ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Changing patterns of childhood mortality in Wolverhampton

A Moore

Arch Dis Child 2005;**90**:687–691. doi: 10.1136/adc.2004.068460

Objectives: To review the incidence and characteristics of preventable childhood deaths in an urban population in the UK and to determine whether the excess of preventable deaths seen previously in Asian girls still exists.

Design: A retrospective survey of childhood deaths from 1996–2002 classified in terms of preventability and compared with a previous study conducted 20 years earlier from 1976–82.

Setting: The city of Wolverhampton in the UK.

Main outcome measures: Deaths from all causes in children under the age of 5 years.

Results: There has been a reduction in the number of deaths in all age groups and from all causes. The postneonatal mortality rate fell from 6.5/1000 in 1976 to 3.1/1000 live births in 2002 largely because of the fall in the numbers of deaths caused by sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS). Preventable deaths are still associated with low birth weight ($p < 0.001$) and poverty (unemployment and overcrowding in the earlier study ($p < 0.05$) and with the Townsend score in this study ($p < 0.02$)). There were fewer deaths among Asians and no female excess. There was a new category not seen in the previous study, deaths caused by homicide. The death rate for homicide in the first year of life was much higher in Wolverhampton (18.7/100 000) than in England and Wales (4.6/100 000).

Conclusions: Low birth weight and adverse socioeconomic conditions remain important factors associated with preventable deaths. There is no longer an increased risk of preventable death in Asian girls. The number of non-accidental deaths is a major cause for concern.

Correspondence to:
Dr A Moore, Department
of Child Health, Red Hill
Street Clinic,
Wolverhampton WV1
1NR, UK; camoore@
doctors.org.uk

Accepted 14 March 2005

Childhood mortality is a good indicator of the health of children in the population. Wolverhampton has consistently had one of the highest childhood mortality rates in the UK. Twenty years ago, a retrospective survey of all deaths in children under the age of 5 years in the city of Wolverhampton found a significant association between adverse social factors and preventable childhood deaths and, unexpectedly, an excess of girls among the preventable deaths in children of Asian origin.¹ Since then death rates for all age groups have been falling steadily in line with the national trend, suggesting that some of these deaths are indeed preventable.²

The aim of the present study was to compare the current mortality rates from preventable causes with the previous study and determine whether the sex difference among Asians still exists in Wolverhampton.

METHOD

Deaths occurring in children under the age of 5 years between 1 January 1996 and 31 December 2002 and whose parents place of residence was the city of Wolverhampton were studied and compared with a similar study of children who had died between 1 January 1976 and 31 December 1982.¹ Cases were identified from the Registrar's returns and each death was classified according to preventability.^{1 3 4}

Only deaths in group A (deaths with a very poor prognosis) were regarded as inevitable. All the others were regarded as possibly preventable and a more detailed study of these deaths was undertaken.

Information was taken personally from community and hospital records and included sex, birth weight, ethnic origin, mother's age, area of residence, and adverse social factors. Adverse social factors included one or more of the following: single parent or absent father (for example, in prison or working away from home), mother aged less than 20 years,

financial difficulties, inadequate childcare or refusal of health advice, child in care (fostered), behavioural problems in child or sibling, learning difficulties in parent, mental health problems in parent or sibling, history of drug abuse in parents, domestic violence, repeated non-attendance for hospital or clinic appointments, maternal language difficulties, overcrowded home, or other housing problems.

The 20 electoral wards in the city were ranked according to the number of preventable deaths per 1000 live births over the seven year period. Spearman's rank coefficient of correlation was used as a measure of the association between mortality and various indicators of deprivation taken from the 1981 census in the earlier study and the Townsend score and the Child Poverty Index (CPI) in this study.

RESULTS

There has been a steady decline in mortality for all age groups since 1976 but a much smaller decline in the birth rate. All the mortality rates showed a greater reduction in the earlier study. There was no improvement in the postneonatal mortality rate between 1996 and 2002 (table 1 and fig 1).

Causes of death

Congenital abnormalities and obstetric complications, particularly prematurity accounted for more than half the deaths in both study periods (table 2). About a quarter of deaths were due to congenital abnormalities and this proportion was relatively constant and similar to the national figure.⁵

Compared with 20 years ago, far fewer children are now dying from birth injuries, accidents, and treatable conditions (categories B and C). The number and proportion of sudden unexpected deaths fell considerably between the two studies

Abbreviations: CPI, Child Poverty Index; SIDS, sudden infant death syndrome.

Table 1 Childhood mortality in Wolverhampton 1976–2002

	1976	1982	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
Age <28 days									
Total deaths	50	22	15	21	12	16	20	19	13
No/1000 live births	14.9	6.7	4.8	6.8	3.9	5.6	6.7	6.5	4.5
Age 28 days to <1 year									
Total deaths	22	14	6	7	4	10	6	8	9
No/1000 live births	6.5	4.2	1.8	2.2	1.3	3.3	2.1	2.8	3.1
England and Wales	4.6	4.6	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.7	1.9	-
Age 1 to <5 years									
Total deaths	12	2	4	2	2	1	3	1	1
No/10 000 population	7.6	1.3	2.9	1.5	1.6	0.8	2.4	0.8	0.8
Total deaths	84	38	25	30	26	27	29	28	23
Live births	3363	3351	3310	3217	3117	3039	2915	2916	2900
Population 1 to <5 years		15650	13754	13088	12900	12500	12450	12000	12000

but fewer sudden deaths had positive findings at necropsy in the present study, suggesting that fewer children die from unrecognised illness.

The most disturbing finding was the number of children who died as a result of abuse. There were no such deaths in the earlier study although it is possible that some deaths registered as sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS) may have been the result of smothering.⁶

Preventable deaths

There were 100 children in the earlier study and 34 children in this study whose deaths may have been preventable (table 2, groups B–F). Table 3 shows the characteristics of these two groups which both show a significantly lower birth weight compared with the population but that a higher percentage of children in the recent group lived in a deprived area of the city and had adverse social factors.

Possibly preventable deaths were significantly associated with socioeconomic deprivation in both studies. In 1976–82 a significant association was found between overcrowding at >1.5 people per room ($p<0.05$), lack of household amenities ($p<0.01$), unemployment ($p<0.05$), and low car ownership ($p<0.01$).¹ In 1996–2002 preventable deaths were related to the Townsend score (Spearman’s rank coefficient of correlation: $r = 0.544$, $p<0.02$) and to the CPI ($r = 0.382$, $p = <0.1$).

Table 2 Childhood mortality in Wolverhampton in children aged under 5 years 1976–2002 classified according to preventability

	1976–82		1996–2002	
	Total	n/1000 live births	Total	n/1000 live births
A. Diseases with a very poor prognosis				
A1 Congenital	108	4.5	46	2.2
A2 Malignant	4		2	
A3 Obstetric				
a) prematurity	145	6.1	89	4.2
b) birth injuries	22	0.92	9	0.42
B. Deaths due to diseases occasionally fatal	22		2	
C. Deaths in the course of diseases not normally fatal	5		1	
D. Sudden death with no recognisable disease				
D1 Negative necropsy	38	1.6	20	0.94
D2 Positive necropsy	23	0.96	3	0.14
E. Accidents	12		1	
F. Homicide	0		7	
Total	379	15.8	180	8.4

Figure 1 Sudden infant death syndrome as a proportion of postneonatal mortality for Wolverhampton and England and Wales (three year rolling averages).

Figure 2 Relation between Townsend Score and preventable deaths ($r=0.544$, $p<0.02$).

Over one third (36%) of deaths occurred in the three wards with highest CPI and Townsend scores (fig 2).

The main difference between the two groups is in ethnicity and sex. In the earlier study the incidence of possibly preventable deaths was significantly higher in Asian girls than in non-Asian girls or in Asian boys ($p<0.05$).¹ In the present study the expected male predominance was found for all ethnic groups.

Between 1976 and 1982 the number of deaths among Asians was higher than would be expected for the population (28% compared with 23% Asian live births) whereas in the present study the number of deaths in Asians was much smaller, reflecting the reduction in live births to Asian mothers and was similar to the proportion of Asians in the population (12.1% compared with 13%) (table 3).

The seven deaths which were the result of deliberate harm were each the subject of a case review under part 8 of the Children Act. These children had all been identified in life as vulnerable and were all known to social services. They shared a number of characteristics including the very young age of the parents (median age 18.5 years; range 17–27 years), a lower than average birth weight, and adverse social factors such as a history of domestic violence in the family, drug or alcohol misuse, or intergenerational cycles of deprivation and abuse. There were concerns about parenting skills and lack of support from the families of these young parents, some of

whom had learning difficulties. Six of the seven children died as a result of intracranial haemorrhage and four of these were under 4 months old.

DISCUSSION

Many changes have taken place in Wolverhampton in the 20 years between the two studies. Wolverhampton itself has become a city and the population has become materially more affluent as judged by a 60% fall in the unemployment rate. The link between material deprivation and child mortality is well known in the UK.^{7–9} Improvements in the general standard of living as well as improvements in healthcare and education have undoubtedly contributed to the overall reduction in childhood mortality but the deprived areas of Wolverhampton have remained largely the same despite inner city regeneration programmes. These areas continue to show high mortality rates and preventable deaths continue to be associated with poverty.

SIDS

Post neonatal mortality and deaths from SIDS were relatively stable both in Wolverhampton and in England and Wales until the late 1980s when they began to fall (fig 1). A national campaign to “reduce the risks of cot death” in 1991 resulted in a change in the usual sleeping position from prone to supine and is commonly held to be the most important factor in reducing the mortality rates,¹⁰ but figure 1 shows clearly that both SIDS and postneonatal mortality rates were already falling well before 1991. Reduction in poverty, improvements in infant healthcare, and increased awareness of the dangers of cigarette smoke to infants will all have contributed to reducing the mortality rates.

Since the early 1990s the SIDS rate has been fairly constant and the association with poverty has become more pronounced with a considerable excess of sudden infant death in families in which neither partner was employed.¹¹

Low birth weight

The consistent finding of an association between preventable death and low birth weight accords with many other studies.^{4–6, 9} Both preterm delivery and poor intrauterine growth are associated with the same social and economic factors that affect the risk of sudden infant death.¹² The most recent national data on SIDS show a striking increase in SIDS mortality with decreasing birth weight and this is likely to be related to the increasing levels of deprivation seen in SIDS families.¹³ Poor postnatal weight gain is also associated with an increased risk of SIDS. This seems to be independent of birth weight, prematurity, and social status and may be a useful additional tool in identifying infants at risk.¹⁴

Table 3 Data on children whose death may have been preventable

	1976–82	1996–02
Total	100	34
Male:female ratio	1:1.3	1.6:1
Mean birth weight (g)	2880*	2933*
Mean birth weight in Wolverhampton (g)	3220*	3277*
Total Asian (%)	28 (28%)	4 (12.1%)
Asian male:female ratio	8:20	3:1
Asian live births in Wolverhampton	23%	13%
Asian population in Wolverhampton	15.5%	12.3%
Total non-white (%)	34 (34%)	7 (21.2%)
Non-white population in Wolverhampton	NA	22.2%
Single parent	NA	14 (42%)
Mother's age <20 years	NA	11 (33%)
Adverse social factors n (%)	48 (48%)	17 (52%)
Residence in deprived area†	64 (64%)	25 (76%)

NA, not available.

* $p<0.001$.

†As defined by Wolverhampton City Council.

Asian deaths

Sex discrimination in South Asia leading to a reversal of the female biological advantage with sex selective abortions, female infanticide, and neglect of girls has been reported recently.^{15 16} Following the earlier study, Asian baby girls were recognised as a vulnerable group in Wolverhampton and link workers were appointed to work particularly with Asian mothers. This, together with the assimilation of the Asian minority group into the local population, may have contributed to the disappearance of the Asian sex difference. Asian mothers in Wolverhampton are now mostly second generation, language is no longer a problem, and cultural differences are less obvious. In England and Wales as a whole, however, there is still a significantly higher mortality among girls whose mother was born in Pakistan.¹⁷

Mother's place of birth rather than ethnicity may be a better indicator of language and communication difficulties preventing access to good health care. Families seeking asylum in the UK are often housed in the most deprived inner city areas. These families living in relative poverty face many of the same difficulties today as did the Asian groups 20 years ago. Recognition of these vulnerable groups in areas with high immigration may be important in reducing infant mortality rates in the future.

Deaths attributed to maltreatment

There are about 30 infant deaths per year attributed to homicide in England and Wales (4.6/100 000 live births).¹⁸ In Wolverhampton, four of the seven deaths due to maltreatment were in infants under the age of 12 months giving a summated rate for the seven year period of 18.7/100 000 live births, considerably higher than the national rate. Because the numbers are relatively small this could be a chance variation. However, since the end of the study period there have continued to be one or two deaths due to maltreatment each year in Wolverhampton suggesting that this trend is continuing.

Six of the seven deaths were the result of intracranial haemorrhage probably caused by violent shaking, but there were at least two more non-fatal cases of non-accidental head injury in Wolverhampton during the study period. In Scotland, the annual incidence of all non-accidental head injury (including survivors) was found to be 24.6/100 000 children under 1 year old.¹⁹

The relation between poverty and violence and the effect this has on the incidence of child maltreatment merits further study in similar urban populations in the UK. The risk factors for fatal child abuse found in a large North American study were similar to those found in Wolverhampton, and included young poorly educated mothers with more than half occurring before the fourth month of life.²⁰

It is unlikely that there were no deaths from child abuse in Wolverhampton 20 years ago but they may not have been recognised. In Leeds there were major concerns about abuse or neglect in 10 of 37 unexpected deaths in infancy.²¹ The risk factors for SIDS and child abuse are similar and an evaluation of two prediction scores for SIDS found that these also predicted high risk for non-accidental injury.²² Failure to distinguish between deaths due to maltreatment and natural causes of sudden infant death may place other children at risk. All sudden and unexpected deaths must be subject to a thorough investigation which should include a meticulous history, detailed postmortem examination, forensic, toxicological, and metabolic investigations, and a multidisciplinary case review.^{23 24} The creation of a compulsory national investigation protocol as recommended in the Kennedy report will reduce the chance of misclassification of the death or miscarriage of justice.²⁵

What is already known on this topic

- Poverty and low birth weight are associated with preventable death in childhood.
- Postneonatal mortality has fallen over the past 20 years largely due to the reduction in deaths from SIDS.
- Higher infant mortality has been found among Asian girls in the UK as well as in South Asia.
- Risk factors for SIDS and child abuse are similar.

What this study adds

- Fewer children die from preventable causes now compared to 20 years ago.
- Poverty continues to have a major impact on childhood mortality.
- The birthplace of the mother may be more important than ethnicity in predicting vulnerability.
- In Wolverhampton, deaths from child abuse have become more prominent as the SIDS rate has fallen.

CONCLUSIONS

Improvements in childhood mortality rates over the past 20 years in Wolverhampton are related to improvements in the general standard of living, while the reduction in preventable deaths may be the result of better care. The greater reduction in mortality rates in the earlier study and the increased deprivation among the preventable deaths in this study suggests that further improvements in childhood mortality may be more dependent on improvements in social circumstances than on health care. The number of deaths due to maltreatment is of concern particularly for the child protection services in the city.

The reversal of the Asian sex difference reflects assimilation of this minority group into the local population. Mother's place of birth and degree of prosperity may be more important than ethnicity in predicting vulnerability and this may be important with regard to recent immigrant families.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks David Goda for help with the statistics.

Funding: none

Competing interests: none

Ethical approval: the Chair of the local ethical committee was consulted and was of the opinion that this study was essentially an audit and so did not require formal ethical committee approval

REFERENCES

- 1 Moore A. Preventable childhood deaths in Wolverhampton. *BMJ* 1986;**293**:656-8.
- 2 HMSO (2003). *Mortality statistics: childhood, infant and perinatal*. Review of Registrar General on deaths in England and Wales, 2001. Series DH3 No. 34.
- 3 Taylor EM, Emery JL. Two year study into the causes of postperinatal deaths classified in terms of preventability. *Arch Dis Child* 1982;**57**:668-73.
- 4 Taylor EM, Emery JL. Categories of preventable unexpected infant deaths. *Arch Dis Child* 1990;**65**:535-9.
- 5 Bacon C. In: *Sudden unexpected deaths in infancy*, The CESDI SUDI Studies 1993-1996. London: The Stationery Office, 2000:1-2.
- 6 Meadow R. Unnatural sudden infant death. *Arch Dis Child* 1999;**80**:7-14.
- 7 Guillea ZES, Fone DL, Dunstan JR, et al. Social deprivation and the causes of stillbirth and infant mortality. *Arch Dis Child* 2001;**84**:307-10.

- 8 Newlands M, Adamson E, Ghulam S, *et al.* Jarman index related to post-perinatal mortality. *Public Health* 1992;**106**:163–5.
- 9 Fleming P, Blair P, Ward-Platt M, *et al.* In: *Sudden unexpected deaths in infancy*, The CESDI SUDI Studies 1993–1996. London: The Stationery Office, 2000:7–96.
- 10 Fleming PJ, Gilbert RE, Azaz Y, *et al.* The interaction between bedding and sleeping position in sudden infant death syndrome: a population based case control study. *BMJ* 1990;**301**:85–9.
- 11 Confidential enquiry into stillbirths and deaths in infancy, 3rd Annual Report 1994. London: Department of Health, 1996.
- 12 Hoffman HJ, Hillman IS. Epidemiology of the Sudden Infant Death Syndrome: maternal, neonatal and postnatal risk factors. *Clinical Perinatology* 1992;**19**:717–37.
- 13 Platt MJ, Pharoah PO. The epidemiology of sudden infant death syndrome. *Arch Dis Child* 2003;**88**:27–29.
- 14 Blair PS, Nadin P, Cole TJ, *et al.* Weight gain and the sudden infant death syndrome: change in weight z scores may identify infants at increased risk. *Arch Dis Child* 2000;**82**:462–8.
- 15 Fikree FF, Pasha O. Role of gender in health disparity: the South Asian context. *BMJ* 2004;**328**:823–6.
- 16 Khanna R, Kumar A, Vaghela JF, *et al.* Community based retrospective study of sex in infant mortality in India. *BMJ* 2003;**327**:126.
- 17 Dawson I. Sex differences in infant mortality in India is reflected in England and Wales. *BMJ* 2003;**327**:1169.
- 18 *Criminal statistics England and Wales, 2000*. London: The Stationery Office, December, 2001.
- 19 Barlow KM, Minns RA. Annual incidence of shaken impact syndrome in young children. *Lancet* 2000;**356**:1571–2.
- 20 Overpeck MD, Brenner RA, Trumble AC, *et al.* Risk factors for infant homicide in the United States. *N Engl J Med* 1998;**339**:1211–16.
- 21 Hobbs CJ, Wynne JM, Gellertie R. Leeds Inquiry into Infant Deaths: the importance of abuse and neglect in Sudden Infant Death. *Child Abuse Review* 1995;**4**:329–39.
- 22 Golding J, Peters TJ. What else do SIDS risk prediction scores predict? *Early Hum Dev* 1985;**42**:247–60.
- 23 Moore A, Debelle G, Symonds L, *et al.* Investigation of sudden unexpected deaths in infancy. *Arch Dis Child* 2000;**83**:276–7.
- 24 Levene S, Bacon CJ. Sudden unexpected death and covert homicide in infancy. *Arch Dis Child* 2004;**89**:443–7.
- 25 Kennedy H. Chair; sudden unexpected death in infancy. A multi-agency protocol for care and investigation. The report of a working group convened by the Royal College of Pathologists and the Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health. September, 2004.

Clinical Evidence—Call for contributors

Clinical Evidence is a regularly updated evidence-based journal available worldwide both as a paper version and on the internet. *Clinical Evidence* needs to recruit a number of new contributors. Contributors are healthcare professionals or epidemiologists with experience in evidence-based medicine and the ability to write in a concise and structured way.

Areas for which we are currently seeking authors:

- Child health: nocturnal enuresis
- Eye disorders: bacterial conjunctivitis
- Male health: prostate cancer (metastatic)
- Women's health: pre-menstrual syndrome; pyelonephritis in non-pregnant women

However, we are always looking for others, so do not let this list discourage you.

Being a contributor involves:

- Selecting from a validated, screened search (performed by in-house Information Specialists) epidemiologically sound studies for inclusion.
- Documenting your decisions about which studies to include on an inclusion and exclusion form, which we keep on file.
- Writing the text to a highly structured template (about 1500–3000 words), using evidence from the final studies chosen, within 8–10 weeks of receiving the literature search.
- Working with *Clinical Evidence* editors to ensure that the final text meets epidemiological and style standards.
- Updating the text every six months using any new, sound evidence that becomes available. The *Clinical Evidence* in-house team will conduct the searches for contributors; your task is simply to filter out high quality studies and incorporate them in the existing text.
- To expand the topic to include a new question about once every 12–18 months.

If you would like to become a contributor for *Clinical Evidence* or require more information about what this involves please send your contact details and a copy of your CV, clearly stating the clinical area you are interested in, to Klara Brunnhuber (kbrunnhuber@bmjgroup.com).

Call for peer reviewers

Clinical Evidence also needs to recruit a number of new peer reviewers specifically with an interest in the clinical areas stated above, and also others related to general practice. Peer reviewers are healthcare professionals or epidemiologists with experience in evidence-based medicine. As a peer reviewer you would be asked for your views on the clinical relevance, validity, and accessibility of specific topics within the journal, and their usefulness to the intended audience (international generalists and healthcare professionals, possibly with limited statistical knowledge). Topics are usually 1500–3000 words in length and we would ask you to review between 2–5 topics per year. The peer review process takes place throughout the year, and our turnaround time for each review is ideally 10–14 days.

If you are interested in becoming a peer reviewer for *Clinical Evidence*, please complete the peer review questionnaire at www.clinicalevidence.com or contact Klara Brunnhuber (kbrunnhuber@bmjgroup.com).