death. Little is known however, how parents make decisions around end of life care. Better understanding of the parental decision making process could lead to more effective care for families in similar circumstances as well as a better allocation of resources.

**Method** This qualitative study used semi-structured interviews with four bereaved parents and subsequent analysis by IPA to describe the parental decision making process.

**Results** During curative treatment, the child’s consultant was regarded as the main decision maker. At the end of life however, the responsibility for decision making lies solely with the parents (the children in this study were not informed of their impending death). Importantly, all participants describe disagreements with their partner, especially with regards to medical treatment decisions. In all families, the disputes were resolved by the mother acting as the main decision maker.

The most important factor in deciding in favour of further treatment was a belief that further treatment could be successful. The principal argument against further treatment was a concern regarding the quality of life for the child should the treatment succeed. The most important reason to take the child home to die was the parental perception that the child did not like the hospital. However, in deciding the place of death it was very important to ensure continued involvement of family and friends who had been supportive during the treatment. After the death of the child, engagement in altruistic and reciprocal activities was described as most helpful in bereavement.

**Conclusion** This retrospective pilot study allows some insight in the parental decision making process at the end of life of their child and calls for further study.

---

**G39 DELIVERING OPTIMUM CARE AT JOURNEY’S END: A COMPARISON OF BARRIERS TO END OF LIFE CARE PLANS BETWEEN A PAEDIATRIC ONCOLOGY SPECIALIST CENTRE IN THE USA AND A DISTRICT GENERAL HOSPITAL IN UK**

1. L Menzies, 2 J Leith. 1 Paediatrics, Whittington Hospital, London, UK; 2 Institute of Child Health, University College London, London, UK.

End of life care is a challenging but vital aspect for children with life-threatening conditions, requiring parental discussion to plan appropriately. Parents express a need for open and honest information, delivered in a sensitive and timely manner. In adults, advanced care discussions (ACD) result in end of life care closer to patient preference, aligning care with patients’ wishes. However there is often patient dissatisfaction regarding the timing and content of ACD.

A recent article in *Pediatrics* (Durall et al., 2012), identified barriers to conducting ACD for children in a paediatric oncology specialist centre in the USA. Following two challenging cases in our own unit, we assessed our colleagues’ views at a district general hospital (DGH) in the UK, to see if similar barriers were pertinent despite contrasting hospital settings. 27 responses to a 25-item survey, adapted from the above article, were compared with findings from the USA centre. Additionally, we explored differences in perceptions between consultants and junior doctors.

Similarities between the two hospitals were striking, with 5 of the 6 top barriers to ACD at the USA paediatric oncology centre being replicated at the DGH. Key issues in both settings included clinicians not knowing the right time to address issues, and clinician concern about removing hope. Of note, across the two centres, nurses identified lack of clinician time and lack of importance to clinician as barriers whereas doctors did not. For consultant paediatricians, specific barriers to ACD were differences between clinician/parent/patient understanding of prognosis and clinician uncertainty about prognosis. For junior doctors, specific concerns were not knowing the right time to address the issues or what to say.

This study demonstrates that similar barriers to ACD exist internationally. Junior and senior doctors identified particular concerns which could help enhance and target teaching at specific training levels. Findings from nurses suggest that doctors should reflect upon whether sufficient emphasis is given to ACDs and whether priorities should be revised.
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**G40(P) WHAT DELAYS DISCHARGE IN CHILDREN WITH LIFE LIMITING CONDITIONS? A QUALITATIVE STUDY OF THE PERCEPTIONS OF PARENTS AND MEDICAL PROFESSIONALS**

C Wansley, School of Medicine, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK.

**Background** It is important that any family of a child with a life limiting condition (LLC) have the option of where they would like their child to receive care (ACT 2010, DOH 2008) and do not have unnecessary protracted periods of hospital admission.

**Aims** The aim of the project was to establish the perceptions of professionals and parents regarding perceived delay in discharge of children admitted acutely who had a LLC, also to look at ways to expedite discharge if appropriate.

**Methods** This study took place in a large tertiary teaching hospital. A qualitative approach was taken – one to one interviews with parents and focus groups with professionals. Inclusion criteria included parents of children with life limiting conditions admitted with acute illnesses.

**Results** The data was analysed using grounded theory. A model emerged of “separateness of expert knowledge” from the parents, community and hospital teams.

**Conclusion** This study, although limited in terms of participant numbers, explored the perceptions of parents and professionals around discharge in children with LLC admitted with acute conditions. The main finding was the “separateness of expert knowledge” between parents, community teams and hospital teams. All the groups included valued access to a professional who knew the patient well. Hospital staff and community teams felt that co-morbidities resulted in longer lengths of stay.

The reason for admission was not solely due to the acute diagnosis, but also lack of other adequate services for this group of children out of hours. There was no specific treatment identified that prevented discharge.

A multi-professional approach to the care of these children, with a robust system for discharge planning may enhance the service. A multi-professional out of hours telephone service for families of children with LLC may help reduce admissions.
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**G41(P) HANDLE WITH CARE: ADVANCE CARE PLANNING (ACP) IN PAEDIATRIC PATIENTS WITH PALLIATIVE CARE NEEDS: QUALITATIVE STUDY OF EXPERIENCES AND PERCEPTIONS OF PAEDIATRIC INTENSIVE CARE UNIT (PICU) MEDICAL AND NURSING STAFF**

S Mitchell, J Dale. 1 PICU, Birmingham Children’s Hospital, Birmingham, UK; 2 Health Sciences, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK; 3 Oncology and Palliative Medicine, University of Cardiff, Cardiff, UK; 4 Clinical Innovation and Research Centre, Royal College of General Practitioners, London, UK.

This study takes place in a large tertiary teaching hospital. A qualitative approach was taken – one to one interviews with parents and focus groups with professionals. Inclusion criteria included parents of children with life limiting conditions admitted with acute illnesses.
Abstracts

Background There are increasing numbers of children with palliative care needs in the community. The death of a child is a rare but tragic event. Currently a considerable number of children who do die, do so on PICU. End-of-life care and ACP in paediatric patients presents one of the most complex, emotional and ethically challenging scenarios that exists in clinical medicine. Currently there is a lack of uniformity in approach.

Aim The overall aim of the project was to investigate the research question: “What is current practise related to ACP in relation to children with life-limiting illness on PICU, and how might this be improved?”

Methods Qualitative semi-structured interviews were conducted with senior medical and nursing staff in a tertiary referral centre PICU. Interviews were transcribed, field notes were documented and a thematic content analysis was carried out, using an inductive approach.

Results The sample comprised eight consultants and six senior nurses. Themes were revealed around “the significance of the death of a child”. ACP is a dynamic, MDT process that is considered essential in order to improve care for children with life-limiting conditions to increase the likelihood of “a good death”. Every case is different, but PICU clinicians feel that ACP can be associated with the delivery of appropriate care that is in the best interests of the patient. Multiple barriers exist, including the difficulty in raising the issue, unpredictable illness trajectories making it difficult to identify when to commence discussions, multicultural issues, and lack of training in and time to deliver ACP.

Conclusion “How people die remains in the memory of those who live on.” Dame Cicely Saunders.

The motivations for improvement of ACP for paediatric patients with palliative care needs are numerous, nationally to standardise care and ensure appropriate use of pressurised NHS resources, locally within regions to provide care appropriate for the population and close to home, and most of all on an individual level to ensure the best possible care, with respect for autonomy and choice, for each child with a life-limiting illness and their family.

Results 24 replies (85.71%) were received. 14 (58.33%) of the 24 PICUs who replied to the survey have ACP documents in use. Three departments use the “Wishes” documents. Other ACPs in use were the WMFPCCN Paediatric Palliative Care Toolkit ACP and the South Central ACP. Of the ten units who do not currently have formal ACP documents, one detailed plans to launch a local document in the near future, and three listed either rapid discharge and extubation pathways or deterioration management pathways that are currently in use.

Conclusion The motivations for ACP for children with life-limiting illness are numerous, and include recognition that intensive therapy and invasive interventions may be inappropriate when death is near or inevitable. ACP potentially allows the facilitation of choice for patients and their families. Guidelines, strategies and policies for ACP are being produced faster than the evidence base to support them. This may currently be a barrier to uptake of formal ACP documents, and warrants further investigation.

Clinical Audit on Advanced Care Plan for Management of Cardiopulmonary Arrest in Children and Young Person with Advanced Malignancy (single Centre Experience)

M Aye, D Hobin. Paediatric Department, UHNS, Stoke on Trent, UK; Paediatric Oncology Department, BCCH, Birmingham, UK

Aim To find out whether children with advanced malignant disease referred to Palliative care team had advanced care plan for management of cardiopulmonary arrest or not.

Secondary objectives To detect designated purple form (Advance care plan-ACP) from the West midland Paediatric palliative care toolkits have been used or not.

To identify the heath care profession who involved in making ACP decision. Lastly, to assess place of death related to presence of ACP with DNAR (Do Not Attempt Resuscitation).

Methods Retrospective study of Children with advanced malignant disease who died while under the care of Palliative care team (BCH) during May to October 2011.

Data were collected from Palliative care data base, Patients’ notes (medical and palliative care notes) and Letters.

Standard Child & Young Person’s Advance Care Plan Policy – April 2011;West Midlands Paediatric Palliative Care Network, Paediatric Palliative Care toolkit

Results Total number of death from June 2011 to Oct 2011 was 26, 25 with solid Tumour and 3 with haematology malignancy. Age ranged from neonate till 16 years.

Eighty percent (21/26) of children had formulated plan for DNAR. Half (51%) used the purple form (ACP from West midland Paediatric Palliative care toolkit), the remaining half either by using other DNAR forms (20%) or letters (28%).

Most (90%) of the ACP with DNAR were supported by consultant (paediatric oncologist) and only 10% was by general practitioner.

Eighteen children (68%) died at home and all of them had ACP with DNAR. 2 children with ACP with DNAR, died at hospice. 26% (6) died in hospital (oncology ward/PICU), 5 children without ACP and 1 child with ACP in place. All the children (5) with haematological malignancy died in hospital while they were on active treatment without ACP in place.

Conclusion Advanced care plan discussion should start early in the course of illness according to the pace of parents and children at the sensitive manner. The regional standard ACP form (Purple form-Palliative care toolkits) should be used in all cases with life-limiting conditions.