Acknowledging contribution to surveillance studies

The Vancouver Protocol (www.icmje.org) provides clear criteria for authorship and the acknowledgment of contributions to scientific publications. However, contributors to health surveillance research, who make such research possible by the identification of cases and the provision of clinical data, have questioned the applicability of these criteria. The International Network of Paediatric Surveillance Units (INoPSU) (www.inopsu.com) is an international association now including 14 national paediatric surveillance units (PSUs) that conduct active surveillance of a range of uncommon conditions of childhood, including infectious and vaccine-preventable diseases, childhood injury, and genetic and mental health conditions. Over 7000 child health specialists, many of whom report on behalf of their colleagues or departments, contribute cases to the PSUs on a monthly basis. The population covered is approximately 54 million children under 15 years of age.

Clinicians who report a case to a PSU are asked to provide additional clinical and demographic details to study investigators. Some surveillance studies have significant workload implications for individual clinicians. Although most clinicians will not see a child in any one month with one of the rare conditions under surveillance, a high return rate of the “nil to report” response undermines the quality of the PSU active surveillance mechanism.

At the 3rd meeting of INoPSU in Lisbon in April 2004, the following guidelines on authorship and acknowledgment were proposed for recommendation to investigators conducting epidemiological research through the PSUs:

- To qualify for authorship on reports, individuals must fulfill the Vancouver criteria. However, in acknowledgement of their essential contribution to the work, the addition of the statement “on behalf of the contributors to the (national PSU)” following the final author’s name is encouraged.
- Investigating teams are encouraged to consider inviting clinicians who have contributed significant data (through notifying cases) onto the study team. These clinicians may have expertise relevant to the analysis or reporting process. Report authorship may then be assigned if appropriate according to the Vancouver Protocol.
- Report authors should consider naming clinicians who have contributed significant data in the acknowledgments section of the report, according to the Vancouver Protocol. Report authors are reminded that the Vancouver Protocol requires that permission must be sought to acknowledge individual clinicians by name.

INoPSU member units will provide these guidelines and a copy of the current version of the Vancouver Protocol to each investigating team conducting research through the PSUs, preferably prior to the commencement of the surveillance study. These recommendations may also be applicable to the reporting of other research requiring the provision of clinical data from multiple contributors apart from the study authors.
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BOOK REVIEWS

Pediatric endocrinology: the requisites in pediatrics


Studying paediatric endocrinology is like staring into the waters of Loch Ness. In the cold light of a Scottish day it is possible to see a few inches into the murky depths, and while most visitors are comfortable seeing the loch’s surface, to consider plumbing the dark waters gives one an eerie feeling about what could lie beneath (encouraged by the locals). Like the mythical monster, the rarer syndromes are often the subject of fragmented fables, discussed apocryphal stories (in darkened rooms using faded slides), yet to catch them in the wild needs both an inquisitive mind, an awareness of what one could be hunting for, and the investigative equivalent of a big submarine with echolocation sonar.

This book aims to support paediatricians, paediatric trainees, and paediatric endocrinologists alike and aims to update the clinician on current management and current research developments in paediatric endocrinology (that is, to act as a lifeline if you’re adrift on the loch and screaming for help!). “Requisites” is defined here as the “basic knowledge that is necessary for practise or board review” and aims to provide knowledge up to the level of a tutorial rather than aspiring to be a reference text or source book. The authors also designed their chapters to maintain a clinical focus. There are seven sections: Carbohydrate Disorders; Sexual Development; Growth; Thyroid; Adrenal Gland; Calcium, Phosphorus, and Bone; and Vasopressin and Disorders of Electrolytes.

Have the authors succeeded with this lifeline? I believe they have gone a long way to helping the clinician manage common clinical scenarios (such as the hypoglycaemic neonate and type 1 diabetes). Tables and “major points” boxes highlight the key features to be drawn from each chapter. Colour photographs are also grouped at the front of the book, but could be better placed, either incorporated with the relevant text, or have references in the text linking the pictures appropriately.

There is also a useful integration of current research to refresh oft-said information that is readily available in older texts. This provides clinicians with a gauge of current academic thinking, for example important genes in puberty delay (e.g. leptin), and there is certainly enough detail for consultants wanting to keep one step ahead of enthusiastic registrars, fresh from their membership exams.

The recent proliferation of cases of type II diabetes is also discussed, drawing on extrapolated experience from current paediatric diabetic practice, and adult type II diabetes, while the evidence base develops for management of paediatric type II diabetes. There is a good explanation of the diagnostic features, and their differences from type 1 diabetes, and management includes good practical advice to parents regarding weight loss, exercise, and reducing TV watching.

All the chapters brought new depths to my understanding of paediatric endocrinology. However, as with other American textbooks, the glucose is measured in mg/dl not mmol/l, and providing a conversion would have helped those clinicians using mmol/l (N.B. mmol/l x 18 = mg/dl). Also there is no mention of aspects of paediatric endocrinology specific to the UK, for example NICE (National Institute of Clinical Excellence) guidelines on the use of growth hormone.

There are some paediatric tomes on paediatric endocrinology that are as likely to help you sink faster, with their weight of information, as they are to bring succour to the distressed, and some that are too light and miss out essential information. This however is an excellent resource to access for the difficult, is well worth the money, and would be a good lifeline to choose.

M P Tighe

LETTER

www.archdischild.com Arch Dis Child 2005;90:768–769
In their preface to this roughly 200 page book, which chronicles the Cambridge Prader-Willi syndrome (PWS) study, the authors Tony Holland (Chair in Learning Disabilities) and Joyce Whittington (Senior Research Assistant) describe their study as “a process of discovery that included getting to know many people with Prader-Willi syndrome and their families”. Following a thorough and painstaking process of identification and ascertainment, the authors managed to identify 96 patients within the Anglia and Oxford Health regions in whom the diagnosis of PWS was secure. The birth prevalence (1 in 20,000) and population prevalence (1 in 50,000) to be calculated. The authors then carried out home visits with completion of a detailed semi-structured questionnaire in 61 of these patients. A further 42 PWS patients from outside the study area were studied as were 32 subjects with learning disability who did not have PWS. The information gathered was used to determine predictive features for diagnosis, the behavioural and cognitive phenotypes, and the incidence and nature of psychiatric disorders.

The combination of rigorous diagnostic ascertainment, detailed first hand information, and thorough analysis make this a landmark study. The book is well written, the authors beginning with a succinct description of various aspects of PWS, including the genetic neuroendocrine, satiety, sleep, temperature, neuroendocrine, satiety, sleep, temperature, and an eclectic number of national charts are given as an appendix. Whether it is exposure to passive smoking or toxic pollution, there is growing evidence that our ever worsening environment plays a vital role in the health burden to our younger generation. The authors of this newly published WHO book should be congratulated for relating such a dull subject to children’s health and putting them together in an enlightening way.

- Well illustrated atlas: Most chapters contain a world map for comparing the environmental risk between countries. It is very easy to pick up the comparison with the simple colour scheme. Some illustrations are humorous; for example, the price of life, with the pile of cash for pet food in developed countries more than twice that of those used for childhood vaccination in developing countries.
- Background description is simple and to the point: Environmental risks are split up into chapters and hence it is easy to choose a topic of interest and look at the statistics. A world data table is included at the end of the book for those interested in figures. Some of the chapters do offer simple solutions; for example, the sun exposure map showed the global solar UV index regions and the necessary protection for each zone.
- A very good teaching material: For those working in a developing country, it is a very useful material for teaching. For example, it is easy to download a poster size of a chapter from http://www.who.int/ceh/publications/atlas/en/ for the demonstration.

However, it is disappointing not to find any chapter on natural disasters such as earthquakes, and environments destroyed by war, by, for example, landmines. These are small issues but do impose a great health hazard for certain countries.

This book should be recommended to those who want to demonstrate the environmental hazards to our children’s health. It is well referenced and easy to read, and certainly easy to use. And we should all remember that protecting our environment is on the agenda from the “say yes to children” campaign in 2002.

S S Chin

The graph reproduced for abstract P03 of supplement II of this volume of ADC (Arch Dis Child 2005;90(Suppl 2):A1-A2. P03: calculating the required transfusion volume in children: our current practice gives insufficient volumes) was incorrect. The labels for the X and Y axes were reversed, the regression line removed, and the text PRC replaced with PBC. To re-calculate this graph please visit the website: http://adc.bmjournals.com/cgi/content/full/90/supp/2/A1/DC1.