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**Background** We have shown that hyperalimentation using a Standardised, Concentrated, Added Macronutrients Parenteral (SCAMP) nutrition regimen improves early head growth. Hyperglycaemia hinders hyperalimentation strategies in very preterm infants (VPI) in the first 14 days of life. Insulin-treated hyperglycaemia (ITH) avoids the need to reduce glucose intake, although the evidence for benefit is limited. We hypothesised that VPI with ITH would achieve optimal growth with hyperalimentation.

**Methods** SCAMP and control groups were identified from the previously published RCT (ISRCTN 76597892). Infants were stratified into ITH and non-ITH within their original group randomisation. Hyperglycaemia necessitating insulin treatment required 2 consecutive blood glucose measurements >12mmol/l. Actual mean daily protein/energy intake data and weekly measurements of head circumference (HC) and weight were collected d1–28.

**Results** Mean (sd) daily protein/energy intake and change in HC (ΔHC) and weight (ΔWt) between birth and d14 are summarised in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SCAMP</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ITH</td>
<td>Non-ITH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily (d1–14) intake:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g/kg/d)</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>3.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kcal/kg/d)</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>99.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔHC at d14 (mm)</td>
<td>14 (6)</td>
<td>11 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔWt at d14 (g)</td>
<td>137 (108)</td>
<td>109 (96)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusions** ITH is associated with optimal early growth when combined with hyperalimentation.
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**Introduction and aims** The impact of early nutrition habits is of extreme importance for future development and nutritional status. Many aspects of infants’ nutrition are based upon traditions and popular beliefs of the societies. This study was aimed to identify nutrition-related information sources for parents towards complementary feeding practices and to determine the variables that influence decision making regarding this nutritional period.

**Methods** A longitudinal study was carried out in “Grigore Alexandrescu” Emergency Children’s Hospital from Bucharest, the capital of Romania, evaluating toddlers at their check-up visit at 1 year old. Data collection included interview questionnaires with parents upon 3 outcomes: complementary feeding, sociodemographic aspects and nutrition related information source.

**Results** A total of 382 parents completed the questionnaire, with a response rate equivalent to 85.29%. There is a percentage of 44.7% of mothers that declared they followed the paediatrician advise upon complementary feeding. This is mainly the case for urban population (56.0% vs. 21.6%), highly educated mothers (69.2% vs. 11.1%) and high economic level (69.8% vs. 12.9%). Friends and family are the most important sources for nutrition information mainly for mother in rural regions, low incomes and basic educational level (p < 0.05, CI 95%). Multi-variate analyses showed that friends and family used as nutrition information sources are among risk factors for inappropriate complementary feeding practices (p < 0.001; CI 95%).

**Conclusion** Paediatricians and primary health care providers should offer solid and valuable alternatives to parents using non-medical sources of information when weaning their infants in order to avoid future mistakes.

---

**Long-Term Outcome**
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**Background** Developmental outcomes of very preterm (gestational age ≤32 weeks) or very low birthweight (<1500g) children are commonly reported before age 3 years.

**Aims** To determine the validity of early developmental assessments in predicting school-age cognitive deficits in this population.

**Methods** English-language studies, identified through MEDLINE, in which at least 2 serial developmental/cognitive assessments (at ages 1–3 years and ≥5 years) were reviewed. For included studies, cross-tabulations of cognitive deficit (defined as test scores one SD below the population mean) identified by each assessment were constructed. With school-age assessment as the reference standard, the sensitivity and specificity of early assessment for cognitive deficit were calculated. We fitted a hierarchical summary receiver operator characteristic (HSROC) curve to obtain pooled estimates of sensitivity and specificity. Meta-regression was used to evaluate study and population characteristics associated with assessment validity.

**Results** Twenty-two studies (n = 2681 children) were included. Early assessment tools were Bayley Scales of Infant Development (14 studies), Griffiths Mental Development (5), Stanford-Binet Intelligence (2) and Brunet-Lezine (1) Scales; 11 different school-age cognitive tests were used. Assessments were conducted at 18–40 months for early and 5–18 years for school-age assessments. There was significant between-study heterogeneity in the reported sensitivities and specificities (figure 1, p < 0.01). Gestational age, birthweight, age at assessment and time...