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ABSTRACT

Objective To determine whether children with calcium-deficiency rickets have a better response to treatment with vitamin D and calcium than with calcium alone.

Design Randomised controlled trial.

Setting Jos University Teaching Hospital, Jos, Nigeria.

Population Nigerian children with active rickets treated with calcium carbonate as limestone (approximately 938 mg elemental calcium twice daily) were, in addition, randomised to receive either oral vitamin D₃ 50 000 IU (Ca+D, n=44) or placebo (Ca, n=28) monthly for 24 weeks.

Main outcome measure Achievement of a 10-point radiographic severity score ≤1.5 and serum alkaline phosphatase ≤350 U/L.

Results The median (range) age of enrolled children was 46 (15–102) months, and baseline characteristics were similar in the two groups. Mean (±SD) 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) was 30.2±13.2 nmol/L at baseline, and 29 (43%) had values <30 nmol/L. Baseline alkaline phosphatase and radiographic scores were unrelated to vitamin D status. Of the 68 children (94% of original cohort) who completed 24 weeks of treatment, 29 (67%) in the Ca+D group and 11 (44%) in the Ca group achieved the primary outcome (p=0.06). Baseline 25(OH)D did not alter treatment group effects (p=0.99 for interaction). At the end of 24 weeks, 25(OH)D values were 55.4±17.0 nmol/L and 37.9±20.0 nmol/L in the Ca+D and Ca groups, respectively, (p<0.001). In the Ca+D and Ca groups, the final 25(OH)D concentration was greater in those who achieved the primary outcome (56.4±17.2 nmol/L) than in those who did not (37.7±18.5 nmol/L, p<0.001).

Conclusions In children with calcium-deficiency rickets, there is a trend for vitamin D to improve the response to treatment with calcium carbonate as limestone, independent of baseline 25(OH)D concentrations.

Trial registration number ClinicalTrials.gov NCT00949832.

INTRODUCTION

Nutritional rickets in most Nigerian children results from inadequate dietary calcium intake, rather than vitamin D deficiency.1 However, vitamin D requirements and its metabolism in children with dietary calcium-deficiency rickets have not been adequately explored. Children with calcium-deficiency rickets have elevated serum 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D (1,25(OH)₂D) values, which nearly doubles in response to a single oral dose of vitamin D.2 3 This increase in 1,25(OH)₂D in children with calcium-deficiency rickets is suggestive of increased vitamin D requirements and a relative vitamin D deficiency, despite 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) values being greater than those generally considered indicative of deficiency.4

In a randomised controlled trial, we found that treatment with vitamin D alone was less effective in healing rickets than treatment with calcium or calcium in combination with vitamin D.5 The primary outcome of the trial was the combined endpoint of radiographic healing and normalisation of serum alkaline phosphatase, and this outcome did not differ between the groups that received calcium with or without vitamin D. When compared with treatment with calcium alone, the combination of calcium and vitamin D resulted in a more rapid initial decline in alkaline phosphatase and improvement in radiographic score. Although vitamin D status, as measured by serum 25(OH)D, improved during treatment with calcium alone, the rise in 25(OH)D and the decline in 1,25(OH)₂D were greater when calcium was combined with vitamin D than with calcium alone.

The objective of this randomised controlled trial was to compare the response of rickets to calcium treatment as limestone with and without vitamin D supplementation.

METHODS

Subjects
Children with active rickets were identified using radiographs of the wrists and the knees from...
among children who presented with leg deformities to the primary care setting of the Family Medicine Department of the Jos University Teaching Hospital in the geographic centre of Nigeria (10° north latitude). Children were eligible for enrolment if they had a radiographic score of at least 2.5 on a validated 10-point scoring method that assessed the severity of rickets in the growth plates of the distal radius and ulna and around the knee. Approval for the study was obtained from the Ethical Committee of the Jos University Teaching Hospital and the Institutional Review Board of Mayo Clinic, and written informed consent was obtained from a parent of each enrolled child.

Data were collected regarding symptoms of rickets, the frequency and quantity of dairy product intake, and usual sunlight exposure. The percentage of unclothed skin at enrolment was estimated with a Lund and Browder age-related burn chart. Standing height was measured with a wall-mounted stadiometer. Two 24-h dietary recalls were obtained on separate days to determine energy, phosphorus and calcium intake. Energy and mineral intakes were calculated using food composition tables for African foods. Weight was measured with a hanging weighing scale. Anthropometric z-scores were calculated with Epi Info 3.2.2 (CDC, Atlanta, Georgia, USA). All children were examined for clinical signs of rickets.

Dual energy X-ray absorptiometry of the left distal and proximal ⅓ forearm was performed by a single investigator (TDT) with a portable densitometer (Norland pDEXA, Model 476A110). The densitometer had a short-term in vivo precision of 6.4% for areal bone density at the distal radius and ulna, and 7.2% at the proximal ⅓ radius and ulna, and a long-term in vitro precision of 1.1%.

**Intervention**

All children were treated with calcium carbonate as powdered limestone. Powdered limestone was locally available at a much lower cost than calcium tablets. The content of elemental calcium in 1.0 g of limestone was 268 mg (courtesy of Michael Gruzak, USDA/ARS Children’s Nutrition Research Center, Houston, Texas, USA). Samples of limestone had no toxic concentrations of heavy metals. One level teaspoon of powdered limestone (approximately 3.5 g=938 mg of elemental calcium) was mixed with the child’s food or porridge twice daily. Enrolled children were randomised by coin toss (performed by TDT) to receive under direct observation either oral vitamin D₂ as 50 000 IU (ergocalciferol; Pliva, Inc., East Hanover, New Jersey) once every 4 weeks (Ca+D group) or placebo, which was a single vitamin B complex tablet, once every 4 weeks (Ca group) for 24 weeks.

Subjects returned every 4 weeks for their supplements and assessment of adverse events. The weight of limestone remaining at each visit was recorded to assess adherence. Height, weight, venous blood, wrist and knee radiographs, and forearm bone density measurements were obtained at baseline and at 12 and 24 weeks after enrolment. Serum samples were stored at −20°C until transported frozen to the Mayo Clinic for analysis. Serum calcium, phosphorus, alkaline phosphatase and albumin were measured with standard methods. Serum 25(OH)D was measured by isotope-dilution liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). Unless otherwise indicated by subscript notation for individual metabolites, 25(OH)D refers to the total concentrations of 25(OH)D₂ and 25(OH)D₃.

Concentrations of 25(OH)D below the limit of detection (5 nmol/L for 25(OH)D₂ and 10 nmol/L for 25(OH)D₃) were designated as zero for the data analysis.

**Statistical analysis**

The primary outcome was the combined attainment of a radiographic score of 1.5 or less and a serum alkaline phosphatase concentration of 350 U/L or less. All radiographs were scored independently by two of the authors (TDT and PRF) and the mean score was used for analysis. Based on the primary outcome measures of radiographic score and serum alkaline phosphatase values and SDs based on previous studies (1.6 for radiographic score and 150 U/L in alkaline phosphatase), 40 subjects in each treatment group would provide 80% power and 95% CI to detect a difference between groups of 1.0 in final radiographic score and 100 U/L in alkaline phosphatase.

Data analysis was performed with Excel 2003 (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, Washington, USA) and JMP V9.0.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina, USA). Unless otherwise noted, means and SDs are reported for continuous variables with normal distributions, and medians are reported for non-normally distributed variables. A paired t-test was used to compare values of continuously normally distributed variables with baseline values, and an unpaired t-test was used to compare values of continuous variables between groups. The Wilcoxon test was used to compare non-normally distributed variables. Logistic regression was used to assess the effect of treatment group on the primary outcome while controlling for baseline group differences and to test for interactions between variables. p Values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

**RESULTS**

A total of 254 children presented with leg deformities, and 72 subjects with radiographically active rickets were enrolled between February 2004 and November 2006 (figure 1). The baseline characteristics at enrolment are shown in table 1. Because of the nature of coin toss randomisation, the number of subjects in the Ca+D group (n=44) was greater than the number in the Ca group (n=28). Unlike classical vitamin D-deficiency rickets, all children were over the age of 1 year at presentation and most had regular sun exposure. Dietary calcium intake was uniformly low, reflecting minimal dairy product intake. Their calcium intakes were well below the Institute of Medicine’s estimated average daily requirements of 500 mg and 800 mg for children 1–3 years of age and 4–8 years of age, respectively. Children’s heights were significantly stunted, in part reflecting their leg deformities, but their relatively normal weight for height and serum albumin did not indicate acute malnutrition.

The baseline mean (±SD) 25(OH)D concentration was 30.2 ±13.2 nmol/L, and 29 (40%) had values below 30 nmol/L. Baseline alkaline phosphatase and radiographic scores were unrelated to 25(OH)D concentrations. Baseline dairy product intake was not significantly associated with height for age (r=0.19), weight for height (r=0.02), serum calcium (r=0.07) or 25(OH)D concentrations (r=−0.05). However, total dietary calcium intake was positively related to weight for height (r=0.29; p=0.01), 25(OH)D concentration (r=0.24; p=0.04) and total energy intake (r=0.59; p<0.001).

Of those enrolled, 68 (94%) completed 24 weeks of treatment. The median adherence to calcium supplementation, based on the weight of unused limestone, was 99% in the Ca group and 96% in the Ca+D group (p=0.54). Alkaline phosphatase values and radiographic scores improved in both groups (figures 2 and 3). In an analysis of alkaline phosphatase values, adjusted for baseline values, mean values were marginally lower in the Ca+D group than the Ca group at 12 weeks (p=0.06) but not
at 24 weeks (p=0.40). Similarly, adjusted mean radiographic scores were lower in the Ca+D group at 12 weeks (p=0.01) but not at 24 weeks (p=0.12).

At the end of 24 weeks, 29 (67%; 95% CI 53% to 80%) in the Ca+D group and 11 (44%; 95% CI 27% to 63%) in the Ca group achieved the primary combined outcome of radiographic and alkaline phosphatase endpoints, and this difference was marginally significant (p=0.06). At the intermediate time point of 12 weeks, 11 (26%) in the Ca+D group and 1 (4.2%) in the Ca group had achieved the combined outcome (p=0.03).

In a logistic regression analysis that controlled for baseline values of alkaline phosphatase, radiographic score, serum 25(OH)D and height for age, treatment with calcium plus vitamin D was significantly superior to treatment with calcium alone (table 2). Interestingly, baseline 25(OH)D concentration was not predictive of a response to vitamin D, as there was no interaction of treatment group with baseline 25(OH)D (p=0.42 for interaction).

At the end of 24 weeks, mean serum calcium values increased similarly in the two treatment groups, and mean 25(OH)D values had increased to 55.4±17.0 nmol/L and 37.9±20.0 nmol/L in the Ca+D and the Ca groups, respectively, (p<0.001; figure 4). In the Ca+D and Ca groups, the response to treatment was associated with an increase in 25(OH)D concentration. Of the 10 subjects with 25(OH)D <30 nmol/L at 24 weeks, two (20%) attained the primary outcome compared with 66% of those with 25(OH)D ≥30 nmol/L (p=0.006). The final 25(OH)D concentration was greater in those who achieved the primary outcome than in those who did not (56.4 ±17.2 nmol/L vs 37.7±18.5 nmol/L, respectively, p<0.001). The increase in height over the course of treatment was significantly related to the final 25(OH)D concentration (r=0.28; p=0.02).

The incremental increase in the distal forearm bone mineral content in the Ca group (least squares mean increase 0.093 g) was nearly twice that of the Ca+D group (least squares mean increase 0.053 g; p=0.02) in a model adjusting for baseline bone mineral content and height. However, the increase in proximal 1/3 forearm bone mineral in the Ca group (least squares mean increase 0.130 g) was similar to that of the Ca+D group (least squares mean increase 0.109 g; p=0.22). The increase in distal and proximal 1/3 bone mineral content was unrelated to treatment response, as assessed by the combined outcome of radiographic and alkaline phosphatase endpoints.

DISCUSSION

We found that vitamin D facilitates more rapid healing, improves vitamin D status and had a marginally significant effect on recovery at the end of 6 months in children with calcium-deficiency rickets treated with calcium carbonate as limestone. The response to treatment with vitamin D and calcium was independent of baseline 25(OH)D concentrations. However, a response to treatment with either calcium or calcium in combination with vitamin D was associated with a greater increase in 25(OH)D concentrations over the period of therapy than in those who did not respond as well.

In a previous study in this population, we found no difference in the primary outcome between groups that received calcium with or without vitamin D, but there was a more rapid initial decline in alkaline phosphatase in the group that received the combination of vitamin D and calcium. In comparing the results of the two studies, we noted that 61% of the calcium group in the earlier study achieved the primary outcome compared with only 44% in the current study. Baseline characteristics of children with rickets were similar, and we used the same combined endpoint in both studies. However, several differences between the interventions in the two studies may account for the disparate results. In the previous study, children were treated with calcium as calcium carbonate tablets and vitamin D3 as 600 000 IU injections every 3 months, whereas in the present study, we used limestone with a greater dose of elemental calcium and vitamin D2 50 000 IU given orally every

Figure 1  Study flow diagram.
4 weeks. Calcium as limestone may be less bioavailable than calcium in tablets of calcium carbonate. Because the limestone was mixed with food or porridge, children who did not finish their food would not have had a complete dose. This may explain, in part, the difference in outcomes between the calcium groups in the two trials. The primary outcome in the Ca+D group was achieved in 67% of subjects in this study compared with 58% in our prior study. Compared with our earlier study, the superior outcome of the Ca+D group in this study may explain, in part, the difference in outcomes between the calcium groups in the two trials. The primary outcome in the Ca+D group was achieved in 67% of subjects in this study compared with 58% in our prior study. Compared with our earlier study, the superior outcome of the Ca+D group in this study may explain, in part, the difference in outcomes between the calcium groups in the two trials.

In comparison, a recent trial in 67 children with nutritional rickets and low dietary calcium intakes responded better to the combination of vitamin D and calcium than to calcium alone. Their trial did not examine healing of rickets beyond 12 weeks, so the relationship of 25(OH)D with treatment response or the effect of treatment on bone density.

It is not clear why vitamin D enhances healing of rickets in children with calcium-deficiency rickets, even in those with adequate vitamin D status, as we have previously shown excellent intestinal calcium absorption without D supplementation. Vitamin D does not improve the already maximal fractional calcium absorption in Nigerian children with rickets, even in those with low serum 25(OH)D concentrations. Studies in adults indicate that intestinal calcium absorption is not significantly reduced until 25(OH)D concentrations are \(<\text{10 nmol/L.}\) Current evidence indicates that vitamin D requirements are greater when dietary calcium intakes are low. This is consistent with our findings in Nigerian children with calcium-deficiency rickets, who demonstrated a marked increase in 1,25(OH)2D in response to vitamin D administration. These findings suggest that vitamin D metabolites may have a direct or indirect effect on bone mineralisation by mechanisms other than calcium absorption. Vitamin D also promotes intestinal absorption.14

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of groups at randomisation*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Ca+D group (n=44)</th>
<th>Ca group (n=28)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (months)</td>
<td>55 (15–144)</td>
<td>42 (16–91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Sex (%)</td>
<td>25 (57%)</td>
<td>17 (61%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of symptoms (months)</td>
<td>21.5 (0.8–108)</td>
<td>19.1 (0.5–61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiographic score</td>
<td>5.5 (2.6–9.5)</td>
<td>5.9 (2.8–10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure to sunlight (h/day)</td>
<td>2 (0–8)</td>
<td>1 (0–8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposed skin (%)</td>
<td>31 (19–47)</td>
<td>37 (19–47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dairy product calcium intake (mg/day)</td>
<td>11 (0–321)</td>
<td>36 (0–200)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary calcium intake (mg/day)</td>
<td>174 (75–402)</td>
<td>168 (70–589)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary energy intake (kcal/day)</td>
<td>1116 (477–1954)</td>
<td>1046 (627–2318)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height for age z-score</td>
<td>–3.78 (–7.37 to –0.30)</td>
<td>–3.02 (–5.97 to 0.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight for height z-score</td>
<td>–0.04 (–2.58 to 1.91)</td>
<td>–0.57 (–2.15 to 1.45)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Serum biochemistry

| Calcium (mmol/L)               | 2.1 (1.6–4.0)     | 2.1 (1.3–3.0)   |
| Corrected calcium (mmol/L)     | 2.0 (1.5–2.8)     | 2.0 (1.2–2.5)   |
| Phosphorus (mmol/L)            | 1.1 (0.4–2.3)     | 1.2 (0.7–1.8)   |
| Alkaline phosphatase (U/L)     | 599 (291–2670)    | 522 (268–2183)  |
| Albumin (g/L)                  | 44 (30–78)        | 45 (38–64)      |
| 25(OH)D (nmol/L)**             | 29.5 (0–50)       | 31.7 (10–70)    |
| 25(OH)D <30 nmol/L             | 18 (41%)          | 11 (39%)        |

Bone densitometry

| Distal radius and ulna         |                           |               |
| Areal bone density (g/cm²)     | 0.127 (0.081–0.237)       | 0.129 (0.057–0.189) |
| Bone mineral content (g)       | 0.245 (0.162–0.699)       | 0.249 (0.072–0.567) |
| Bone area (cm²)                | 2.084 (1.494–2.996)       | 2.096 (1.257–3.307) |

Proximal 1/3 radius and ulna

| Areal bone density (g/cm²)     | 0.183 (0.130–0.304)       | 0.194 (0.139–0.326) |
| Bone mineral content (g)       | 0.333 (0.239–0.656)       | 0.342 (0.214–0.722) |
| Bone area (cm²)                | 1.878 (1.495–2.467)       | 1.836 (1.412–2.312) |

*Data are shown as medians (range) for consistency in the table, because some variables have non-normal distributions.

†p<0.05 for comparison with Ca+D group.

‡To convert values for calcium to milligrams per decilitre, multiply by 4.0.

§Corrected calcium (mmol/L)=total calcium (mmol/L)+0.02×(40–albumin (g/L)).

‖To convert values for phosphorus to milligrams per decilitre, multiply by 3.1.

**To convert values for 25(OH)D to nanograms per millilitre, multiply by 0.40.
phosphorus absorption and mediates phosphorus metabolism, which may also be critical for healing of the rickets.

Surprisingly, the increase in bone density of the distal radius and ulna was greater in the Ca group than in the Ca+D group. Nutritional rickets impairs endochondral ossification at the growth plates, and the growth plates of the radius and ulna are located distally near the wrist. We have previously demonstrated that calcium supplementation increases forearm bone density in young Nigerian children, but this increase was not sustained after withdrawal of calcium supplementation.16

One of the limitations of our study was that we did not attain our target enrolment of 40 subjects in each group before the study concluded. The power of our sample size to detect the observed difference in the primary outcome (6.7% vs 44%) with 95% CI was 46%. However, this lack of power does not affect the conclusions related to our findings that were statistically significant. Coin toss randomisation led to unequal group sizes, but this method of simple randomisation is less error prone than restricted randomisation, because it is entirely unpredictable. However, it is more likely to generate baseline imbalances between the characteristics of the treatment groups, which we did not observe in our trial. Trials that are numerically imbalanced are still scientifically sound, and loss of power occurs only when the imbalance is much greater than 2:1.17

Even children with nutritional rickets resulting from insufficient dietary calcium benefit from vitamin D supplementation in addition to calcium to promote more rapid healing. Limestone can be used as an inexpensive source of calcium in low-income countries.

**Table 2** Logistic regression analysis of factors at enrolment predictive of primary outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline term</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline phosphatase (U/L)</td>
<td>0.998 (0.994 to 1.00)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiographic score</td>
<td>0.73 (0.50 to 1.0)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25(OH)D (nmol/L)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.90 to 1.0)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height for age z-score</td>
<td>1.8 (1.1 to 3.2)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment (Ca+D/Ca)</td>
<td>5.4 (1.3 to 27)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4** Serum 25(OH)D response. Error bars represent SE of the mean. Asterisks indicate p<0.05 for difference between groups, adjusting for baseline concentration.
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