function; and vision (clinical examination and global motion perception). Primary outcomes were neurosensory disability (cognitive, language or motor score below -1 SD or cerebral palsy or blind or deaf) and processing problem (executive function or global motion perception worse than 1.5 SD). Data are mean (SD), n (%), or relative risk (RR), 95% confidence interval.

Results 184 children were assessed; 90/118 (76%) randomised to dextrose and 94/119 (79%) to placebo gel. Mean birth weight was 3093 (803) g and gestation 37.7 (1.6) wk. 67 children (36%) had neurosensory disability (1 severe, 9 moderate, 57 mild) with similar rates in both groups (dextrose 35 (39%) vs placebo 32 (34%), RR 1.14, 0.78–1.67). Processing difficulty was also similar in both groups (dextrose 8 (10%) vs placebo 16 (18%), RR 0.52, 0.23–1.15).

Discussion Neurosensory disability is common amongst children treated for neonatal hypoglycaemia. Treatment with dextrose gel does not change the incidence of disability or processing problems.

REFERENCE

- 1 Harris DL, et al. Dextrose gel for neonatal hypoglycaemia (the Sugar Babies Study). *Lancet* 2013;382:2077–83

Pharmacology, Pharmacokinetics, Pharmacodynamics

O-105 PROSPECTIVE EVALUATION OF REMIFENTANIL DURING INSURE IN PRETERM NEWBORNS: UNPREDICTABLE EFFECTS AND SIDE EFFECTS

¹EHM de Kort, ²LM Hanff, ³SHP Simons, ³IKM Reiss. ¹Neonatology, Máxima Medical Center, Veldhoven, Netherlands; ²Hospital Pharmacy, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, Netherlands; ³Neonatology, Erasmus MC – Sophia Children's Hospital, Rotterdam, Netherlands

10.1136/archdischild-2014-307384.172

Background and aims Premedication for neonatal intubation should provide fast and adequate sedation with a minimum of side-effects. Aim of this study was to evaluate effect and safety of remifentanyl as premedication during INSURE in preterm neonates.

Methods Remifentanyl was prospectively studied using increasing dosages (Table 1). Outcome measures were adequate sedation, defined as adequate sedation score, good intubation conditions and absence of side-effects, and duration of respiratory depression. To exclude degradation or dilution errors as explanation for observed variability in response, pharmaceutical analysis was performed after simulated preparation.

Abstract O-105 Table 1 Protocol of remifentanyl for the 2 study periods

	Period 1 (n = 5)	Period 2 (n = 9)
Site of administration	Intravenously	Intravenously
Period of administration	In 30 seconds	In 30 seconds
Starting dose	1 µg/kg	2 µg/kg
		Dose 2: 3 µg/kg
	Dose 2: 1 µg/kg	Dose 3: 4 µg/kg
Repeated doses	Dose 3: 1 µg/kg	Dose 4: 5 µg/kg
Sedative in case of failure	Propofol 1–2 mg/kg	Propofol 1–2 mg/kg

Results The study was terminated after inclusion of 14 patients. A dose of 1 µg/kg did not provide adequate sedation in 80% of patients. Higher dosages also resulted in inadequate sedation in 89% of patients and were frequently associated with chest rigidity (36%). Duration of respiratory depression was reported in 6 patients, with a median of 18 min (mean 16.5 min, range 5 seconds to 30 min). Pharmaceutical analysis showed a concentration of the active substance of 80 to 112% of the expected concentration, indicating adequate stability and preparation.

Conclusion Remifentanyl intravenously over 30 seconds frequently not provides adequate sedation and has a high risk of chest wall rigidity in preterm neonates. Also, duration of respiratory depression is quite long for use during INSURE.

O-106 POPULATION PHARMACOKINETIC MODEL OF THE ANTIMICROBIAL EXCIPIENT METHYL PARABEN ADMINISTERED IN ROUTINE CLINICAL PRACTICE TO NEONATES

¹H Mulla, ²S Yakkundi, ²J McElroy, ³H Varendi, ³T Metsvaht, ³G Nellis, ⁴J Windrow, ⁴S Graham, ⁵S Tapscott, ⁶NA Caldwell, ⁷H Pandya, ⁸JC Duncan, ⁹I Lutsar, ⁸AJ Nunn, ¹⁰MA Turner. ¹Pharmacy, University Hospitals of Leicester, Leicester, UK; ²Pharmacy, Queen's University, Belfast, UK; ³Paediatrics, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia; ⁴Neonatal Unit, Liverpool Women's NHS FT, Liverpool, UK; ⁵Neonatal Unit, Mid Cheshire NHS FT, Crewe, UK; ⁶Neonatal Unit, Wirral University Teaching Hospital NHS FT, Upton, UK; ⁷Child Health, University of Leicester, Leicester, UK; ⁸R&D, Alder Hey Children's NHS FT, Liverpool, UK; ⁹Microbiology and Paediatrics, University of Tartu, Tartu, UK; ¹⁰Women's and Children's Health, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

10.1136/archdischild-2014-307384.173

Introduction Parabens are widely used as antimicrobial preservatives in medicines given to neonates. Some concerns have been raised about the potential of paraben toxicity. To date there have been no studies of the circulating concentrations of methyl paraben (MPB) in babies. This study aimed to describe the relationship between dose of MPB administered and circulating concentrations using a population pharmacokinetic model.

Methods Neonates in 4 UK and 1 Estonian neonatal units who were prescribed paraben-containing medications were recruited with parental consent. Parabens were assayed in timed, dried blood spots using LCMSMS. The limit of quantification was 20ng/mL.

Results 180 babies provided 841 samples of which 382 (45%) were below the limit of quantification. The mean (range) of observed blood MPB concentrations was 28.4 (10–874) ng/mL. The final kinetic model for MPB included first order absorption and two compartment disposition. Clearance was related to post-natal age (PNA). The model parameters are shown in the Table.

Discussion Routine use of MPB as an excipient in medicinal formulations does not lead to markedly high circulating blood concentrations of MPB in neonates. We cannot exclude accumulation from these data. These findings will contribute to safety

Abstract O-106 Table 1

Parameter	Estimate	% Relative Standard Error
Clearance if PNA <21 days (L/hr)	0.57	9.57
Clearance if PNA ≥21 days (L/hr)	0.88	7.19
Central volume (L/1.6kg)	1.84	7.55
Peripheral Volume (L)	12.2	12.0
Residual (proportionate) Error (%)	44.5	4.7