# PostScript

# LETTERS

# Rejoinder to Eigenmann PA, Haengelli CA, Food colourings and preservatives—allergy and hyperactivity (*Lancet* 2004;364:823–4) and an erratum

Eigenmann and Haenggeli have commented<sup>1</sup> on a paper we recently published on food additives and hyperactivity in children.<sup>2</sup> This commentary gives a seriously misleading account of the findings of the study. Eigenmann and Haenggeli claim that "the term hyperactivity seems to be used as synonymous to ADHD". We deliberately did not use the term ADHD as a criterion for recruitment into the study. This is a diagnostic term requiring a set of explicit criteria to be met and is of doubtful validity when applied to 3 year olds. The definition of hyperactivity we used for this study was one based on the risk of subsequent behavioural difficulties in middle childhood which we had established previously in a longitudinal study of an epidemiologically ascertained sample of 3 years olds.3 4

The study used screens for atopy (AT) and for hyperactivity (HA) applied to a total population sample to identify cases for the following design: "Children were entered into the four group randomised, placebo controlled, double blind, crossover challenge study. The four groups were in a  $2\times 2$ between group design with the following groups: HA/AT, non-HA/AT, HA/non-AT, and non-HA/non-AT."<sup>2</sup> Eigenmann and Haenggeli observe that "...families interested in hyperactivity seem to be over-represented" and on this basis conclude that "...results from this study should not lead to recommendations for the general population". The presence of hyperactivity was one of the inclusion criteria of the food challenge phase of the study and consequently occurs in about half of the cases. A substantial proportion of children were included in the food challenge phase by design. Full details of participant flow were given in a diagram (fig 1 in our paper) as recommended in the CONSORT statement for reporting randomised trials.5

The separate issue of sample attrition through each of the stages of the study was considered carefully and we concluded that the findings from the group completing the food challenge phase would indeed hold for the general population.

The study found significantly greater increases in hyperactive behaviour reported by parents when the children were given the active compared to the placebo challenge. The statement by Eigenmann and Haenggeli that "parents' observations can be easily explained by their expectations" is puzzling. The parents, children, and the person collecting the behaviour ratings were blind as to the food challenge being taken by the child over these periods. Consequently "expectations" cannot account for the effects we identified based on changes during the active and placebo periods. This does not hold for the reduction in hyperactivity we observed during the withdrawal phase which, as we discussed in the paper, was not blinded and was greater than that for the placebo versus active periods. This would be expected if the withdrawal effect alone was influenced by parental expectations.

The final part of the Eigenmann and Haenggeli commentary is concerned with the use of diet changes as treatments for hyperactivity. Our study showed that the effects of food colourings and the benzoate preservative were not restricted to or more strongly present for children with atopy or hyperactivity. Consequently our conclusions did not relate to the treatment of children with hyperactivity but rather to the preventive public health issue of whether food additives are having a general detrimental effect on children's behaviour. The final conclusion from the paper was "...if additives have an effect at all, it is via a pharmacological effect which is best exemplified by the non-IgE dependent histamine release. We believe that this suggests that benefit would accrue for all children if artificial food colours and benzoate preservatives were removed from their diet. These findings are sufficiently strong to warrant attempts at replication in other general population samples and to examine whether similar benefits of the removal of artificial colourings and sodium benzoate from the diet could be identified in community samples at older ages." We are now conducting just such a replication.

### Erratum

While preparing this rejoinder, we have discovered an error in the reporting of the composition of the above mix in the paper. The sentence that reads:

"The active drink included 20 mg in total of artificial food colourings (sunset yellow, tartrazine, carmoisine, and ponceau 4R; 5 mg of each) (Forrester Wood, Oldham, UK) and 45 mg of sodium benzoate (J Loveridge, Southampton, UK)."

should have read:

"The active drink included 20 mg in total of artificial food colourings (sunset yellow 5 mg, tartrazine 7.5 mg, carmoisine 2.5 mg, and ponceau 4R 5 mg) (Forrester Wood, Oldham, UK) and 45 mg of sodium benzoate (J Loveridge, Southampton, UK)."

#### J Stevenson, B Bateman, J O Warner School of Psychology, University of Southampton, UK

Correspondence to: Prof. J O Warner, School of Psychology, University of Southampton, Southampton SO17 1 BJ, UK; jow@soton.ac.uk

Funding: Jim Stevenson and John Warner are funded to conduct studies on children's food by the Food Standards Agency, UK

Competing interests: none declared

#### References

- Eigenmann PA, Haengelli CA. Food colourings and preservatives—allergy and hyperactivity. *Lancet* 2004;364:823–4.
- 2 Bateman B, Warner JO, Hutchinson E, et al. The effects of a double blind placebo controlled artificial food colourings and benzoate preservative challenge on hyperactivity in a general population sample of preschool children. Arch Dis Child 2004;89:506–11.
- 3 Thompson MJJ, Stevenson J, Sonuga-Barke EJS, et al. The mental health of preschool children and their mothers in a mixed urban/rural population: I. Prevalence and ecological factors. Br J Psychiatry 1996;168:16–20.
- 4 Sonuga-Barke EJS, Thompson M, Stevenson J, et al. Patterns of behaviour problems among preschool children. Psychol Med 1997;27:909–18.
- 5 Moher D, Schulz KF, Altman G, for the CONSORT Group. The CONSORT statement: revised recommendations for improving the quality of reports of parallel-group randomised trials. *Lancet* 2001;357:1191–4.

# Developmental delay versus developmental impairment

The use of the term delay should be replaced by impairment because of parental perception of the meaning of delay as applied to development.

I would like to draw attention to my experience of parents' perception of the language we use in describing children and their ability.

It is common practice to refer to children who are detected to be significantly behind in achieving developmental milestones to be *developmentally delayed*. In talking to prospective adoptive parents I have become aware of how misleading this phrase is in describing to prospective adopters what we mean.

The general population has a perception of delay to mean something that will get there in the end, rather like a train being delayed, but reaching its destination eventually. It has taught me to use the term *impairment* rather than *delay* so that I do transmit to prospective adopters the true meaning of what I am trying to describe.

I wonder if as a profession we would consider examining our use of this term *delay* and possibly re-educating our profession to use the term *impairment* because it does not suggest that the child will be normal eventually.

#### A Bosley

North Devon Healthcare Trust, North Devon District Hospital, Raleigh Park, Barnstaple EX31 4JB, UK; alan.bosley@ndevon.swest.nhs.uk

# Palivizumab prophylaxis in haemodynamically significant congenital heart disease

Patients with congenital heart disease (CHD) have been reported by many authors to have high rates of hospitalisation, morbidity, and mortality associated with respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) lower respiratory tract illness.<sup>1-3</sup> However, in a recent paper in *Archives* of *Disease in Childhood*, Duppenthaler *et al* reported a substantially lower incidence of They suggest that the rate of hospitalisation in their population of patients from the Canton of Bern, Switzerland was as much as four times lower than rates previously reported in the United States. Based on these results they concluded that the unrestricted use of palivizumab to prevent RSV hospitalisation was not justified.

There are several possible methodological reasons for the disparity in RSV hospitalisation rates in the calculations of both the numerator and denominator. With respect to the numerator, Duppenthaler's methods would miss all of the nosocomial RSV disease. Furthermore, ascertaining the true incidence of RSV hospitalisation would require that all CHD patients admitted to the hospital undergo RSV screening, as was done in the international multicentre trial, not just those with symptoms judged typical of RSV.<sup>5</sup>

Finally, in a previous paper by the same authors in the first four years of the study (1997/98-2000/01),6 12 of 497 patients studied aged <5 years were identified with CHD compared to 6 of 449 aged <2 years in this study. Since the previous study encompassed children under the age of 5, the difference of six patients between the first study and this one would imply that children who were hospitalised were between the ages of 2 and 5 (making a strong case for palivizumab prophylaxis in that age group), or they were deemed to have haemodynamically insignificant heart disease (making a case for prophylaxis in this group or questioning the definition of haemodynamically significant heart disease).

With respect to the denominator, the author used the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) coding as a screen for patients with "haemodynamically significant" CHD for entry into the cardiology registry. However, the ICD system does not allow for severity adjustment and therefore does not distinguish between haemodynamically significant and insignificant disease. In our recent multicentre trial, we defined haemodynamically significant CHD as patients with cyanotic CHD, single ventricle physiology, or those with acyanotic CHD that required medical therapy.5 Overall, this would account for only about 35-40% of all the CHD patients. This would have significantly reduced the number of patients in the denominator, increasing RSV hospitalisation incidence. Secondly, no attempt was made to verify the accuracy of screening utilizing the ICD system, which might have resulted in missed patients with CHD due to inaccurate and/or inconsistent coding. Third, the calculations of child-years of observation, as written, was assumed to equal the number of child-years. This would be true if every child were born on 1 July; however, if births are spread out over 12 months the number of child-years should be halved.

Thus, if the numerator were increased (for the reasons stated above) by perhaps one and one-half to two times and the denominator were decreased by two times (even just to account for the incorrect child-years of observation), the actual calculated hospitalisation rate per 100 child-years would be between  $3\frac{1}{2}$  and 4 times higher than the rates quoted in tables 3 and 4. These rates would then be comparable to the rates of CHD in the USA.<sup>3</sup> In support of this statement is a brief recalculation of their data. The total birth cohort observed over six years was 54 947. There were 813 RSV hospitalisations which would give a rate of 29.6 per 1000 children per year, similar to other developed countries.<sup>4</sup> Finally, the calculation of relative risks for hospitalisation uses a referent group that is not low risk, but includes children with prematurity and chronic lung disease, that would unfairly bias the relative risk in a lower direction. The correct referent group would be the low risk group as was done by Boyce and colleagues.<sup>3</sup>

We would agree with the authors that unrestricted use of palivizumab in CHD patients is not warranted. The intention was never to use the drug indiscriminately in CHD patients as evidenced in the cardiac trial that restricted its use in truly haemodynamically significant young CHD patients.5 We disagree with the use of an NNT analysis to justify this statement. An NNT analysis only factors in the cost from a single RSV hospitalisation. But the CHD infant with RSV is likely to incur additional morbidity and mortality related to future hospitalisations and/or treatment, especially when it comes to surgical correction, and thus raises the cost of care.7 Also, NNT analysis takes only a payer's perspective, and ignores the societal component of pharmacoeconomics. As healthcare providers, it is our responsibility to use costly drugs in a responsible manner, while also ensuring that these patients receive the treatment/prevention from which they would clearly benefit.

#### T F Feltes, E Simoes Department of Pediatric Cardiology, Columbus Children's Hospital and Ohio State University, USA

Correspondence to: Dr T F Feltes, 6th Floor, Education, 700 Children's Drive, Columbus, Ohio 43205, USA; tfeltes@chi.osu.edu

#### References

- Moler FW, Khan AS, Meliones JN, et al. Respiratory syncytial virus morbidity and mortality estimates in congenital heart disease patients: a recent experience. Crit Care Med 1992:20:1406–13
- 2 Simoes EAF, Sondheimer HM, Top FH Jr, et al. Respiratory syncytial virus immune globulin for prophylaxis against respiratory syncytial virus disease in infants and children with congenital heart disease. The Cardiac Study Group. J Pediatr 1998:133:492-9.
- 3 Boyce TG, Mellen BG, Mitchel EF Jr, et al. Rates of hospitalization for respiratory syncytial virus infection among children in Medicaid. J Pediatr 2000;137:865–70.
- 4 Duppenthaler A, Ammann RA, Gorgievski-Hrisoho M, et al. Low incidence of respiratory syncytial virus hospitalisations in haemodynamically significant congenital heart disease. Arch Dis Child 2004;89:961–5.
- 5 Feltes TF, Cabalka AK, Meissner HC, et al. Palivizumab prophylaxis reduces hospitalization due to respiratory syncytial virus in young children with hemodynamically significant congenital heart disease. J Pediatr 2003;143:532–40.
- 6 Duppenthaler A, Gorgievki-Hrisoho M, Frey U, et al. Two-year periodicity of respiratory syncytial virus epidemics in Switzerland. Infection 2003;31:75–80.
- 7 Khongphatthanayothin A, Wong PC, Samara Y, et al. Impact of respiratory syncytial virus infection on surgery for congenital heart disease: postoperative course and outcome. Crit Care Med 1999;27:1974–81.

### Authors' reply

We greatly appreciate the interest of Feltes and Simoes in our study, but we are somewhat surprised by the intensity of their allegations. The large number of unrelated flaws they claim to find in the methods we used suggests that Feltes and Simoes have a fundamentally different view of how these data should be interpreted. In our opinion, their critique is mostly unjustified and requires a firm rebuttal.

It is true that nosocomial infections were not included in our study.<sup>1</sup> We did not claim otherwise. However, adding for instance a 14% rate of nosocomial RSV infections as reported for the placebo arm in their study (9 of 63 cases),<sup>2</sup> would not translate into a major change in the calculated RSV hospitalisation incidence.

It is also correct that RSV tests were not conducted in CHD patients admitted for reasons other than respiratory tract disease, but it is incorrect to claim that RSV testing was performed only in patients with typical RSV symptoms. Symptoms and signs leading to RSV testing are detailed in the method section of our paper<sup>1</sup> and encompass the vast majority of presentations caused by RSV. Furthermore, it is unclear why Feltes and Simoes here compare our observational study with their randomised controlled trial (RCT), which obviously led to a more complete case catchment, but may not reflect real life-a well known limitation of RCT. It would have been more appropriate to compare our study with the Tennessee Medicaid Study by Boyce and colleagues,3 which we used as the comparator study. In this study, however, only 6% of cases were specifically coded as RSV infection; 94% were coded as "bronchiolitis".3 Thus, it appears obvious that our case catchment was not inappropriately insensitive. It is also worth mentioning here that our method of diagnosing RSV had undergone in-house validation 4

In our previous study,<sup>5</sup> we did not focus on CHD cases. During the entire six year study period described in the present study, there were 21 hospitalisations among CHD patients <24 months of age, 11 of which were considered by the cardiologist as haemodynamically insignificant. There were an additional three cases in children >24 months of age, all haemodynamically significant. During the first four years of the study period covered also by our previous study,5 there were 12 hospitalisations, all in children <24 months of age, six of which were considered haemodynamically significant. During the subsequent two years, there were 12 cases. Of these, nine cases occurred in children <24 months of age and were haemodynamically significant in four.

We did not-as Feltes and Simoes apparently assume-use ICD codes for case catchment. As stated in the method section,<sup>1</sup> the ICD-10 codes Q20-Q26 describe CHD patients included in the database. The large groups of haemodynamically insignificant VSD, ASD, and PDA are not included. All cases included in the registry were "CHD requiring medical therapy". It follows that the definition of haemodynamically significant CHD used in our study to create the denominator is quite similar to the definition used by them.<sup>2</sup> Their claim that the true denominator of CHD in our population was only 35-40% of the figure used, is incorrect in our opinion. Again, our main comparator study<sup>3</sup> used a less stringent definition of CHD (ICD-9 codes 745-747) which did not address the issue of haemodynamic significance, and was thus more likely to report estimates of low precision.

Feltes and Simoes also claim that we got it wrong with the "child-years" and thus overestimated that denominator by a factor 2. Objection! We agree that RSV exposure only occurs during approximately half of the child-years, and that total child-years should be divided by factor 2 for calculation of incidence per child-year of RSV season. However, we compared our incidence rates with those of Boyce and colleagues,3 which were already corrected for this discrepancy (that is, our data in table 3 were compared to the column entitled "Hospitalisations per 1000 children" in table 1 of Boyce's paper, p. 867,<sup>3</sup> which were multiplied by factor 2). Had we truly committed the mistake claimed by Feltes and Simoes, we would have compared our data with the preceding column in the said table, which is entitled 'Incidence'' (meaning: hospitalisations per 1000 child-years of RSV season).

Reduction of our denominator by factor 2 was indeed necessary, when children <6 months of age only were investigated. This, however, we did for calculation of the figures in table 3 and we explicitly stated that we did so in the text on page 963.<sup>1</sup> Thus, this allegation again is incorrect in our opinion.

It is true that we used the entire population of non-CHD patients as referent, because we did not have data of sufficient quality for analysis of other individual risk factors. This was clearly stated in the manuscript. However, to claim that such a comparison is "unfair" is difficult to understand, because (1) for comparison we used Boyce's raw data<sup>3</sup> to calculate non-CHD rates in their population, and (2) in Switzerland, palivizumab has been recommended for children with severe BPD only.

Thus, we believe that it does make sense to compare CHD patients to all others who do not receive palivizumab. The very small group of children with severe BPD makes no substantial difference here.

We agree with Feltes and Simoes that NNT should not play a major role when it comes to providing optimal care for children with CHD. This is one reason why the new 2004 Swiss recommendations for the administration of palivizumab include children <1 vear of age with surgically uncorrected, haemodynamically significant CHD and cyanotic CHD or severe pulmonary hypertension or cardiac failure,<sup>6</sup> as soon as the distributor of palivizumab successfully applies for mandatory coverage by the health insurance companies. If, however, resources are limited, and they increasingly are in many European countries, cost-effectiveness analyses including NNT do play a role when authorities have to weigh different new interventions against each other.

In summary, we believe that Feltes and Simoes create a largely incorrect worst case scenario of what could have gone wrong with our study. As elaborated above, we believe that our data are correct and—with the limitations described in the paper—reflect the current epidemiology in the study area.

#### C Aebi

Department of Pediatrics, University of Bern, CH-3010 Bern, Switzerland; christoph.aebi@insel.ch

#### References

 Duppenthaler A, Ammann RA, Gorgievski-Hrisoho M, et al. Low incidence of respiratory syncytial virus hospitalizations in haemodynamically significant congenital heart disease. *Arch Dis Child* 2004;**89**:961–5.

- 2 Feltes TF, Cabalka AK, Meissner HC, et al. Palivizumab prophylaxis reduces hospitalization due to respiratory syncytial virus in young children with hemodynamically significant congenital heart disease. J Pediatr 2003;143:532-40.
- 3 Boyce TG, Mellen BG, Mitchel EF, et al. Rates of hospitalization for respiratory syncytial virus infection among children in Medicaid. J Pediatr 2000;137:865–70.
- 4 Wyder-Westh C, Duppenthaler A, Gorgievski-Hrisoho M, et al. Evaluation of two commercially available rapid antigen assays for detection of respiratory syncytial virus in nasopharyngeal secretions of young children. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2003;22:774–5.
- 5 Duppenthaler A, Gorgievski-Hrisoho M, Frey U, et al. Two-year periodicity of respiratory syncytial virus epidemics in Switzerland. Infection 2003;31:75-80.
- 6 Aebi C, Barazzone C, Gunthardt J, et al. Konsensus Statement zur Praevention von Respiratory Syncytial Virus (RSV)-Infektionen mit dem humanisierten monoklonalen Antikörper Palivizumab (Synagis<sup>®</sup>). Paediatrica 2004;15:12–16.

# The Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health flagship meeting: is it value for money?

The most recent Newsletter from the Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health (RCPCH) was accompanied by a call for abstracts for the 9th Spring Meeting. An article in a recent RCPCH trainee's newsletter from the chairman of the trainees' committee expressed disappointment at the level of attendance by trainees, and that those who did attend left almost immediately after giving their presentation. This is supported by official figures from the RCPCH<sup>1</sup> which show the lowest number of SHO and SpR attendees at the 2004 meeting over the past six years (table 1). SpR attendances at the 2004 meeting accounted for less than one quarter of total attendees and SHO attendances for only 2%. Why is this happening?

I postulate that it is simply too expensive. To attend for the three full day sessions at the RCPCH meeting will cost in excess of £500. The total sum involved is in excess of most trainees' annual study leave budget. Indeed with the financial constraints existing in most NHS trusts, study leave budgets are often not fully reimbursed, leaving trainees to supplement fees from their own pocket.

In contrast to most other countries, no concessions are given for trainees. The Society for Paediatric Research in the United States offers significant reductions in subscription fees for their annual meeting. This concession for trainees is mirrored by flagship paediatric meetings in most European countries, including the Congrès de la Société Française de Pédiatrie, which are attended by a far greater percentage of trainees than the RCPCH meeting. If the RCPCH is serious about campaigning for a greater number of junior attendees at the Spring Meeting then it must follow the example of most other major paediatric meetings worldwide and offer financial concessions to the future paediatricians they are hoping to train.

#### D P Kenny

Department of Paediatric Cardiology, Bristol Childrens Hospital, UK; damienkenny@doctors.org.uk

Competing interests: none declared

#### Reference

#### 1 The Royal College of Paediatricians and Child Health. Official statistics, RCPCH, 2004.

# Reply by the RCPCH

I am pleased to have the opportunity to respond on behalf of the Academic Board. The trainee representatives on the Academic Board have taken soundings from their peers and formal approaches have been made to regional advisers, to inform our extensive discussions of these same data.

The data above show a fall in attendance, but do not provide any evidence that the reducing attendance is because the meeting is too expensive. Indeed, during inquiries many other factors were identified-European Working Time Directive, the introduction of shift systems at middle grade, shorter training attachments at Specialist Registrar level are some-which not only have the effect of reducing and availability for visits to the Spring Meeting, but mitigated against research activities by trainees, so reducing their chance of presenting at the Spring Meeting. The growth of sub-specialty training may also be attracting trainees to specialty groups in the UK and Europe instead of the RCPCH Spring Meeting.

The Academic Board Executive has written to RCPCH college tutors and the chairman and members of the National Association of Clinical Tutors to ensure that trainees are encouraged to attend and given study leave and funding to do so. Contrary to popular belief, the Spring Meeting does not make money for the College, even when profits from the trade exhibition are included (these latter are far smaller than abroad, because of rules about sponsorship). Indeed, the meeting runs within a very narrow margin of making a loss. The figures are published in the annual accounts. Concessions for any group would mean an increase in costs for another.

Finally, the venue of the Spring Meeting is regularly reviewed, but there are few alternative venues, when the requirements for simultaneous sessions, technical support, accommodation, and provender are taken into account. Currently, York University offers good value and a fine setting where paediatricians, senior and junior, from the UK and abroad can meet, make and renew

Table 1 Attendance figures for RCPCH Spring Meeting 1997-2004								
	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998	1997
Consultant SpR Staff grade SHO Other	824 348 97 32 255	903 373 80 34 215	1044 430 112 43 228	891 403 81 37 225	944 454 61 37 211	977 422 47 39 171	805 232 39 15 213	691 186 32 21 38

friendships, and exchange ideas about the scientific foundations that underpin our specialty practice.

#### Alistair Thomson

Secretary, Academic Board, RCPCH, London, UK; athomson@alsagerst7.freeserve.co.uk

# Meningitis is a common cause of convulsive status epilepticus

With the benefit of hindsight from this study,<sup>1</sup> which refuted the perception that convulsive status epilepticus is atypical of acute bacterial meningitis (ABM), cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) sampling might have been more readily undertaken, and perhaps more blood cultures done, given the fact that the latter modality sometimes tests positive even when CSF Gram stains are non-contributory.

The crux of the matter is how the index of suspicion for meningitis is "packaged", and the bottom line is that, given the fact that both ABM and tuberculous meningitis (TBM) are eminently amenable to treatment, and without treatment death is an almost invariable outcome for both, common ground must be found in the "packaging" in order to optimise diagnostic potential. A package which does not acknowledge the true prevalence of disease manifestations risks relegating those stigmata to oblivion, the latter being the fate of the blanching maculopapular rash which, notwithstanding its prevalence of 13% in meningococcaemia,<sup>2</sup> nevertheless totally escaped mention in the section on ABM in a leading textbook.3 With a prevalence of 6.5-9.7% in ABM, the CSF which is characterised by normal cellularity and biochemistry<sup>4 5</sup> is another parameter that deserves greater recognition than is usually the case, especially because this is a feature which may characterise TBM as well.<sup>67</sup> One view is that, in the latter context coexisting HIV/AIDS is the operative factor for this manifestation of TBM.6

What is also evident from the HIV/AIDS epidemic, is that tuberculous patients who harbour this virus are more likely to have extrapulmonary tuberculosis than their counterparts who do not have HIV/AIDS.8 The paradigm shift dictated by the HIV/AIDS era is that the index of suspicion for miliary tuberculosis and, hence, TBM, should be correspondingly higher, and that parallels between ABM and TBM should be more readily recognised. For example, like the four patients reported with ABM in the absence of meningeal signs,<sup>1</sup> the 8 month old HIV/AIDS patient with TBM reported by Janner et al also presented without any clinical signs of meningitis.

Fundoscopy is crucial to the index of suspicion for miliary tuberculosis and, hence, TBM, given the fact that the presence of choroidal tubercles will reveal the miliary component even when routine chest radiography has failed to do so.<sup>9</sup> Among 113 confirmed cases of miliary tuberculosis, 12.4% were undetected by chest *x* ray.<sup>9</sup> Choroidal tubercles were detected by fundoscopy in five of the 14 *x* ray negative cases.<sup>9</sup>

The armamentaria for the heightened index of suspicion for ABM as well as for TBM include a more overt acknowledgment of the significance of the blanching maculopapular rash in ABM, routine fundoscopy to detect choroidal tubercles, a greater willingness to undertake CSF sampling and blood cultures in convulsive status epilepticus, and a recognition that a CSF which is normal for cell count and for biochemistry may be a feature of either ABM or TBM, and so may be the total absence of signs of meningeal irritation.

#### O Jolobe

Retired Geriatrician, Manchester Medical Association, UK; oscarjolobe@yahoo.co.uk

Competing interests: none declared

#### References

- Chin RFM, Neville BG, Scott RC. Meningitis is a common cause of convulsive status epilepticus with fever. Arch Dis Child 2005;90:66–9.
- 2 Marzouk O, Thomson AP, Sills JA, et al. Features and outcomes in meningococcal disease presenting with maculopapular rash. Arch Dis Child 1991;66:485–7.
- 8 Rudd PT. Acute bacterial meningitis. In: Campbell AGM, McIntosh N, eds. Forfar and Arneill's textbook of pediatrics, 5th edn. Churchill Livingstone, 1998:1288–92.
- 4 Rosenthal J, Golan A, Dagan R, et al. Bacterial meningitis with initial normal cerebrospinal fluid findings. Isr J Med Sci 1989;25:186–8.
- 5 Coll M-T, Uriz MS, Pineda V, et al. Meningococcal meningitis with normal cerebrospinal fluid. J Infect 1994:29:289–94.
- 6 Karstaedt AS, Valtchanova S, Barriere R, et al. Tuberculous meningitis in South African urban adults. QJM 1998;91:743–7.
- 7 Janner D, Kirk S, McLeary M. Cerebral tuberculosis without neurologic signs and with normal cerebrospinal fluid. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2000;19:763–4.
- 8 Thomas P, Bornschlegel K, Singh TP, et al. Tuberculosis in human immunodeficiency virusinfected and human immunodeficiency virusexposed children in New York City. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2000;19:700–6.
- 9 Illingworth RS, Lorber J. Tubercles of the choroid. Arch Dis Child 1956;31:467–9.

# **BOOK REVIEWS**

### The collected editorials by Martin CO Bax from *Developmental Medicine* and Child Neurology, 1961–2003

#### Edited by Martin C O Bax. London: MacKeith Press, 2004, £18.00, pp 264. ISBN 1898683417

And it's only £18, including postage! But how does one review a book like this, a collection of twelve dozen editorials spanning four decades? I thought of the late Ronnie Mac Keith ("I am not your boss, I am your colleague!") whose evocative personal memoir by Martin rounds off this book, and looked for a bottle of Madeira to accompany my morning coffee and sweet biscuit. All I could find was port, and at once I was there watching Ronnie standing on the college dining room table between the silver giving an after-dinner speech, while Martin, already an editor since 1961, sat beaming below. But before I continue, I should explain about the editor date. The year 1961 is when Martin first became co-editor (with Ronnie Mac Keith) of Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology. Martin had already been editor of Ambit (the literary and artistic magazine with poetry and visual arts side by side http:// www.3ammagazine.com/litarchives/2002 jun/ interview\_martin\_bax.html) since 1959. That may give a clue as to why the present collections of editorials will be so fascinating to paediatricians, and not just to paediatric neurologists or students of developmental

medicine. These editorials are well written (as befits a literary man), wide ranging, and a delight to dip into, delightful enough to find a place on the bedside table or even—and this is a compliment—in the loo.

Martin's first editorial was on animal behaviour, summarising the work of Harry Harlow on infant Macaque monkeys and the contrasting effects of cloth and wire "inanimate surrogate mothers" on the infants' behaviour emotions and affection. He was then fresh from Guy's, having previously been up at New College, Oxford. In a later editorial, he tells us that at his first paediatric unit two elderly paediatricians took him aside and advised him not to continue in that specialty, which they saw as declining and coming to an end, what with the eradication of tuberculous meningitis and other acute infectious diseases of childhood. But, he writes (p. 141) "There were two straws blowing in the wind: one was the developing interest in neonatology; the second was that people were beginning to wonder about the fate of the many children with congenital disabilities who at that time were often fated to be placed in institutions and to spend the rest of their lives there." As Martin's mentor (if not boss) Ronnie Mac Keith predicted, paediatrics did not disappear. quite the contrary, and by 1984 (p. 96) Martin is making "a plea for not too many more paediatric specialties. A paediatrician is a doctor who looks after the child, intending both to promote health and development and to care for the child who becomes ill. This is a species that should not be endangered by too much fragmentation."

Nonetheless, in this travel through the academic life of Martin Bax, I sometimes sense a whisper of conflict between keeping paediatrics whole, in one piece, and dividing up even into subsections of paediatric neurology, so that rare disorders may be properly dealt with, so that doctors know more than the internet learned parents. Another conflict is between the role of paediatric neurologists in centres for handicapped children (p. 56) and the Aicardi view that the prime task or skill of paediatric neurologists is to make precise diagnoses: why did it happen, will it happen again, and can it be treated, rather than can the child be managed, for the answer to the latter is always yes. The solution may lie in the various skills as doctors that each of us has, fortunately as varied as we differ from each other as humans.

I would hasten to point out that the editorials in this collection are not predominantly about paediatric neurology. We are led through byways old and new, journeying from the clay tablets of ancient Mesopotamia (p. 205) to Hippocrates to Chaucer, to Little, Osler and Freud, and via the USA to more recent sages. By 1986 (p. 106) "I feel that the dead hand of peer reviewing and conformity hangs heavily over medical journals' whereas "The glory of language is its flexibility and its failure to obey the rules." Regarding meetings and conferences, he favours the view of Mac Keith<sup>1</sup> that the "greatest benefit results when small groups of 10 to 50 are together for three or four days" with "the intelligent use of guests from far-away parts of the world" (p. 61).

The final Editorial of Martin Bax in 2003 (p. 252) includes the immortal lines "Indeed it was Hippocrates who denied that epilepsy was due to the erotic behaviour of the gods, but to some disorder which could be rationally investigated." Much better than erratic!

J B P Stephenson

www.archdischild.com

#### Reference

 Mac Keith R. Are large congresses worth the money? Dev Med Child Neurol 1970;12:269.

# The fetal matrix: evolution, development and disease

Edited by Peter Gluckman and Mark Hanson. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2004, pp 256, £24.99. ISBN 0 521 54235 9



The idea that the intrauterine environment has an effect on disease later in life is not new. The "Barker hypothesis" has been around for over 10 years. For those unfamiliar with the hypothesis at its simplest level, it suggests that a low birth weight reflects an

adverse intrauterine environment that the fetus has adapted to, in order to survive. This "thrifty phenotype" is the result of altered development in utero to cope with poor supply of nutrients and oxygen from the mother. The consequence of this phenotype, however, is a doubling in the risk of death from heart disease in individuals born with low birth weights (less than 2.5 kg). Gluckman and Hanson develop these ideas, and draw on evidence from zoology and fetal physiology, and suggest that many diseases may, at least in part, result from "predictive adaptive responses".

A PAR is a different trajectory of development that the fetus takes as a result of its intrauterine (or perhaps early postnatal) environment, with the aim of maximising chances of survival to reproductive maturity. in a particular expected postnatal environment. For example, in the pregnant snowshoe hare, stress (due to predation, cold, or starvation, for example) may lead to increased maternal cortisol levels. Cortisol may cross the placenta, and the fetus may detect, via signalling from the mother and placenta, that the external environment is a harsh one. The cortisol levels may enhance maturation of fetal organs, such as the lungs, and prepare the fetus for the rigours of postnatal life. However, it appears that exposure to such high cortisol levels in utero may alter the sensitivity of the hypothalamo-pituitaryadrenal axis, making it hyper-responsive after birth. So the offspring of hares that have been stressed during pregnancy, may be hyper-alert-a predictive adaptive response to the expected postnatal environment. One wonders what the effects of an analogous human PAR might be.

Gluckman and Hanson propose that while we have reached a stage in the 150 000 year history of Homo sapiens where Darwinian evolution is no longer active or has slowed dramatically, the predictive adaptive responses we have evolved now threaten our post-reproductive health, in terms of obesity, type 2 diabetes, atherosclerosis, and hypertension. These responses could be initiated soon after conception, mediated by DNA methylation. What is unclear is the extent to which PARs may play a role in human disease outside the context of birth weight (or rather suboptimal fetal growth) and the metabolic "syndrome X". Gluckman and Hanson make a case for other diseases

such as osteoporosis, cognitive decline, psychosis, and polycystic ovarian syndrome, with varying degrees of persuasiveness.

The message from the book appears to be twofold: that the evidence for PARs playing a role in human disease is a persuasive one, which should not be overlooked in favour of "sexy" genome research; and secondly, that if these hypotheses are correct then this has significant implications for society, and how we try to reduce the burden of disease in later life. Unfortunately, there appear to be few recommendations we can make for optimising the intrauterine, and postnatal, environment to minimise the potentially harmful effects of inappropriate PARs.

The fetal matrix concludes with a call for an increased emphasis on the importance of female health before and during pregnancy, with improved female literacy and education (and therefore, hopefully, avoidance of teenage pregnancy), and nutrition. It therefore sends a message to research funding councils of the potential importance of this area of research, and to politicians about priorities. The book should however be of interest (and thought provoking) to anyone with an interest in perinatal care, human nutrition, and fetal physiology.

A C Breeze

# CORRECTION

The author of the book review *Minor trauma in children, a pocket guide* (*Arch Dis Child* 2005;**90**:656) was misspelt and should be S Fountain-Polley. We apologise for the error.