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Background and aims Prescribing errors frequently occur in paediatric medicine, especially in paediatric intensive care units (PICUs). Computerized physician order entry (CPOE) systems may help to prevent these errors. This study examined the frequency, nature and determinants of prescribing errors in electronic and handwritten prescriptions in a PICU population.

Methods All prescriptions (electronic and handwritten) for children aged 0–18 years hospitalized in a 14-bed PICU of a university medical center, The Netherlands, from February 2008 - December 2010, were prospectively collected and checked for prescribing errors and determinants (prescription-, patient- and medication-related) thereof.

Results 23,207 prescriptions for 659 patients were collected, of which 14,387 (64%) were handwritten and 8,312 (36%) electronically ordered. 6% of the prescriptions contained a therapeutic error and 54% was administratively incomplete (1–7 missing items per prescription). Electronically ordered prescriptions contained significantly less therapeutic and administrative errors than handwritten prescriptions (p<0.001), mainly due to better legibility and completeness. More than 10% of the prescribed doses was outside the therapeutic range of the Dutch paediatric drug formulary. Important determinants of prescribing errors were handwritten prescriptions (OR=8.1 [2.9–3.3]), intravenous medication (OR=2.9 [2.6–3.3]), the youngest of age (up to 1 month OR=1.2 [1.1–1.4]) and drugs affecting the musculo-skeletal system (OR=3.4 [2.9–3.9]).

Conclusions PICU prescribing errors occur frequently. CPOE systems reduce error rates but do not fully prevent these. Data to support what is exactly needed to build better prescribing systems for PICU patients are scarce. This study provides information for improvements in electronic prescribing for PICU patients.
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Background and aims Accurate interpretation of the paediatric ECG is of great clinical significance and safety in managing unwell children. Paediatric trainees are assumed adequate knowledge in interpreting ECGs but there are limited studies demonstrating this.

Methods In an online survey-based questionnaire, participants interpreted 10 common clinical conditions with recognisable ECGs, including a normal paediatric and neonatal ECG. A brief educational page followed, then 10 different post-test ECGs on the same conditions. Brief demographics were collected on participant’s grade and previous ECG training.

Results 764(n) participants accessed the online survey. Trainees completing only the first 10 ECGs we described as the ‘10-test’, and those completing the full survey with 10-test and 10-post-test ECGs after reading our educational material, as the ‘20-test’.

Abstract 215 Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-Group</th>
<th>Total Participants</th>
<th>10-test (score)</th>
<th>10-test (n)</th>
<th>20-test Pre-test (score)</th>
<th>20-test Post-test (score)</th>
<th>20-test (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ST 1–3</td>
<td>n=195, 25.6%</td>
<td>60.5%</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>63.7%</td>
<td>77.0%</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST 4–6</td>
<td>n=137, 17.9%</td>
<td>65.1%</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>69.2%</td>
<td>71.2%</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST 7–8 &amp; Consultants</td>
<td>n=185, 24.2%</td>
<td>68.0%</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>69.8%</td>
<td>74.4%</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others - includes ED/Anaesethics/ANPs/GP</td>
<td>n=247, 32.3%</td>
<td>61.5%</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>58.1%</td>
<td>69.3%</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusions Our study is the largest of its kind assessing ECG interpretation in UK Paediatric practice. Only 27.9% participants had previous formal training, with training and our educational material reflecting improvements by upto 15%. Without routine access to ECG interpretation by tertiary cardiology centres, more formal training needs incorporating into the UK Paediatric training program to accurately identify significant ECG anomalies, inform clinical decisions and optimise patient safety.
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Background and aims Medication errors, defined as preventable events that may lead to incorrect medication use or patient harm, is a big problem in healthcare. Especially children are considered to be at high risk of experiencing harm due to medication errors. Interventions to prevent errors have led to limited improvements. If, however, we could identify error prone situations, more effective interventions could be developed and thereby prevent patient harm. The purpose of this study was to establish the prevalence of harm due to medication errors categorized in characteristics of Patients and Pills in all phases of the medication Process.

Methods We investigated medication errors using a multifaceted approach including direct observations, and review of patients’ files, pharmacy logs and voluntary incidents reports. All medication errors were classified in terms of (potential) patient harm.

Results We collected data of 426 patients admitted to five paediatric, non-ICU wards during three months. In 258 patients at least one medication error was identified: 55% (236/426). A total of 39 errors were harmful affecting 37 patients: 9% (37/426).

Significantly more harmful medication errors were found in patients after surgery: 68% (25/37).

In 59% (23/39) of the ADEs analgesics were involved: non- opioids 49% (19/39) and opioids 10% (4/39). Prescribing and administering were the most error prone activities: 28% (11/39) and 62% (24/39).

Conclusions Our results identified error prone Patients, Pills and medication Process. This will guide future targeted interventions to improve medication safety for children.
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Background and aims Childhood is characterized by a considerable growth in children’s body weight, height, and body composition leading to the necessity of adjusting the dosage of medicines. Yet, this is often not done. New oral solid drugs are available for children, but the acceptance and preference of 4 different oral dosage forms is not known.

Methods A convenience sample of 105 healthcare workers and 105 parents (67 for their children) of infants and pre-school children from two hospitals in The Hague and Amsterdam filled in the same questionnaire. The assessment contained factual questions about the type of medication a child used, the preference for the different oral dosage forms, the acceptance of these and reasons for their choice.

Results 76% of parents gave the medication to their child using a spoon; 71% of healthcare workers preferred the spoon. To reduce the number of screenings and the number of drugs required, parents and health care workers preferred tablets or liquid. The preference of the children was not recorded because of the lack of their acceptance.

Conclusions Acceptance and preference of oral dosage forms depend on the acceptance and preference of healthcare workers and parents. This suggests that the development of alternative oral dosage forms needs to be child-friendly.