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**PO-0594** WHO IS THE RECEPTOR OF DONOR MILK FROM A HOSPITAL MILK BANK?

1MV Jiménez Cabanillas, 1Serrano López, 1Zamorano Bonilla, 2E Martín Alvarez, 2M Peña Caballero, 2JA Hurtado Suazo. 1Paediatrics, Hospital Virgen de Las Nieves, Granada, Spain; 2Neonatology, Hospital Virgen de Las Nieves, Granada, Spain

Objective To analyse if the recipients profile has changed since the implementation of a human milk bank (HMB) in the Neonatal Unit of a tertiary hospital, as well as the clinical indications of donor milk (DM).

Material and methods A descriptive research has been carried out by revising the HMB database from June 2010 to December 2013. The following variables were taken into account: gestational age (GA), birth weight (BW), time of DM reception and reasons for the DM administration.

Results During the researched period, 255 newborn received DM in our centre: 29 (2010), 75 (2011), 84 (2012), 67 (2013). The average GA was 31. BW was 1575 g. Average duration of intake was 17 days.

Annual stratified analysis: In 2010, the average GA is 31 and the average BW is 1335 g. In 2011, 31 weeks and 1657 g. In 2012, 31 weeks and 1657 g. In 2013, 31 weeks and 1688 g.

Prematurity was the main indication for dispensing DM and enteral feeding intolerance is becoming an important indication for DM. In 15% of recipients in 2013, this was the reason for prescription.

Conclusions According to the present information the main reasons for giving DM have been prematurity and low birth weight (63% of all recipients were premature babies born before 32 weeks).

The number of children who take advantage of donor milk has increased as the human milk bank has provided higher amounts of DM in our centre. The administering indications for DM have also been increased, outlining the enteral feeding difficulties.

**PO-0595** WITHDRAWN

**PO-0596** NEONUTRINET – INTERNATIONAL DATABASE ON NEONATAL NUTRITION IN VERY LOW BIRTH WEIGHT INFANTS

1. Li, 2. M de Waard, 3. Zhu, 4. A Eichen, 5. B Andersen, 6. Mei, 7. G Greisen, 8. P Sangili, 9. J van Goudoever, 10. NeoNutriNet Study Group. 1Department of Nutrition Exercise and Sports, University of Copenhagen, Frederiksberg C, Denmark; 2Dutch Human Milk Bank, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, Netherlands; 3Department of Maternal and Child Health, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China; 4Department of Neonatology, Shenzhen Women and Children’s Hospital, Shenzhen, China; 5Department of Neonatology, Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark; 6Department of Pediatrics, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, Netherlands

Background and aims Nutrition for VLBW infants varies widely among countries. To better understand differences in nutritional practices internationally, we compare data from fourteen hospitals from eight countries (Guangzhou, Shenzhen, Foshan, Amsterdam, Auckland, Copenhagen, Chennai, Chicago, Perth, Newcastle).

Methods Infants with birth weights <1500 g are included and data include time, type, and amount of (par)enteral nutrition, anti-/pro-biotics, anthropometrics and clinical complications from birth to 37 w corrected gestational age, or discharge.

Results Data collection is ongoing, here preliminary data are reported for two selected hospitals (2011–2012, n = 96 +107=203) with similar demographic data (e.g. birth weight, median 1335 g; gestational age, median 30.1 w; gender, 59% boys). In hospital A, the growth velocity and proportion of infants reaching 120 mL/kg/d enteral feeding at 5 weeks was higher (median 14.5 vs. 9.1 kg/d and 84 vs. 69%, P < 0.05), and the decrease in weight Z-score was lower vs. B (median -0.55 vs. -0.94, P < 0.05). Neither of the units reached the protein intake recommended by ESPGHAN (3.5 g/kg/d, JGPN, 50, 89–95, 2010) within the first month although the average daily deficit was less in hospital A vs. B (median -0.8 vs. -1.2 g/kg/d, P < 0.05). NEC incidence was lower in hospital A vs. B (1 vs. 9%, P < 0.05). There was no difference in the time on antibiotics (~50% of hospitalisation days).

Conclusions Large differences in nutrition and growth outcomes were evident between the two units. The NeoNutriNet cohort will show how differences in nutrition may relate to feeding guidelines, clinical traditions, and use of anti-/pro-biotics around the world.

**PO-0597** A NATIONWIDE SURVEY OF NEONATAL COW’S MILK ALLERGY IN THE UK

1Mahadevan A Gupta, C Harkin, Gupta S, Janakiraman. Neonates, University Hospital of North Tees, Stockton – On- Tees, UK

Background and aims Cow’s Milk Allergy (CMA) is one of the most common food allergies in children. It can affect any age including the neonate. There is paucity of literature about its presentation in the newborn period and its management.

The primary objective of this survey was to understand the level of awareness about CMA in Newborns in neonatal units in the UK. Our secondary objective was to estimate the incidence of Cow’s Milk Allergy in newborns in the UK.

Methods This was an online survey of neonatologists identified through the BAPM and the Neonatal Networks.

Results 64 responses were received from consultant neonatologists. 42 out of 63 (66.7%) level 3 units responded. 78% of the respondents believed that CMA exists in newborns. The number of newborns with CMA diagnosed in the last 2 years was more than 152. 50% of these babies were born preterm and at the time of diagnosis, 33% were still <37 weeks. 26% babies with CMA had birth weight <1.5 kg.

The most common symptoms of CMA were blood in stool, abdominal distension and diarrhoea. 84% were diagnosed on clinical features only. Equal proportions of babies were on breast milk and preterm formula at the time of diagnosis.

Conclusions This survey demonstrates a high level of awareness in an otherwise poorly studied area in newborns. It highlights the need for systematic studies to facilitate decision making among clinicians.

**PO-0598** THE ECONOMIC IMPACT OF LOW PROTEIN FORMULA FOR THE CHILDREN OF OVERWEIGHT AND OBESE MOTHERS

1K March, 2P Orfanos, 3N Revankar, 1J Molter, 1P Dentli. 1Health Economics and Epidemiology (Modelling and Simulation), Evidera, London, UK; 2Health Economics and Medical Affairs, Nestlé Nutrition Institute, Geneva, Switzerland

Background and aims Prematurity was the main indication for dispensing DM and enteral feeding intolerance is becoming an important indication for DM. In 15% of recipients in 2013, this was the reason for prescription.

Results Data collection is ongoing, here preliminary data are reported for two selected hospitals (2011–2012, n = 96 +107=203) with similar demographic data (e.g. birth weight, median 1335 g; gestational age, median 30.1 w; gender, 59% boys). In hospital A, the growth velocity and proportion of infants reaching 120 mL/kg/d enteral feeding at 5 weeks was higher (median 14.5 vs. 9.1 kg/d and 84 vs. 69%, P < 0.05), and the decrease in weight Z-score was lower vs. B (median -0.55 vs. -0.94, P < 0.05). Neither of the units reached the protein intake recommended by ESPGHAN (3.5 g/kg/d, JGPN, 50, 89–95, 2010) within the first month although the average daily deficit was less in hospital A vs. B (median -0.8 vs. -1.2 g/kg/d, P < 0.05). NEC incidence was lower in hospital A vs. B (1 vs. 9%, P < 0.05). There was no difference in the time on antibiotics (~50% of hospitalisation days).

Conclusions Large differences in nutrition and growth outcomes were evident between the two units. The NeoNutriNet cohort will show how differences in nutrition may relate to feeding guidelines, clinical traditions, and use of anti-/pro-biotics around the world.
Background and aims Global prevalence of obesity has doubled the last 30 years, with WHO estimating that 10% of men and 14% of women worldwide are obese. The corresponding health risk is associated with a significant health system cost, loss of health related quality of life, and economic costs such as reduced productivity. Obesity in adulthood is the result of several factors, including weight gain during infancy. The objective of this paper is to demonstrate the impact on infant weight gain and economic value of low protein nutritional formula compared with standard protein nutritional formula.

Methods A double blind, randomised, controlled trial of 252 healthy infants born of overweight or obese mothers estimated the impact of formula on weight gain up to 36 months. A discrete event simulation estimated the corresponding impact on adult BMI, the incidence of obesity-related diseases and subsequent lifetime changes in health care resources use, health related quality of life (HRQoL), and productivity.

Results Low protein infant formula reduced weight gain at 36 months by 0.31 kg (from 16.04 kg with standard formula to 15.74 kg with low protein formula). The simulation estimates the corresponding changes in the following outcomes over infants’ lifetimes: a BMI; incidence of diabetes, stroke, CHD; health care costs, HRQoL and productivity.

Conclusions The use of low protein formula for infants of overweight or obese mothers not only reduces infant weight gain, but also generates lifetime improvements in quality of life, health cost savings and improvements in productivity.

Abstract PO-0600 Table 1 Influencing factors in unsuccessful breastfeeding first day after delivery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Newborn causes</th>
<th>Epidemiologic causes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Macro nipple</td>
<td>premature</td>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosmetic appearance of breast</td>
<td>low birth weight</td>
<td>District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal addiction</td>
<td>Oral problem (cleft and lips palate)</td>
<td>Newborn gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal stresses</td>
<td>Swallowing problem</td>
<td>Sequence of new born</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacifier usage</td>
<td>Sleepiness</td>
<td>Cultural causes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dextrose water feeding</td>
<td>Unilateral breast sucking</td>
<td>Delivery type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No belief to colostrum feeding</td>
<td>Short sucking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family dispute of parents</td>
<td>Maternal vaginal bleeding</td>
<td>Educational causes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family consults</td>
<td>Breast milk inadequacy of mother</td>
<td>Physiologic delivery training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverted nipples</td>
<td>Physiologic delivery training</td>
<td>Breastfeeding training in rooming in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical causes</td>
<td>Epistomitory pain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newborn hospitalisation</td>
<td>Caesareans section incision pain</td>
<td>Academic graduate level</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abstract PO-0600 Table 2 Unsuccessful maternal breastfeeding factors influencing correlation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Sig. (Beta)</th>
<th>Standardised Coefficients</th>
<th>Causes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>.000 .400</td>
<td></td>
<td>Newborn causes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>.000 .426</td>
<td></td>
<td>Environment and Hospital causes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>.000 .744</td>
<td></td>
<td>Maternal causes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98.6%</td>
<td>.014 .064</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cultural causes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94.6%</td>
<td>.054 .047</td>
<td></td>
<td>Educational causes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93%</td>
<td>.099 .009</td>
<td></td>
<td>Epidemiologic causes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Background and aims Global prevalence of obesity has doubled the last 30 years, with WHO estimating that 10% of men and 14% of women worldwide are obese. The corresponding health risk is associated with a significant health system cost, loss of health related quality of life, and economic costs such as reduced productivity. Obesity in adulthood is the result of several factors, including weight gain during infancy. The objective of this paper is to demonstrate the impact on infant weight gain and economic value of low protein nutritional formula compared with standard protein nutritional formula.

Methods A double blind, randomised, controlled trial of 252 healthy infants born of overweight or obese mothers estimated the impact of formula on weight gain up to 36 months. A discrete event simulation estimated the corresponding impact on adult BMI, the incidence of obesity-related diseases and subsequent lifetime changes in health care resources use, health related quality of life (HRQoL), and productivity.

Results Low protein infant formula reduced weight gain at 36 months by 0.31 kg (from 16.04 kg with standard formula to 15.74 kg with low protein formula). The simulation estimates the corresponding changes in the following outcomes over infants’ lifetimes: a BMI; incidence of diabetes, stroke, CHD; health care costs, HRQoL and productivity.

Conclusions The use of low protein formula for infants of overweight or obese mothers not only reduces infant weight gain, but also generates lifetime improvements in quality of life, health cost savings and improvements in productivity.
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Background and aims Global prevalence of obesity has doubled the last 30 years, with WHO estimating that 10% of men and 14% of women worldwide are obese. The corresponding health risk is associated with a significant health system cost, loss of health related quality of life, and economic costs such as reduced productivity. Obesity in adulthood is the result of several factors, including weight gain during infancy. The objective of this paper is to demonstrate the impact on infant weight gain and economic value of low protein nutritional formula compared with standard protein nutritional formula.

Methods A double blind, randomised, controlled trial of 252 healthy infants born of overweight or obese mothers estimated the impact of formula on weight gain up to 36 months. A discrete event simulation estimated the corresponding impact on adult BMI, the incidence of obesity-related diseases and subsequent lifetime changes in health care resources use, health related quality of life (HRQoL), and productivity.

Results Low protein infant formula reduced weight gain at 36 months by 0.31 kg (from 16.04 kg with standard formula to 15.74 kg with low protein formula). The simulation estimates the corresponding changes in the following outcomes over infants’ lifetimes: a BMI; incidence of diabetes, stroke, CHD; health care costs, HRQoL and productivity.
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