takeaway meal, 894 (46%) did so <1/week and 555 (28%) did ≥1/week. In models adjusted for age, sex, month, school, ethnicity and socioeconomic status, more frequent takeaway meal consumption was associated with higher dietary intakes of energy, fat % energy and saturated fat % energy and higher energy density (all P trend <0.001) and lower starch, protein and micronutrient intakes (all P trend <0.05). A higher frequency of takeaway meal consumption was associated with higher serum total cholesterol and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol (P trend=0.04, 0.01, respectively); children eating a takeaway meal ≥1/week had total cholesterol and LDL cholesterol 0.09 mmol/L (95% CI 0.01 to 0.18) and 0.10 mmol/L (95% CI 0.02 to 0.18) higher respectively than children never/hardly ever eating a takeaway meal; their fat mass index was also higher.

Conclusions More frequent takeaway meal consumption in children was associated with unhealthy dietary nutrient intake patterns and potentially with adverse longer term consequences for obesity and coronary heart disease risk.

INTRODUCTION

Previous studies in adults have reported that increased consumption of takeaway food is associated with increased body fatness,^{1–3} insulin resistance,⁴ type 2 diabetes⁵ and coronary heart disease (CHD)⁶; an association between takeaway meal consumption and obesity has also been reported in adolescents.⁷ Both adults and children who regularly eat takeaway food have poorer diet quality, higher total fat intakes and lower intakes of fruit and vegetables.^{8–10}

What is already known?

- Observational evidence suggests that adults who regularly eat takeaway meals have poorer diet quality, increased adiposity, type 2 diabetes and coronary heart disease.
- In the UK, takeaway meal consumption is increasing. However, little is known about the associations between takeaway meal consumption and chronic disease risk markers in children.

What this study adds?

- Our study suggests that children who regularly eat takeaway meals have adverse lipid profiles, higher body fat and poorer diet quality.
- Efforts to reduce takeaway meal consumption in children could have both short-term and long-term health benefits.

In the UK, consumption of takeaway meals has increased. Between 1996 and 2006, the frequency of consumption has increased by more than a quarter, despite concerns about the high fat, sugar and salt content of many of these foods.¹¹ A recent study in the UK reported that more than half of 11–14 year olds reported purchasing food from fast food outlets twice or more a week.¹² The increasing consumption of takeaway meals is a particular concern in the context of high rates of childhood obesity¹³ and the early emergence of type 2 diabetes risks in children.¹⁴ However, the associations between frequency of takeaway meal consumption and risk markers for coronary heart disease and type 2 diabetes have been little studied in children.

We have therefore investigated the associations between frequency of takeaway meal consumption and risk markers for coronary heart disease, type 2 diabetes and obesity in a large multiethnic population of children. Using data from 24-hour dietary recalls, we have also examined the associations between reported frequency of takeaway meal consumption and nutrient intakes over a 24-hour period, and the nutrient content of the previous evening meal in relation to its source (prepared at home or obtained from a takeaway restaurant).



CrossMark

To cite: Donin AS, Nightingale CM, Owen CG, et al. *Arch Dis Child* Published Online First: [please include Day Month Year]. doi:10.1136/archdischild-2017-312981

Table 1 CHD and type 2 diabetes risk markers by frequency of takeaway meal consumption (1948 children)

	Frequency of takeaway meal consumption			Differences/ % differences* \geq 1week – never or hardly ever	P value (trend)
	Never, hardly ever (n=499)	<1 per week (n=894)	\geq 1 per week (n=555)		
	Mean (95% CI)	Mean (95% CI)	Mean (95% CI)		
Fat mass index (kg/m ⁵)*	2.01 (1.95 to 2.08)	2.10 (2.05 to 2.15)	2.11 (2.05 to 2.18)	5.06 (0.53 to 9.79)	0.03
Sum of skinfolds (mm)*	39.8 (38.1 to 41.6)	41.8 (40.4 to 43.2)	42.3 (40.5 to 44.1)	6.14 (0.05 to 12.60)	0.05
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	4.44 (4.37 to 4.50)	4.51 (4.46 to 4.56)	4.53 (4.47 to 4.59)	0.09 (0.00 to 0.18)	0.04
LDL cholesterol (mmol/L)	2.58 (2.52 to 2.64)	2.66 (2.61 to 2.70)	2.68 (2.62 to 2.73)	0.10 (0.02 to 0.18)	0.01
HDL cholesterol (mmol/L)	1.53 (1.50 to 1.56)	1.53 (1.51 to 1.56)	1.54 (1.51 to 1.57)	0.01 (–0.02 to 0.05)	0.47
Systolic BP (mm Hg)	104.5 (103.4 to 105.6)	104.6 (103.7 to 105.5)	103.4 (102.3 to 104.5)	–1.12 (–2.41 to 0.17)	0.08
Diastolic BP (mm Hg)	62.5 (61.6 to 63.4)	62.8 (62.1 to 63.6)	62.2 (61.3 to 63.1)	–0.27 (–1.40 to 0.87)	0.63
Insulin (mmol/L)*	7.17 (6.72 to 7.66)	7.28 (6.90 to 7.69)	7.31 (6.86 to 7.78)	1.84 (–5.48 to 9.72)	0.64
HbA1c (%)*	5.27 (5.24 to 5.30)	5.25 (5.23 to 5.27)	5.28 (5.25 to 5.31)	0.28 (–0.49 to 1.06)	0.45
Glucose (mmol/L)*	4.46 (4.43 to 4.49)	4.44 (4.42 to 4.47)	4.48 (4.45 to 4.51)	0.62 (–0.25 to 1.50)	0.15
Triglycerides (mmol/L)*	0.83 (0.80 to 0.86)	0.83 (0.81 to 0.85)	0.81 (0.78 to 0.84)	–2.60 (–6.99 to 2.00)	0.26

Adjusted means and 95% CI are adjusted for age (quartiles), sex, month, ethnic group, socioeconomic status and school (random effect). Missing values for: systolic and diastolic BP,³ insulin,⁸ HbA1c and¹⁹ glucose.¹⁴

*Geometric means are presented for variables that were log transformed prior to undertaking the analysis.

BP, blood pressure; CHD, coronary heart disease; HbA1c, haemoglobin A1c; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein.

METHODS

Participants

This investigation was based on the Child Heart And health Study in England (CHASE),¹⁵ which examined markers of cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes risk and their determinants in a multiethnic population of children aged 9–10 years. Parents or guardians provided informed written consent. Balanced numbers of children of South Asian, black African-Caribbean and white Europeans origin were invited to take part from a stratified random sample of 200 primary schools in London, Birmingham and Leicester. This investigation is based on the last 85 schools (visited between February 2006 and February 2007), in which detailed information on eating patterns and dietary nutrient intakes were collected (described in detail elsewhere¹⁶).

Dietary assessment

Participants were asked about their eating patterns, including a question 'how often do you have a meal from a takeaway restaurant?' with four response options—never or hardly ever, <1/week, 1/week and >1/week. The interviewer made it clear that this only included meals from takeaway outlets and not convenience stores or supermarkets and included foods such as 'burgers, fish and chips, Chinese, pizza and so on' and not just drinks or snacks such as 'crisps or fizzy drinks'. Because only a small number of children reported consuming a takeaway meal >1/week (n=129, 7% of the sample), the top two categories were combined for analysis. Dietary intake was assessed using a single, structured 24-hour recall including elements of the United States Department of Agriculture multiple pass method.¹⁷ Memory cues were used to aid recall, such as orientating the child on details of the previous day, and checking for any forgotten snacks or drinks that the child may have had through the day. Photographs of common foods were used to help the child estimate portion sizes. The children were also asked to report the source of each meal (eg, home, school, takeaway or 'eat in' restaurant). Energy and nutrient intakes were calculated by the Medical Research Council Human Nutrition Research centre (MRC-HNR) using an in-house food composition database.¹⁸ Energy density was calculated by dividing the reported total energy intake from food (kJ) by the total weight of food reported (g).¹⁹

Physical measurements and blood sampling

Participating children had measurements of height (using a portable stadiometer, CMS Instruments, London, UK), weight (Tanita, Tokyo, Japan), waist circumference, multiple skinfold thicknesses and bioelectrical impedance, measured with a Bodystat 1500 body composition analyser (Bodystat Ltd, Isle of Man, UK). Bioelectrical impedance was used as the principal marker of body fat as it provides valid assessments in this ethnically diverse population.²⁰ Fat-free mass was derived using validated equations, and fat mass index was calculated (kg/m⁵), which is independent of height.²¹ Seated blood pressure was measured twice in the right arm after a 5 min rest using an Omron 907 blood pressure recorder, with an appropriately sized cuff. Children provided fasting blood samples after an overnight fast for the measurement of all blood markers including total cholesterol and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol and triglycerides; low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol was obtained using the Fredrickson-Friedewald equation.²² Serum insulin was measured using an ELISA method,²³ plasma glucose was measured using the glucose oxidase method and haemoglobin A1c was measured in whole blood by ion exchange high-performance liquid chromatography. The homeostasis model equations were used to provide an estimate of insulin resistance.²⁴

Ethnicity and socioeconomic status

Parents provided questionnaire-based information on their occupation(s), self-defined ethnicity and the ethnicity of the participating child. Participating children provided information on parental occupation(s) and on the place of birth of parents and grandparents. Parental occupation was coded using the National Statistics-Socioeconomic Classification (NS-SEC). If both parents worked, the highest occupational group recorded provided the basis of classification. NS-SEC was categorised into the three class version (professional and managerial, intermediate occupations, and routine and manual) plus categories of 'economically inactive' (currently unemployed or looking after the home) and 'not classified' (where the occupation was not stated). Ethnicity was defined using parental self-defined ethnicity for both parents, or using parentally defined child ethnicity. In a small number of

Table 2 The nutrient composition of diets by frequency of takeaway meal consumption in 1948 children

	Frequency of takeaway meal consumption			Differences/ % differences* ≥ 1 week – never or hardly ever	P value (trend)
	Never, hardly ever (n=499)	<1 per week (n=894)	≥ 1 per week (n=555)		
	Mean (95% CI)	Mean (95% CI)	Mean (95% CI)		
Total energy (kcal)	1723 (1678 to 1767)	1850 (1816 to 1885)	1950 (1908 to 1993)	228 (169 to 286)	<0.0001
Energy density	6.7 (6.6 to 6.9)	7.0 (6.9 to 7.1)	7.3 (7.1 to 7.4)	0.5 (0.3 to 0.7)	<0.0001
Fat % energy	33.5 (32.9 to 34.0)	34.4 (34.0 to 34.8)	34.8 (34.3 to 35.4)	1.4 (0.6 to 2.2)	<0.001
Saturated fat % energy	12.2 (11.9 to 12.5)	12.7 (12.4 to 12.9)	13.1 (12.8 to 13.4)	0.9 (0.5 to 1.3)	<0.0001
Monounsaturated fat % energy	11.0 (10.7 to 11.3)	11.5 (11.2 to 11.7)	11.7 (11.4 to 11.9)	0.6 (0.3 to 1.0)	<0.001
Polyunsaturated fat % energy	6.6 (6.3 to 6.9)	6.6 (6.4 to 6.8)	6.4 (6.1 to 6.7)	-0.2 (-0.6 to 0.2)	0.27
Carbohydrates % energy	52.6 (52.0 to 53.2)	52.0 (51.5 to 52.4)	51.8 (51.2 to 52.4)	-0.8 (-1.6 to 0.0)	0.06
Sugars % energy	22.3 (21.6 to 22.9)	22.6 (22.1 to 23.1)	22.6 (21.9 to 23.2)	0.3 (-0.5 to 1.1)	0.48
Starch % energy	29.8 (29.2 to 30.4)	28.9 (28.4 to 29.4)	28.7 (28.1 to 29.3)	-1.1 (-1.8, to 0.4)	0.004
Non-starch polysaccharides (g)	11.6 (11.1 to 12.0)	11.9 (11.5 to 12.3)	12.0 (11.5 to 12.4)	0.4 (-0.2 to 0.9)	0.20
Protein % energy	13.6 (13.3 to 13.9)	13.3 (13.1 to 13.6)	13.0 (12.7 to 13.3)	-0.6 (-1.0, to 0.2)	0.003
Vitamin B ₁₂ (μ g)*	3.0 (2.8 to 3.3)	2.9 (2.8 to 3.1)	2.9 (2.7 to 3.1)	8.2 (-1.3 to 18.7)	0.22
Vitamin C (mg)*	83.7 (77.8 to 90.1)	82.6 (77.9 to 87.5)	76.2 (71.0 to 81.8)	-0.6 (-9.6 to 9.4)	0.05
Iron (mg)*	8.9 (8.7 to 9.2)	8.9 (8.7 to 9.1)	8.6 (8.4 to 8.9)	7.3 (2.7 to 12.0)	0.09
Calcium (mg)*	748 (722 to 776)	719 (698 to 741)	681 (657 to 705)	2.4 (-2.9 to 8.1)	<0.0001
Folate (μ g)*	207 (199 to 215)	196 (191 to 203)	195 (188 to 203)	3.2 (-1.9 to 8.6)	0.02

Means and 95% CIs are adjusted for age (quartiles), sex, month, ethnic group, socioeconomic status and school (random effect), micronutrients are also adjusted for total energy intake.

*Geometric means are presented for variables that were log transformed prior to undertaking the analysis.

participants where this information was not available (1.4%), child-defined place of origin of parents and grandparents was used to define ethnicity. The 'other' ethnic group includes all other categories of individual and mixed ethnic origins.

Statistical methods

Statistical analyses were carried out using STATA/SE software (STATA/SE 12 for Windows, StataCorp LP, College Station, Texas, USA). Multilevel linear regression models were used to provide adjusted means by takeaway frequency groups for risk markers and dietary intake, using XTMIXED and LINCOM commands. All analyses were adjusted for sex, age in quartiles, ethnic group, socioeconomic status and month as fixed effects; school was fitted as a random effect to allow for the clustering of children within schools. In further analyses in which the influence of dietary saturated fat intake on differences in blood lipids by takeaway meal frequency was examined, classical measurement models (CME command) were used to allow for possible measurement error in dietary intake based on reassessment of dietary nutrient intakes in 86 study participants within a 1-year period of their original assessment.

RESULTS

Among 3679 children invited, 2529 (69% response rate) took part in the present study; participation rates were similar in all ethnic groups except the black African Caribbeans (66%). Among these 2529 children, analyses are based on 1948 children who provided full questionnaire data and had complete fasting blood samples; their mean age was 10.0 years (95% reference range 9.3 to 10.6 years), 1023 (52%) were girls. They included similar numbers of children of white European, black African-Caribbean, South Asian and other ethnic origins (n=475, 496, 495 and 482, respectively). The distribution of parental socioeconomic position included 26% in managerial/professional, 25% in intermediate and 29% in routine/manual occupations, with 16% economically inactive and 4% unclassified. The characteristics of

participants eligible for inclusion in these analyses did not differ appreciably from those not included.

The frequencies of reported takeaway meal consumption are presented in the online supplementary table 1 by sex, ethnic group and socioeconomic status. Overall, 499 children (26%) reported never or hardly ever eating a takeaway meal, while 894 (46%) reported consuming a takeaway meal <1/week and 555 (28%) reported doing so ≥ 1 /week. Boys reported consuming takeaway meals more often than girls (P=0.001) and children from lower socioeconomic groups also consumed takeaway meals more frequently than those from higher socioeconomic groups (P=0.008); there were no marked ethnic differences in frequency of takeaway meal consumption.

Table 1 presents the means and 95% CIs of risk markers for CHD and type 2 diabetes disease by frequency of takeaway meal consumption, adjusted for age (quartiles), sex, month, ethnic group, socioeconomic status and school (random effect), with formal tests for trend across the takeaway frequency groups. Fat mass index, sum of skinfolds, total cholesterol and LDL cholesterol all tended to be higher in children with a higher frequency of takeaway meals (P trend=0.03, 0.05, 0.04 and 0.01, respectively). Among children who reported consuming a takeaway meal ≥ 1 /week, total cholesterol was 0.09 mmol/L (95% CI 0.01 to 0.18) higher and LDL cholesterol 0.10 mmol/L (95% CI 0.02 to 0.18) higher than in children who never or hardly ever consumed takeaway meals. There were no differences in insulin resistance, blood pressure or any of the other risk markers by frequency of takeaway meal consumption. The differences in total cholesterol and LDL cholesterol were similar in different ethnic groups (tests for interaction all P >0.05) and were little affected by additional adjustment for fat mass index, remaining statistically significant (data not presented).

Associations between frequency of takeaway meal consumption and dietary nutrient intakes, estimated using the 24-hour recall data, were investigated (table 2). Higher reported takeaway meal frequency was associated with higher mean intakes

Table 3 Nutrient composition of the 24-hour recall-based evening meal by source in 1793 children who provided information on evening meal source

Nutrient composition of evening meal	Source of evening meal		P value (no difference)
	Home (n=1637)	Takeaway restaurant (n=156)	
	Mean (95% CI)	Mean (95% CI)	
Total energy (kcal)	527 (510 to 543)	686 (643 to 728)	<0.0001
Energy density	4.3 (4.2 to 4.4)	5.8 (5.4 to 6.2)	<0.0001
Fat % energy	33.3 (32.7 to 34.0)	39.7 (37.6 to 41.8)	<0.0001
Saturated fat % energy	11.3 (10.9 to 11.6)	15.6 (14.5 to 16.6)	<0.0001
Monounsaturated fat % energy	11.4 (11.1 to 11.7)	13.1 (12.2 to 14.0)	0.0003
Polyunsaturated fat % energy	6.8 (6.5 to 7.0)	5.6 (4.8 to 6.4)	0.005
Carbohydrates % energy	50.6 (49.8 to 51.3)	46.1 (43.8 to 48.5)	0.0004
Sugars % energy	30.5 (29.7 to 31.4)	27.4 (25.2 to 29.7)	0.01
Starch % energy	19.6 (18.6 to 20.6)	18.0 (15.4 to 20.7)	0.25
Non-starch polysaccharides (g)	3.7 (3.5 to 3.9)	3.8 (3.3 to 4.3)	0.74
Protein % energy	16.1 (15.6 to 16.5)	13.8 (12.6 to 15.0)	0.0003
Vitamin B ₁₂ (µg)*	1.0 (1.0 to 1.1)	1.2 (1.0 to 1.4)	0.15
Vitamin C (mg)*	16.6 (15.6 to 17.7)	13.7 (11.2 to 16.8)	0.08
Iron (mg)*	2.1 (2.0 to 2.1)	2.3 (2.0 to 2.6)	0.10
Folate (µg)*	40.2 (38.6 to 41.8)	47.7 (42.0 to 54.2)	0.01
Calcium (mg)*	123.9 (117.5 to 130.5)	124.4 (107.6 to 143.7)	0.96

Adjusted means and 95% CIs are adjusted for age (quartiles), sex, month, ethnic group, socioeconomic status and school (random effect).

*Geometric means are presented for variables that were log transformed prior to undertaking the analysis.

for total energy, energy density, total fat, saturated fat and monounsaturated fat (as percentages of energy) increased (all $P < 0.0001$), while intakes of starch and protein (also expressed as percentages of energy) were lower. Higher reported takeaway meal frequency was also associated with lower intakes of vitamin C, iron, calcium and folate. These associations were not materially affected by the exclusion of 180 children who reported having a takeaway evening meal in their 24-hour recall (data not presented). Finally, the nutrient contents of the evening meal included in the 24-hour dietary recall were compared, according to whether meals were prepared at home or obtained from a takeaway restaurant (table 3). The evening meals obtained from takeaway restaurants were more energy dense, with higher energy content and higher total and saturated fat content than meals prepared at home.

In further analyses examining whether the higher total cholesterol and LDL cholesterol levels observed in the children who consumed a takeaway meal ≥ 1 a week could be accounted for by their higher intake of saturated fat % energy, and taking account of imprecision in saturated fat intakes using classical error models, the differences in total and LDL cholesterol were reduced by $\sim 20\%$ and $\sim 13\%$, respectively; the difference in total cholesterol became statistically non-significant. The differences in total cholesterol and LDL cholesterol were also slightly reduced once total energy intakes were included in the models. Sensitivity analysis found similar patterns of association in boys and girls and no further changes after additional adjustment for pubertal status.

DISCUSSION

In this study of UK primary school children of diverse ethnic origins, children who regularly consumed takeaway meals had higher circulating total cholesterol and LDL cholesterol concentrations and higher levels of adiposity than children who did not; they also had more energy-dense diets with higher intakes of total energy, fat and saturated fat and lower micro-nutrient intakes (including vitamin C and folate). In an analysis

comparing nutrient intakes in the previous evening meal in relation to its source, meals from a takeaway restaurant were more energy dense and had much higher fat and saturated fat contents than meals prepared at home.

These results are consistent with previous studies which have shown that children who regularly eat takeaway food have higher energy, fat and saturated fat intakes,^{25 26} and consume less fruit and vegetables.^{9 10 27} The significantly lower starch, protein and calcium intakes in this group may also be adversely affecting the health of these children, particularly if these dietary patterns are sustained. To our knowledge, no previous study has reported on the associations between frequency of takeaway meal consumption and risk markers for CHD and type 2 diabetes in children. Our findings are consistent with previous reports in young adults and adolescents in the USA and UK showing that frequent fast food consumption was associated with higher levels of body fatness.^{7 28} However, in contrast with previous reports in young American and Australian adults, we did not find evidence that frequent fast food consumption was associated with evidence of insulin resistance and hyperglycaemia.^{4 29} We did however observe associations between frequent takeaway meal consumption and higher total and LDL cholesterol, which were consistent with our own observations and a previous report²⁵ showing associations between fast food consumption and high saturated fat intake. Moreover, in the light of the well-documented association between LDL cholesterol and CHD risk,³⁰ our findings are also consistent with evidence that greater consumption of takeaway meals is associated with higher CHD risk.⁶

The analyses presented in this paper related frequency of self-reported takeaway meal consumption to a wide range of CHD and type 2 diabetes risk factors, in a large ethnically diverse population. By including a measure of socioeconomic status based on parental occupation in our models, we were able to take an important potential confounding factor into account. Although we were not able to examine the validity of self-reported takeaway meal consumption directly, this is supported by the expected gender and socioeconomic patterns^{27 31} and

the expected absence of appreciable ethnic differences.¹² The validity of the dietary data, based on the multiple pass 24-hour recall method, has been supported by previous analyses.³² The cross-sectional nature of the current study makes it a particularly appropriate design for investigating short-term associations between diet and blood-based risk markers,³³ although it remains difficult to establish the direction of causality and to interpret differences in overall nutrient intakes between the different takeaway meal frequency groups and the underlying mechanisms. Further research is needed to establish the extent of causality between high consumption of takeaway meals and CHD risk markers in children.

The higher total cholesterol and LDL cholesterol concentrations observed in the frequent takeaway meal group, if sustained, are sufficiently large to increase long-term CHD risk by ~10%.³⁰ However, the estimates of the excess saturated fat intake associated with consumption of one takeaway meal per week (table 3) would be insufficient to account for more than about one-third of the observed overall difference in saturated fat intake (table 2) and more than about one-seventh of the difference in LDL cholesterol between the highest and lowest takeaway intake groups (table 1). Although this could reflect systematic under-reporting of takeaway frequency in the highest frequency group, a more likely explanation is that these groups have markedly different dietary nutrient intakes in general, with particularly adverse dietary patterns (including high energy and high saturated fat intake) in the highest takeaway meal frequency group. The direction of causality in this association remains unclear, however there is evidence that the regular consumption of takeaway foods affects taste preferences, particularly for high fat, energy-dense foods which influence overall dietary nutrient patterns.^{34,35} These findings highlight the need for further investigation (particularly prospective studies) of the associations between takeaway meals, dietary patterns and risk markers for chronic disease both in children and also in adolescents, who have much higher takeaway consumption rates.¹² This issue is particularly important in the context of the rising prevalence of takeaway meal outlets in many neighbourhoods (increasing more rapidly in more deprived areas³⁶), which is itself an important determinant of increased takeaway meal consumption.¹ Technological advances have also meant that it is now much easier to order and have delivered take away foods, resulting in an increase in consumption and although healthier takeaway foods are becoming available, this may well be strongly socially patterned.

These results suggest that further increases in takeaway meal consumption (and marketing directed at encouraging such increases) are likely to have adverse public health consequences and should be actively discouraged. The government should be considering health protection initiatives to reverse the current trends in takeaway meal consumption, in the context of broader efforts to improve childhood diet and nutrition in home and school settings.

Acknowledgements The authors are grateful to the CHASE Study Research Team and to the schools, parents and children who participated in the CHASE study. They would also like to thank the dietary assessment team at the Medical Research Council-Human Nutrition Research department (MRC-HNR), particularly Sarah-Jane Flaherty and Jonathan Last.

Contributors PHW, DGC and CGO: conceived and designed the CHASE study. ASD: collected the dietary data, performed the analyses with input and advice from CMN, DGC, ARR and PHW and drafted the first version of the manuscript. All authors: contributed to the development of the manuscript and approved the final draft. ASD and PHW: are guarantors.

Funding ASD was supported by the National Institute of Health Research (NIHR) Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care, South London and by Diabetes UK (BDA 11/0004317). Data collection in the CHASE Study was supported by grants from the Wellcome Trust (068362/Z/02/Z) and the UK Medical Research Council National Prevention Research Initiative (NPRI) (G0501295). The Funding Partners for this NPRI award were: British Heart Foundation; Cancer Research UK; Department of Health; Diabetes UK; Economic and Social Research Council; Medical Research Council; Research and Development Office for the Northern Ireland Health and Social Services; Chief Scientist Office, Scottish Executive Health Department; and Welsh Assembly Government.

Disclaimer ASD and PHW affirms that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study being reported; that no important aspects of the study have been omitted; and that any discrepancies from the study as planned (and, if relevant, registered) have been explained. The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the National Health Service, the NIHR or the Department of Health.

Competing interests None declared.

Ethics approval Medical Research Ethics Committee.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement Data can be made available upon request to the authors.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt and build upon this work, for commercial use, provided the original work is properly cited. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

© Article author(s) (or their employer(s) unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2017. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.

REFERENCES

- Burgoine T, Frouhi NG, Griffin SJ, *et al.* Associations between exposure to takeaway food outlets, takeaway food consumption, and body weight in Cambridgeshire, UK: population based, cross sectional study. *BMJ* 2014;348:g1464.
- Nago ES, Lachat CK, Dossa RA, *et al.* Association of out-of-home eating with anthropometric changes: a systematic review of prospective studies. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 2014;54:1103–16.
- Viner RM, Cole TJ. Who changes body mass between adolescence and adulthood? Factors predicting change in BMI between 16 year and 30 years in the 1970 British Birth Cohort. *Int J Obes* 2006;30:1368–74.
- Smith KJ, Blizzard L, McNaughton SA, *et al.* Takeaway food consumption and cardiometabolic risk factors in young adults. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2012;66:577–84.
- Pereira MA, Kartashov AI, Ebbeling CB, *et al.* Fast-food habits, weight gain, and insulin resistance (the CARDIA study): 15-year prospective analysis. *Lancet* 2005;365:36–42.
- Odegaard AO, Koh WP, Yuan JM, *et al.* Western-style fast food intake and cardiometabolic risk in an Eastern country. *Circulation* 2012;126:182–8.
- Fraser LK, Edwards KL, Cade JE, *et al.* Fast food, other food choices and body mass index in teenagers in the United Kingdom (ALSPAC): a structural equation modelling approach. *Int J Obes* 2011;35:1325–30.
- Smith KJ, McNaughton SA, Gall SL, *et al.* Takeaway food consumption and its associations with diet quality and abdominal obesity: a cross-sectional study of young adults. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2009;6:29.
- de Jong E, Visscher TL, HiraSing RA, *et al.* Home environmental determinants of children's fruit and vegetable consumption across different SES backgrounds. *Pediatr Obes* 2015;10:134–40.
- Mak TN, Prynne CJ, Cole D, *et al.* Patterns of sociodemographic and food practice characteristics in relation to fruit and vegetable consumption in children: results from the U.K. National Diet and Nutrition Survey Rolling Programme (2008–2010). *Public Health Nutr* 2013;16:1912–23.
- The strategy Unit Cabinet Office. Food: an analysis of the issues. 2008. http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/+http://www.cabinetoffice.gov.uk/media/cabinetoffice/strategy/assets/food/food_analysis.pdf (accessed 01 Dec 2014).
- Patterson R, Risby A, Chan MY. Consumption of takeaway and fast food in a deprived inner London borough: are they associated with childhood obesity? *BMJ Open* 2012;2:e000402.
- National Obesity Forum. State of the Nation's waistline: obesity in the UK analysis and expectations. 2014. <http://www.nationalobesityforum.org.uk/media/PDFs/StateOfTheNationsWaistlineObesityintheUKAnalysisandExpectations.pdf> (accessed 01 Dec 2014).
- Haines L, Wan KC, Lynn R, *et al.* Rising incidence of type 2 diabetes in children in the U.K. *Diabetes Care* 2007;30:1097–101.
- Whincup PH, Nightingale CM, Owen CG, *et al.* Early emergence of ethnic differences in type 2 diabetes precursors in the UK: the Child Heart and Health Study in England (CHASE study). *PLoS Med* 2010;7:e1000263.

- 16 Donin AS, Nightingale CM, Owen CG, *et al.* Nutritional composition of the diets of South Asian, black African-Caribbean and white European children in the United Kingdom: the Child Heart and Health Study in England (CHASE). *Br J Nutr* 2010;104:276–85.
- 17 Conway JM, Ingwersen LA, Moshfegh AJ. Accuracy of dietary recall using the USDA five-step multiple-pass method in men: an observational validation study. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2004;104:595–603.
- 18 Fitt E, Cole D, Ziauddeen N, *et al.* DINO (Diet In Nutrients Out) - an integrated dietary assessment system. *Public Health Nutr* 2015;18:234–41.
- 19 Johnson L, Wilks DC, Lindroos AK, *et al.* Reflections from a systematic review of dietary energy density and weight gain: is the inclusion of drinks valid? *Obes Rev* 2009;10:681–92.
- 20 Nightingale CM, Rudnicka AR, Owen CG, *et al.* Are ethnic and gender specific equations needed to derive fat free mass from bioelectrical impedance in children of South Asian, black African-Caribbean and white European origin? Results of the assessment of body composition in children study. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e76426.
- 21 Nightingale CM, Rudnicka AR, Owen CG, *et al.* Patterns of body size and adiposity among UK children of South Asian, black African-Caribbean and white European origin: Child Heart And health Study in England (CHASE Study). *Int J Epidemiol* 2011;40:33–44.
- 22 Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. *Clin Chem* 1972;18:499–502.
- 23 Andersen L, Dinesen B, Jørgensen PN, *et al.* Enzyme immunoassay for intact human insulin in serum or plasma. *Clin Chem* 1993;39:578–82.
- 24 Levy JC, Matthews DR, Hermans MP. Correct homeostasis model assessment (HOMA) evaluation uses the computer program. *Diabetes Care* 1998;21:2191–2.
- 25 Paeratakul S, Ferdinand DP, Champagne CM, *et al.* Fast-food consumption among US adults and children: dietary and nutrient intake profile. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2003;103:1332–8.
- 26 French SA, Story M, Neumark-Sztainer D, *et al.* Fast food restaurant use among adolescents: associations with nutrient intake, food choices and behavioral and psychosocial variables. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2001;25:1823–33.
- 27 Fraser LK, Edwards KL, Cade J, *et al.* The geography of fast food outlets: a review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2010;7:2290–308.
- 28 Duffey KJ, Gordon-Larsen P, Jacobs DR, *et al.* Differential associations of fast food and restaurant food consumption with 3-y change in body mass index: the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults Study. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2007;85:201–8.
- 29 Duffey KJ, Gordon-Larsen P, Steffen LM, *et al.* Regular consumption from fast food establishments relative to other restaurants is differentially associated with metabolic outcomes in young adults. *J Nutr* 2009;139:2113–8.
- 30 Lewington S, Whitlock G, Clarke R, *et al.* Blood cholesterol and vascular mortality by age, sex, and blood pressure: a meta-analysis of individual data from 61 prospective studies with 55,000 vascular deaths. *Lancet* 2007;370:1829–39.
- 31 Miura K, Turrell G. Reported consumption of takeaway food and its contribution to socioeconomic inequalities in body mass index. *Appetite* 2014;74:116–24.
- 32 Donin AS, Nightingale CM, Owen CG, *et al.* Ethnic differences in blood lipids and dietary intake between UK children of black African, black Caribbean, South Asian, and white European origin: the Child Heart and Health Study in England (CHASE). *Am J Clin Nutr* 2010;92:776–83.
- 33 Donin AS, Nightingale CM, Owen CG, *et al.* Dietary energy intake is associated with type 2 diabetes risk markers in children. *Diabetes Care* 2014;37:116–23.
- 34 Lanfer A, Knof K, Barba G, *et al.* Taste preferences in association with dietary habits and weight status in European children: results from the IDEFICS study. *Int J Obes* 2012;36:27–34.
- 35 Cornwell TB, McAlister AR. Alternative thinking about starting points of obesity. Development of child taste preferences. *Appetite* 2011;56:428–39.
- 36 Maguire ER, Burgoine T, Monsivais P. Area deprivation and the food environment over time: A repeated cross-sectional study on takeaway outlet density and supermarket presence in Norfolk, UK, 1990–2008. *Health Place* 2015;33:142–7.