Epidemiology of pyridoxine-dependent seizures in The Netherlands
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Abstract

Introduction: Pyridoxine-dependent epilepsy is a rare cause of seizures in childhood. The diagnosis is made upon clinical criteria, that in many cases are never met. Therefore, epidemiologic data on pyridoxine dependency are scarce.

Objectives: To study the epidemiology of pyridoxine dependent epilepsy in The Netherlands, and to determine whether the diagnosis is based upon the appropriate criteria.

Methods: Nationwide all departments of paediatrics (n=113) and of paediatric or neonatal neurology (n=17) were asked to report cases of pyridoxine-dependent seizures. Birth incidences were calculated using national data on live births from 1991 to 2003.

Results: Response was received from 67% of paediatric departments, including all university hospitals and 94% of child neurology departments. Thirteen patients were reported. Four definite (31%), three probable (23%), and four possible cases (31%) were identified. Two cases (15%) did not meet criteria for either of these groups. The birth incidence was 1:396 000 for definite and probable cases and 1:252 000 when possible cases are included.

Conclusions: Thus far, epidemiologic data on pyridoxine dependent seizures were only available from the UK and Ireland. A higher incidence was found in The Netherlands, in accordance to earlier suggestions of a regional difference. The study shows that the diagnosis is often made without performance of a formal trial of withdrawal. We want to underline the importance of confirming the diagnosis, concerning the consequences as for individual prognosis, the potential side effects of prolonged pyridoxine substitution, and the possibility of treating the mother in case of future pregnancies.
Introduction

Pyridoxine-dependent epilepsy is a rare autosomal recessive disorder with a classic presentation of onset of seizures in the first days of life that are intractable to conventional anti-epileptics.[1][2] In pyridoxine dependency, seizures will generally cease several minutes after parenteral administration of pyridoxine (vitamin B6). The diagnosis is established when convulsions recur after withdrawal of pyridoxine (within days to weeks) and cease again after a second trial of pyridoxine.[2] In general, the patient will be free of seizures after institution of pyridoxine maintenance monotherapy. Atypical forms include those with seizures only partly responsive to pyridoxine, referred to as pyridoxine-responsive seizures, and those with late onset of seizures.[2]

Few reports have been made of epidemiological data concerning pyridoxine dependency. A regional study in the United Kingdom (UK) published in 1996 by Baxter, reported a point prevalence of definite cases of 1:100 000.[3] When the study was extended to the UK and Ireland in 1999, a point prevalence of definite and probable cases of 1:687 000 and a birth incidence of 1:783 000 were found.[4] These and other observations support the presence of a regional variation of the incidence of pyridoxine-dependent seizures.[3][4][5]

It has been suggested that the incidence as reported by Baxter in 1999 is probably an underestimation of the true prevalence.[4][5][6] Concerning the low prevalence of pyridoxine-dependent seizures, patients are likely to be underdiagnosed. Moreover, in many cases a formal trial of pyridoxine withdrawal, required for establishment of the diagnosis, is never performed. The need for additional demographic studies of pyridoxine-dependent seizures has been recognised,[5] yet up to date none have been performed.

The aims of this study were 1) to study the epidemiology of pyridoxine-dependent seizures in The Netherlands (total population January 1st 2004: 16 258 000); and 2) to determine whether the diagnosis is based upon the appropriate clinical criteria.

Methods

A questionnaire by letter was sent to all heads of paediatric departments in The Netherlands, whom were asked to report any case of pyridoxine-dependent seizures born between 1980 and 2003. Likewise, all paediatric neurologists and neonatologists of the neonatal neurology working group were individually approached to report cases. Respondents were asked to fill out a questionnaire. The referred data were reported and stored anonymously, for which no ethical approval is necessary in The Netherlands. The criteria of definite, probable, and possible cases of pyridoxine-dependent seizures were applied as published by Baxter in 1999.[4] Birth incidences were calculated over the period of January 1991 to December 2003, using the total number of life births in the Netherlands during this period as adapted from http://statline.cbs.nl.

Results

Response was obtained from 76 of 113 paediatric departments (67%), including all university hospitals (n=8) and from 16 of 17 departments of paediatric and/or neonatal neurology (94%).
Eighteen notifications of known pyridoxine-dependent cases were received, including five duplicate reports. Each patient was at least reported by one academic specialist or child neurologist. In addition, one patient from our personal experience was included (patient 10 in Table 1).
Table 1: Characteristics of definite, probable, and possible pyridoxine-dependent patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Birth year</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Other major diagnoses or complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>X F</td>
<td>delayed initially but currently normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>delayed; attends special school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (7)</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>X F</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (6)</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>mildly affected motor skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (1)</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>X F</td>
<td>psychomotor delay; attends special school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (4)</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>X F</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 (3)</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>X M</td>
<td>lost to follow-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>X M</td>
<td>delayed hydrocephalus, cerebral palsy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>psychomotor delay; poor language skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>X F</td>
<td>psychomotor delay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>X M</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

('number') = patient number of sibling; PPHN = persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn.
Of all patients reported only one was born before 1991. Also, this was one of two patients of whom only sparse clinical data were retrieved. Many respondents mentioned difficulty reporting patients or retrieving clinical data from several years ago. Therefore, we decided to limit the period down to patients born between January 1991 and December 2003, as mentioned previously. Thus, 13 patients were included in total, one of whom has previously been reported (patient 3). The total number of live births during this period was 2 771 397.

Four patients (31%) met the criteria for definite pyridoxine-dependent seizures. Three probable cases (23%) and four possible cases (31%) were defined. The birth incidence of definite and probable cases was 1:396 000. When possible cases are included, the birth incidence was 1:252 000.

Two patients (15%) did not meet the criteria for either definite, probable, or possible pyridoxine dependency. One patient had seizures responsive to pyridoxine and was initially diagnosed with pyridoxine dependency after a trial of withdrawal had been carried out. Yet one week after the trial, seizures recurred and became unresponsive to pyridoxine. At age 5 months, folinic acid was added to his treatment regimen. Analysis of the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) showed the presence of the typical marker for folinic acid-responsive seizures. Yet despite extensive anti-epileptic therapy including pyridoxine and folinic acid, the patient still is not fully seizure-free.

The second patient had seizures directly after birth that responded clinically, but not electrophysiologically to pyridoxine. A trial of withdrawal was never performed, and she had never been seizure-free on pyridoxine monotherapy. Interestingly, intrauterine seizures had been present in this patient, while in contrast only one definite case was reported to have had intrauterine seizures.

The characteristics of the reported patients are summarised in Table 1. Two probable cases each had a sibling with definite pyridoxine dependency (patients 6 and 7). Also, two possible cases were sisters (patients 1 and 5). Only few clinical data on patient 6 were available. Nevertheless, this patient was included since she was a sister of patient 4. She had seizures responding to pyridoxine and has been seizure-free on pyridoxine, however no trial of withdrawal has been performed. Reports of gender and race of the patients and consanguinity of the parents were incomplete.

Signs of fetal distress, e.g. meconium stained amniotic fluid or abnormal cardiotocography, had been present in two definite and two possible cases (patients 3 and 10, 5 and 8 respectively). Patient 5 experienced an episode of persistent pulmonary hypertension and suffered perinatal asphyxia with signs of cerebral ischemia on ultrasonography. Convulsions in this patient were initially ascribed to hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy. Yet, since her sister had previously experienced neonatal seizures responsive to pyridoxine, pyridoxine was administered. On this, seizures ceased, and electroencephalography normalised. Both girls are currently seizure-free on pyridoxine monotherapy, yet in neither one a trial of withdrawal has been performed.

Discussion

Thus far, epidemiological data on pyridoxine-dependent seizures were only available from the UK and Ireland. As reported in this paper, a higher incidence of possible, probable, and definite pyridoxine-dependent cases was found in the Netherlands. This is in accordance with previous reports of a regional difference in the prevalence of pyridoxine-dependent seizures. The relative proportion of definite, probable, and possible cases in our study was 36%, 27%,
and 36%, respectively. These percentages are very similar to those reported by Baxter: 39%, 25%, and 36%, respectively.[4] Therefore, regional differences in diagnostic skills are unlikely to account for the different incidences between the two studies. A genetic factor is likely to play an important role, since there were three sibling cases in our small study.

Moreover, our study confirms that the diagnosis is often being made without application of the appropriate clinical criteria. Of all thirteen patients reported, eight (62%) had been diagnosed with pyridoxine-dependent seizures without the formal trial of withdrawal having been carried out. Parents may be reluctant to a trial of pyridoxine withdrawal, because they fear it will cause harm to the child.[2] On the other hand, we believe that in many cases physicians may never consider a trial of withdrawal due to insufficient knowledge of this rare disorder.

A standardised therapeutic approach to neonatal seizures has previously been suggested to heighten the awareness and improve the recognition of classical pyridoxine-dependent seizures.[5] In The Netherlands, a treatment protocol including a pyridoxine trial is increasingly used to address neonatal seizures in a standardised manner. We believe that this will indeed increase the recognition of pyridoxine-dependent seizures and support an appropriate establishment of the diagnosis.

Such an approach should advice administration of parenteral pyridoxine 50-100 mg as a test dose in neonates with seizures intractable to conventional anti-epileptics. Clinicians should be aware of possible cardiorespiratory depressive effects of a first pyridoxine administration. Recently, there have been promising reports on pipecolic acid being a possible diagnostic marker of pyridoxine-dependent seizures.[8][9] It has even been suggested that measurement of pipecolic acid may be sufficiently sensitive to replace a trial of withdrawal.[9] Therefore, we think measurement of pipecolic acid in plasma and/or CSF should be included in such a protocol as well. Additional data on this issue need to be collected in order to clearly establish the value of pipecolic acid in the diagnosis of pyridoxine-dependency. Unfortunately, no data are available on pipecolic acid measurements in the patients reported here.

Confirmation of the diagnosis is reassuring for the patient and his or her family and is of great importance. It may have consequences for genetic counselling and facilitates a more precise prognosis. Furthermore, confirmation of the diagnosis in a young child may warrant pyridoxine administration to the mother in case of a future pregnancy, which in turn may prevent developmental delay in a subsequent pyridoxine-dependent child.[10] On the other hand, a trial of withdrawal may identify patients who have wrongly been diagnosed with pyridoxine dependency. Previous data have shown that a large minority of patients treated as having pyridoxine-dependent seizures in fact remain permanently seizure-free after pyridoxine withdrawal.[4] This is important since needless maintenance treatment with high doses of pyridoxine may cause a serious, although largely reversible, dorsal root gangliopathy.[11] No hard data are available as to what would be the proper timing for a trial of withdrawal. Probably it is better not to perform a trial shortly after the initiation of pyridoxine supplementation. Baxter has advocated the trial to be carried out before school entry, i.e. around the age of four years.[2]

All patients reported in this study had relatively early onset of seizures, while the initial presentation of pyridoxine-dependent seizures may occur up to the age of 9 months. This may be due to both underreporting and underrecognition of late-onset pyridoxine dependency. Early-onset seizures in pyridoxine dependency are known to be associated with a poorer outcome, especially when treatment initiation is delayed.[11] In our study however, only half
of all patients were reported to have a substantial degree of developmental delay. Also there was no clear correlation between the age of treatment and outcome. Earlier reports on this issue have been conflicting. Baxter and Aicardi have suggested that earlier pyridoxine treatment is beneficial, and this has been confirmed by Plecko et al., whereas Haenggeli et al. found no correlation between the time of treatment and outcome.[12][8][13] Conclusions are to be drawn with caution however, since the number of patients is relatively small, and comparative performance tests have not been performed.

The data presented in this study are based upon the cooperation of the clinicians addressed. A methodological limitation of the study is related to the retrospective character of the study. Therefore, cases of pyridoxine-dependent patients may be missed due to lack of response, leading to an underestimation of the actual incidence. Overall the response was limited, yet virtually all university hospitals and neurological departments replied. Since each patient was reported by at least one of the latter, we believe an incomplete report of patients is not very likely. Unfortunately, our study period had to be narrowed due to the inability of many respondents to retrieve clinical data from several years back. Theoretically this may have caused a reduced report of patients as well, although the relatively even birth year distribution of the reported patients over time does not support this. On the other hand, the high number of sibling cases may have led to an overestimation of the incidence of pyridoxine-dependent epilepsy.

In conclusion, we think our data are indicative of a reliable number of patients born between 1991 and 2003 who are believed to be pyridoxine-dependent in the Netherlands. Pyridoxine dependency seems to be more prevalent in The Netherlands than in the UK and Ireland, supporting earlier suggestions of a regional variation in the demographics of pyridoxine dependency. However our results confirm that still the diagnosis is regularly made without application of the appropriate clinical criteria. On the other hand, many patients may never have been recognised and may continue to be unsuccessfully treated with conventional anti-epileptics. A better knowledge of the disease entity and the clinical criteria needed for establishment of the diagnosis will contribute to heightened awareness and more adequate management of potentially pyridoxine-dependent seizures. A standardised treatment protocol for neonatal seizures including a pyridoxine trial may be of additional value, at least as long as pyridoxine-dependent epilepsy remains a clinical diagnosis.
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What is already known on this topic
• Pyridoxine-dependent epilepsy is a rare disorder, the diagnosis of which is based upon clinical criteria.

• Epidemiological data have only been available from the UK. There, a birth incidence of 1:783 000 was found, although this has been suggested to be an underestimation.

What this study adds
• In this nationwide epidemiological study, a considerably higher birth incidence of definite and probable pyridoxine dependency was found (1:396 000).

• This study confirms that the diagnosis is often made without application of the appropriate clinical criteria.

• In order to enhance the recognition of pyridoxine dependency, we suggest the use of a standardised approach for (neonatal) seizures, including a pyridoxine trial and pipecolic acid analysis.
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