Diagnosis and management of primary ciliary dyskinesia
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ABSTRACT
Primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD) is an inherited autosomal-recessive disorder of motile cilia characterised by chronic lung disease, rhinosinusitis, hearing impairment and subfertility. Nasal symptoms and respiratory distress usually start soon after birth, and by adulthood bronchiectasis is invariable. Organ laterality defects, usually situs inversus, occur in ~50% of cases. The estimated prevalence of PCD is up to ~1 per 10,000 births, but it is more common in populations where consanguinity is common. This review examines who to refer for diagnostic testing. It describes the limitations surrounding diagnosis using currently available techniques and considers whether recent advances to genotype patients with PCD will lead to genetic testing and screening to aid diagnosis in the near future. It discusses the challenges of monitoring and treating respiratory and ENT disease in children with PCD.

INTRODUCTION
Primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD) is a genetic disorder that is almost invariably inherited as an autosomal-recessive condition. It is characterised by recurrent and chronic infections of the upper and lower respiratory tracts, with symptoms starting soon after birth caused by impaired mucociliary clearance (MCC). Motile cilia are microscopic hair-like organelles on the apical surface of epithelial cells. In healthy individuals, cilia clear airway mucus, bacteria and debris by coordinated beating. PCD is caused by abnormality of ciliary beating, which is often, but not always, associated with abnormal cilary structure (figures 1 and 2). In addition to the lungs, motile cilia are found in the middle ear, paranasal sinuses, female reproductive tract and ependyma of the brain. Therefore, the majority of patients with PCD have non-chest symptoms; for example, in a cohort of 78 patients, 95% reported recurrent otitis media and 54% had significant sinus disease. Motile cilia on the embryonic node have an important role determining left-right symmetry accounting for ~50% of PCD patients having situs inversus.

EPIDEMIOLOGY
PCD has variable reported prevalence across Europe reflecting true variability as well as differences in access to diagnostic facilities. Commonly quoted figures for prevalence are between 1:4000 and 1:40,000, with the true prevalence probably about 1:10,000 and higher rates in certain ethnic groups.

Diagnosis is often delayed or missed completely. One study reported that 70% of patients had seen a physician >50 times before the diagnosis was made.6 A European survey showed a median age of diagnosis of 5.3 years, lower in children with situs inversus (3.5 years vs 5.8 years).3

Most observational studies in PCD have been cross-sectional, and knowledge of prognosis is based on a handful of longitudinal studies with relatively few patients. Although some patients have a fairly benign course, lung function can already be significantly impaired in childhood1,7,8 and disease progression can be rapid. In a cohort of adults with PCD, 98% had bronchiectasis, and 38% had severe lung disease with FEV1 < 40% predicted.1 A recent study has reported significantly lower BMI in patients with PCD than those with pancreatic insufficient as well as pancreatic sufficient cystic fibrosis (CF).8 These studies dispel any suggestion that PCD is a mild disease.

Provision of PCD care varies across Europe. A survey of PCD management from 196 centres in 26 European countries showed that care was not centralised in most countries. There was a median of only four patients (IQR 2–9) per centre and management was very variable.10 The authors concluded that it was essential to standardise management and decrease inequalities between countries.

In England, the Department of Health recently commissioned four national Paediatric PCD Centres to complement the existing diagnostic centres.11,12 The four centres, based in Leeds/Bradford, Leicester, London and Southampton, have been commissioned to provide an annual review by a multidisciplinary team of ENT and respiratory PCD specialists for children from England and Scotland plus additional service as outlined below (see ‘Management’ section).

DIAGNOSIS
A high level of suspicion is required to ensure early diagnosis and start of appropriate management before irreversible lung damage sets in. Diagnostic investigations are complex, requiring expensive infrastructure and an experienced team of clinicians and scientists,13 thus tertiary respiratory centres play an important role, gatekeeping referrals and performing brushings for patients where travel to a diagnostic service is difficult. European consensus guidelines13 reflect a diversity of methods to support diagnosis, with no single ‘gold standard’ investigation.
Paediatricians and neonatologists should have a low threshold to refer patients with symptoms suggestive of PCD (box 1) to a specialist diagnostic centre or to a respiratory centre for further evaluation. Neonates typically present with respiratory distress and rhinitis. Respiratory symptoms are present in 65–87% of neonates and range from mild transient tachypnoea to significant respiratory failure requiring prolonged respiratory support. Unexplained respiratory distress in term neonates, particularly if accompanied by atelectasis, should raise the suspicion of PCD.

**Figure 1** Cartoon of a respiratory cilium in transverse section as seen by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Respiratory cilia have a ‘9+2’ arrangement with a central pair of single microtubules running the length of the ciliary axoneme surrounded by nine peripheral microtubule doublets. Nexin and radial spokes maintain the organisation of the axonemal structure. Attached to the peripheral microtubules are inner and outer dynein arms that generate the force for ciliary beating. Abnormalities of the dynein arms affect ciliary beating. HYDIN projections on the central pair of microtubules are not usually seen by routine TEM (Cartoon image provided by Robert L Scott).

**Figure 2** Transmission EM of a respiratory cilia from (A) a healthy individual. Representative nasal epithelium cilia from patients with primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD) caused by (B) outer and inner dynein arm defects (C) transposition defect: some cilia demonstrate absence of a central microtubule pair (‘9+0’); in other cilia a peripheral microtubule doublet has crossed to take the central position providing an apparent ‘8+2’ structure (D) microtubular disorganisation. EM images obtained using FEI Tecnai 12 transmission electron microscope (FEI UK Limited, Cambridge, UK) at 80 kV. EM images provided by P Goggin (Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia Centre, University Hospitals Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Southampton, UK).
Although rhinitis is difficult to assess in neonates, in the authors’ experience, many parents report that a blocked nose was a problem from soon after delivery.

Infants typically have a persistent wet cough and recurrent respiratory infections, which continue throughout childhood and adulthood. Due to the underlying pathology, the cough is almost invariably wet and is present on a daily basis unless suppressed by the patient. In the authors’ experience, such suppression is common, as is under-recognition of the symptoms that have been present since birth by parents. Bronchiectasis can present in infancy and is almost invariably by adulthood,1 pre-emptively be attributed to their cardiac condition; a very low threshold for referral should be considered if the patient is from a consanguineous background or is of an ethnic origin known to have increased prevalence of PCD.

Box 1 Who should be referred for diagnostic testing?

1. Situs inversus plus respiratory or nasal symptoms
2. Neonatal respiratory distress of unknown cause
3. Sibling with primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD), particularly if symptomatic
4. Daily lifelong wet cough (note: maybe suppressed by child and under-recognised by parents)
5. If considering testing for cystic fibrosis, also consider testing for PCD particularly if rhinitis, sinusitis or glue ear are present
6. Unexplained bronchiectasis
7. Serous otitis media in association with lower and upper airway symptoms
8. Cardiac disease associated with heterotaxy if there is suspicion of respiratory, nasal or ear problems

NB: A lower threshold for referral should be considered if the patient is from a consanguineous background or is of an ethnic origin known to have increased prevalence of PCD.

Nasal nitric oxide (nNO) levels are almost universally low in PCD patients,16 making this a good screening test that is advocated across Europe13 and North America.17 18 Several commercially available NO analysers are available that are capable of measuring nNO levels, by sampling nasal gas. Using the ‘gold standard’ technique,19 a nasal olive probe with central lumen is placed into one nostril and the other nostril is left patent, with a sampling tube from the olive to a chemiluminescence analyser. The patient is asked to hold their breath while performing a velum closure manoeuvre (eg, mouth exhalation against resistance). This breath-hold with velum manoeuvre can be difficult particularly for young children, and there is mounting evidence that simpler measurements such as breath-hold without velum closure or sampling during tidal breathing can discriminate between PCD and non-PCD patients.20–22 Standardisation of nNO analysis and reporting23 is now needed, with particular need for reference cut-off values for different analysers and respiratory manoeuvres. nNO should not be used as an isolated diagnostic test because low levels are occasionally reported in patients with CF, sinusitis, nasal polypsis or other causes of nasal obstruction and acute infections. High or normal nNO levels in a patient with PCD should lead to reassessment of the diagnosis, although normal levels are occasionally described in PCD.16

Assessment of ciliary ultrastructure by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was previously considered the ‘gold standard’ test for PCD, and remains an important investigation. At least 100 cilia from healthy areas of epithelium obtained by nasal or bronchial brush biopsy are analysed in transverse section.24 Nasal ciliated epithelial cells can be obtained by rubbing a cytology brush along the inferior turbinate in a procedure that is uncomfortable but lasts only a few seconds. Tertiary respiratory centres can take the samples and transport the cells in culture medium and fixative to a diagnostic centre for analysis.

Respiratory cilia consist of nine microtubule pairs surrounding a central pair of singlet microtubules (figures 1 and 2); this gives a cross-sectional 9 + 2 pattern. Microtubule-associated proteins responsible for ciliary beating include inner and outer dynein motor ‘arms’ that generate ATP-powered coordinated microtubule sliding. Radial spoke and nexin–dynein regulatory complexes work together with the central pair microtubules to regulate the dynein activity to govern the force and waveform stroke pattern of cilia. Ultrastructural defects causing PCD include absence of the outer dynein arms from the outer doublets, missing central pairs and disarrangement of the microtubules (figure 2). However, 3–30% of patients with PCD are reported25 26 to have uncertain or normal cilia ultrastructure, thus TEM cannot rule out PCD.

Assessment of ciliary beat frequency (CBF) and ciliary beat pattern (CBP), from a brush biopsy of epithelium, can exclude a diagnosis of PCD if both are normal. Cilia are observed by high-resolution, high-speed video microscopy with slow-motion replay.27 Abnormalities of CBF include static, slow, rotating and hyper-frequent cilia with an incomplete beat, and these dysmorphic patterns are often linked with specific TEM defects.28 Abnormalities of CBF, CBP and TEM can occur secondary to infection or inflammation of the epithelium; therefore, reanalysis of the CBF, CBP and TEM following culture of the epithelial cells at an air–liquid interface (ALI)29 with re-differentiation of cilia is used to eliminate secondary defects.

Thus, a combination of techniques including nNO, CBF, CBP, TEM and culture at ALI may all contribute to a diagnosis of PCD, but despite expert evaluation, results can remain inconclusive and repeated testing is often required. Investigations providing...
additional information include radioaerosol MCC10, 31 as an in vivo measure of ciliary function and immunofluorescence-labelled antibodies32 to demonstrate absence of cilia axonemal and cytoplasmic preassembly of proteins. EM tomography may be of assistance in identifying the ultrastructural defect where standard tomography has not resolved the abnormality.33 However, MCC, IF and EM tomography are only available in a few centres around the world and are not included in the diagnostic service commissioned in the UK.12 Recent advances to identify the genes associated with PCD provide hope for genetic testing as an addition to diagnostic testing. It is currently estimated that genetic testing can detect 65% of patients with PCD,17 and genetic testing is increasingly used as an adjunct test, particularly for difficult-to-diagnose cases with undetermined TEM or CBF studies and/or unusual clinical characteristics (see 'Genetics' section).

MANAGEMENT

There have been no long-term randomised trials of therapy in PCD, and there is a lack of evidence-based medicine in the management of this condition. As a result, many aspects of patient care are empirically based on other chronic suppurative lung disease, particularly CF.8 Best practice guidelines from specialist centres managing large cohorts of patients have recently been reviewed and collated to produce a European consensus; however, wide variations in approach to management exist.19

Despite many aspects of the care of the two diseases overlapping, PCD should not be managed alongside CF. The multisystem aspects of PCD differ from and require different subspecialist input, and these needs may be ignored in the CF setting. Nonetheless, a similar mind set of regular review in a specialist centre, with targeted multisystem care, and an aggressive approach to airway clearance and management of infections, should provide the cornerstone of therapy. The UK model11 includes comprehensive evaluation by the MDT PCD service at least once a year culminating in a personalised management plan. Depending on clinical and geographical factors, the patient may have additional appointments throughout the year by the PCD service or care may be shared with the patient’s local specialist respiratory team. Appointments are scheduled to provide three monthly assessments. A telephone advice line is available to patients, their families and to professionals involved in the patient’s care.

Respiratory management

Following diagnosis, the goals of respiratory management are the initial improvement of any lung function deficit and subsequent limiting of disease progression. Treatment of lung disease is based upon airway clearance therapy and aggressive antibiotic.

Age-appropriate daily ACT should be prescribed for every patient and regularly reviewed. No individual technique has been shown to be superior and a patient’s physiotherapy plan should be individualised, with adjuncts incorporated if appropriate.

ACT can be augmented with bronchodilators, exercise, mucolytics or mechanical cough assist, although there is paucity of evidence for their use. Regular salbutamol inhalation prophylaxis is of unproven benefit. Physical exercise encourages secretion clearance as well as providing obvious general health benefits. Nebulised mucolytics remain unproven as a treatment and can be expensive and time-consuming. There are anecdotal reports that rhDNase is beneficial in some patients, but a study of adults with non-CF bronchiectasis showed it to be ineffective and potentially detrimental.34 35 Oral N-acetylcysteine has been shown to have no benefit in patients with PCD.36 Based on evidence from bronchiectasis of other aetiologies, hypertonic saline may be helpful.

Respiratory tract infections should be treated promptly and aggressively. A prolonged course of high-dose oral antibiotics can be prescribed in the settings of worsening respiratory symptoms, a deterioration in lung function or a positive culture. Culture-independent analysis of PCD respiratory samples has shown the bacteria present in PCD patient’s airways to be plentiful and varied.37 The most commonly cultured pathogens are Haemophilus influenzae, Staphylococcus aureus and Streptococcus pneumoniae. Pseudomonas aeruginosa and non-tuberculous mycobacteria have also been reported, although predominantly in adults.1 37 Intravenous antibiotics can be used if there is no response to oral antibiotics. Patients with more severe lung disease may require three monthly intravenous antibiotics to limit disease progression. Isolates of P aeruginosa are treated with eradication protocols based on those used in CF, and chronic infection is often treated with long-term nebulised suppressive antibiotics. There is no evidence for or against the use of antibiotic prophylaxis; however, in children requiring frequent courses of antibiotics, they can be considered.

Children with coexisting asthma can be treated with β2 agonists and inhaled corticosteroids in line with asthma guidelines, but there is no evidence for anti-inflammatory treatment in other settings.

Tobacco smoke exposure is likely to be harmful, and effective support for smoking cessation should be offered to families. Childhood immunisations should be administered along with pneumococcal vaccination and annual influenza vaccination.

Finally, surgical intervention for lung disease in PCD is generally not recommended; however, in selected cases of severe, localised bronchiectasis, lobectomy can be considered. Bilateral lung transplantation is an option for end-stage respiratory failure.

Monitoring

Monitoring the progressive lung disease in PCD is difficult on many fronts. Clinically, patients appear well, or report feeling fine, while objective tests might suggest otherwise. Despite retained secretions and a history of chronic productive cough in most patients, clinical examination may reveal few signs. For this reason, patients with PCD should be regularly followed up by experienced specialists who have access to an array of monitoring tools to assess their airway disease. In the UK model, English and Scottish patients have a comprehensive assessment as part of their annual review by the PCD multidisciplinary team. Monitoring throughout the year is conducted wherever the patient has their shared care, but access to specialist monitoring by the PCD centre is available between annual reviews if required.

Spirometry remains the first line in monitoring lung function, although it has recently been shown to be an insensitive marker of deterioration of respiratory health in PCD. Progression of lung disease, evident using high-resolution CT (HRCT), has occurred while spirometric values have remained stable in some patients.38 Although HRCT remains a useful staging test, it is an impractical screening tool and efforts are underway seeking to establish an affordable, readily accessible and reproducible test to assist in the monitoring of PCD lung disease.

Multiple breath washout technique, or lung clearance index (LCI), is likely to have potential as such a tool in specialist centres. It appears more sensitive than spirometry and correlates well with HRCT in CF. It is possible to test LCI in younger children compared with spirometry, and in established laboratories it can be done at each clinic visit if required. However, unlike in CF, a recent study comparing LCI and HRCT scores in a cohort

with PCD showed no correlation between these measurable parameters, leading to speculation that the dissimilarities between large and small airways disease in CF and PCD may be one explanation to the different outcomes seen in these two important causes of chronic suppurative lung disease.

**ENT**
Poor MCC of the upper respiratory tract leads to mucus pooling within the middle ear cleft, nose and paranasal sinuses. This results in a high incidence of otitis media with effusion (46–86% of patients), hearing loss (25–36%) and nasal discharge or blockage (76–88%). Where one would normally expect resolution of glue ear and nasal discharge in an otherwise healthy child by 8 years, symptoms in PCD children persist longer and not infrequently into adulthood.

The main ENT concern is persistent hearing loss that is linked to otitis media with effusion. The role of ventilation tubes (VT) is controversial. Most reports advise avoiding VTs as they simply divert the mucus flow through the ear drum causing longstanding mucopurulent discharge. Hearing loss therefore tends to be managed conservatively with hearing aids, educational support for hearing issues and, where appropriate, speech therapy. Several reports challenge this, claiming good hearing restoration following VT insertion with episodic discharge causing no greater burden than in non-PCD patients. Should tympanic membrane perforations develop (particularly after repeated VT insertion), tympanoplasty is generally successful, but the glue ear often reoccurs.

Nasal symptoms usually manifest as persistent nasal discharge and blockage. Nasal polypsis in childhood is rare. Nasal discharge is best managed by irrigation. Prophylactic antibiotics help minimise infective rhinosinusitis. Rarely is sinus surgery required, but the glue ear often reoccurs.

Nasal symptoms usually manifest as persistent nasal discharge and blockage. Nasal polypsis in childhood is rare. Nasal discharge is best managed by irrigation. Prophylactic antibiotics help minimise infective rhinosinusitis. Rarely is sinus surgery required, but the glue ear often reoccurs.

Nasal symptoms usually manifest as persistent nasal discharge and blockage. Nasal polypsis in childhood is rare. Nasal discharge is best managed by irrigation. Prophylactic antibiotics help minimise infective rhinosinusitis. Rarely is sinus surgery required, but the glue ear often reoccurs.

**GENETICS**
Rapid advances have increased understanding of the genetic basis of PCD in recent years. Consistent with its clinical variability, PCD is remarkably genetically heterogeneous, and mutations in an expanding number of genes (nearly 30) are disease-causing. The specific gene mutations correlate well to their cilia structural defects, beat frequency and pattern (Table 1). However, few specific genetic defects have yet been linked to specific clinical variability in patients, except for one specific mutation in the CCDC114 gene carried by a population of patients who demonstrate normal levels of fertility. In addition, outer dynein arm gene defects may cause more laterality disturbances, while central pair gene defects do not disturb laterality.

**SUMMARY**
PCD is a genetically inherited disease with multisystem involvement, with mutations in 27 genes identified to date. There has been an expanding number of genes (nearly 30) are disease-causing. The specific gene mutations correlate well to their cilia structural defects, beat frequency and pattern (Table 1). However, few specific genetic defects have yet been linked to specific clinical variability in patients, except for one specific mutation in the CCDC114 gene carried by a population of patients who demonstrate normal levels of fertility. In addition, outer dynein arm gene defects may cause more laterality disturbances, while central pair gene defects do not disturb laterality.

**Table 1** Genes in which mutations cause primary ciliary dyskinesia, with corresponding cilia structural defects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Function of encoded protein</th>
<th>Cilia ultrastructure in patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DNAH5, DNAI1, DNAI2, DNAL1, NME8</td>
<td>Outer dynein arm subunit</td>
<td>ODA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCDC114, ARMC4</td>
<td>Outer dynein arm targeting/docking complex subunit</td>
<td>ODA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNAFA1, DNAFA2, DNAFA3, НЕАТ2, LRRG6, ZMYND10, DXY1C1, SPAG1</td>
<td>Cytoplasmic dynein assembly factor</td>
<td>ODA or IDA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCDC103, C2O1B5F9</td>
<td>Cytoplasmic/cilia dynein assembly factor</td>
<td>ODA or IDA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSPH1, RSPH4A, RSPH9</td>
<td>Radial spoke head subunit</td>
<td>ODA or IDA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCDC39, CCDC40</td>
<td>Nexin link (dynein regulatory complex) factor</td>
<td>IDA+MT disarrangement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCDC164, CCDC65</td>
<td>Nexin link (dynein regulatory complex) subunit</td>
<td>Normal (nexin links absent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYDIN</td>
<td>Central microtubule pair subunit</td>
<td>Normal (CP subunit C2b absent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNAH11</td>
<td>Outer dynein arm subunit</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFD1, RPGFR</td>
<td>Non-motile cilia-related functions</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CP, central microtubule pair; IDA, inner dynein arm; MT, microtubule; ODA, outer dynein arm.
been considerable international collaboration in recent years to improve our knowledge of the syndrome and to improve the evidence base for diagnosis and management. Currently, diagnosis remains uncertain in many cases, and management is largely based on evidence from CF. To improve management of PCD, a European funded FP7 collaboration is focusing on improving diagnosis and treatment (http://www.bestcia.eu/).

Paediatricians, neonatologists and ENT surgeons should maintain their awareness of PCD and make early referrals for diagnosis before the child has sustained irreversible lung damage. It is anticipated that multicentre collaborations will improve the evidence base for treatment.
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