NSF for children and young people in Wales

The National Service Framework (NSF) for children, young people, and maternity services in England has recently been published by the Department of Health. On 15 October the equivalent Welsh consultation document was launched by the Minister for Health and Social Services at the Welsh Assembly Government, Mrs Jane Hutt; the consultation document of the Welsh NSF differs from the English NSF in a number of ways.

First, the Welsh document has set standards not just for health and social care but also for the other agencies which have a strong influence on the health and wellbeing of children, such as education, housing, leisure, and transport. The document has been endorsed in a foreword signed by the First Minister, Rhodri Morgan, and all members of the Cabinet of the Welsh Assembly Government.

A second difference from the English NSF is that the Welsh document is written with 21 standards and 205 “key actions”, each of which is specific, written in the present tense, and can be measured. The third difference is that a web based self-assessment audit tool is being developed for use by all the agencies who will be involved in implementing the NSF in order to assess progress locally (in the 22 local government authorities throughout Wales). This measurement should prove invaluable in helping to determine local priorities for future service development.

As part of the process, the Welsh Assembly Government commissioned an extensive series of consultation events with children and young people, parents, and carers at a variety of venues around Wales, as well as through questionnaires sent out to schools. The final reports of these consultation exercises are available to view or download from the NSF website, which will provide a framework already in existence. To try to meet this requirement, a web based self-assessment audit tool is being developed for publication at the same time as the final standards in the summer of 2005, which we hope will enable local measurement of progress in achieving the key actions. In addition, the standards and key actions will be subject to inspection processes by Health Inspectorsate Wales (HIW) (working in conjunction with the Health Quality Commission), and we anticipate that there will be joint inspections carried out in Wales between HIW, Social Services Inspectorate Wales, Care Standards Inspectorate Wales, and the Inspectorate of Education and Training in Wales (ESTYN).

It is clear that the profile of the children’s and young people health and wellbeing is higher than it ever has been on the political agenda and planning agenda, in both England and Wales. We can learn from each other and we, in Wales, would be grateful to receive comments from all parts of the UK on our Welsh NSF, as part of the consultation process, before the final document is published in summer 2005. Please access the website www.wales.nhs.uk/nsf and let us have your comments.

H Jenkins
Consultant Paediatric Gastroenterologist and Director of Health Care Services for Children & Young People, Wales Assembly Government, UK; how.jenkins@cardiffandwales.wales.nhs.uk
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Risk of life threatening apnoea after immunisation

It is common practice in the UK to immunise babies with DTP (diphtheria, pertussis, tetanus), Hib (haemophilus influenza type b), meningitis C, and polio at 8 weeks following delivery, regardless of corrected gestational age. However, such recommendations may be inappropriate for premature babies who may be at increased risk of apnoea and bradycardia. It is common practice in the UK to immunise babies with DTP (diphtheria, pertussis, tetanus), Hib (haemophilus influenza type b), meningitis C, and polio at 8 weeks following delivery, regardless of corrected gestational age. However, such recommendations may be inappropriate for premature babies who may be at increased risk of apnoea and bradycardia. It is common practice in the UK to immunise babies with DTP (diphtheria, pertussis, tetanus), Hib (haemophilus influenza type b), meningitis C, and polio at 8 weeks following delivery, regardless of corrected gestational age. However, such recommendations may be inappropriate for premature babies who may be at increased risk of apnoea and bradycardia. It is common practice in the UK to immunise babies with DTP (diphtheria, pertussis, tetanus), Hib (haemophilus influenza type b), meningitis C, and polio at 8 weeks following delivery, regardless of corrected gestational age. However, such recommendations may be inappropriate for premature babies who may be at increased risk of apnoea and bradycardia. It is common practice in the UK to immunise babies with DTP (diphtheria, pertussis, tetanus), Hib (haemophilus influenza type b), meningitis C, and polio at 8 weeks following delivery, regardless of corrected gestational age. However, such recommendations may be inappropriate for premature babies who may be at increased risk of apnoea and bradycardia.

Four weeks later the second immunisation sets using acellular pertussis were administered while cardiorespiratory and oxygen monitoring was performed. Neither twin had any reaction to the second course of immunisations. Current evidence points to an increase in episodes of apnoea and bradycardia in the infants receiving their eighth week immunisation, and the unit has decided to review its policy on the monitoring of such infants.

The episodes of apnoea and bradycardia in the twins following their immunisations were highly suggestive of a delayed type hypersensitivity reaction to a component of one of the vaccines. Studies have implicated one of the whole cell pertussis component of DTwP with significantly more reactions and raised C reactive protein after immunisation with DTwP than after separate diphtheria, Hib, and tetanus toxoid vaccines alone.

Current opinion for immunisation of preterm infants suggests cardiorespiratory monitoring for up to 48 hours post-immunisation rather than postponement of immunisation; however, recommendations for future immunisations in infants who have had an episode of apnoea or bradycardia are unclear.

Many suggest immunisation with acellular pertussis, as infants with 48 hour monitoring. However, the evidence from one Australian study implies that the risk of the future reaction is very low.

R K Smith, A C Elias-Jones
Leicester General Hospital Neonatal Unit, Leicester, UK
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Addressing child welfare concerns: a new approach

In a small proportion of childhood hospital attendances there are obvious child protection issues. In a much greater number there is concern about the child’s welfare. Only if concerns are recognized, documented, and addressed at an earlier stage, can we hope to improve “safeguarding” children.

In Peterborough District Hospital a “Concern Sheet” has been in use since 1998 to address child protection concerns throughout the Trust. Use of the Concern Sheet has been audited twice. Despite this, many failures of documentation, reporting, and follow up were identified. To address these problems, a joint hospital/community “Children’s Liaison and Discharge Coordinator” was appointed in October 2002. She is a registered children’s nurse, with child protection experience.

Our aim was to see if these two measures improved identification, documentation, and follow up of child protection concerns. We retrospectively analysed the Concern Sheet data collected for 2003 and noted a striking increase in child protection awareness in every hospital department where children are seen (table 1). The Coordinator has been very active in raising the profile of child welfare concerns, not just overt abuse, with all staff.

Table 1 shows the causes of concern for different age groups. It is interesting that 25% of reported concerns were about parents and their ability to care for their children. There is a potential risk to children cared for by adults with mental health problems, those who abuse drugs/alcohol, or when there are concerns regarding domestic violence. Hall has stressed that healthcare professionals must take the opportunity to prevent child abuse/neglect when faced with such situations.

We believed that we were addressing concerns which were less serious at an earlier stage, but it is noteworthy only 47 (9.6%) required no further action. Nearly half (230, 46.9%) of the concerns were serious enough to warrant a discussion with Social Services. The Coordinator liaised with health visitors in 229 (46.7%), school nurses in 21 (4.3%), Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services in 29 (5.9%), and police in 40 (8.2%) of the cases where Concern Sheets were completed.

Fifty two children (18.3%) proceeded to an Initial Child Protection Conference, 14 (6%) had an early Review Conference, and 2 (0.8%) had an early Transfer-in Conference as a result of the concern reports. Of those subjected to an Initial Conference, 36 (86%) were registered.

From past enquiries into child deaths, the common reasons which have led to a failure to intervene early enough are poor training, documentation, information sharing, and follow up of concerns. Lord Laming’s report has emphasised the importance of better training and introducing systems which allow quality monitoring. We have attempted to address these issues and conclude that “safeguarding children” may be improved by:

- Having a person other than the named and designated professionals in the role of a Coordinator
- Having a uniform way of recording child welfare concerns throughout a Trust.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Areas of the Trust and numbers of Concern Sheets compared for the years 2002 and 2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area</td>
<td>2002 (n = 153)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paediatric assessment unit</td>
<td>68 (44.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paediatric ward</td>
<td>43 (28.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A&amp;E</td>
<td>32 (20.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special care baby unit</td>
<td>3 (1.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children’s outpatients</td>
<td>3 (1.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community child health</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternity unit</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others*</td>
<td>4 (2.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*As awareness increased, concerns were also received from surgical wards, therapists, and paramedics in 2003.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Concerns categorised according to age group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>0–4 years (n = 260)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neglect</td>
<td>102 (39.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical abuse</td>
<td>94 (36.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual abuse</td>
<td>3 (1.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional abuse</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health issues /self harm/overdose</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misuse of drugs/alcohol</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental mental health issues</td>
<td>20 (7.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental misuse of drugs/alcohol</td>
<td>21 (8.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic violence</td>
<td>17 (6.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>3 (1.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each column adds up to 100%.
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3. Maternal vitamin D deficiency, refractory neonatal hypocalcaemia, and nutritional rickets

We read with interest the articles by Allgrove and Ladhani and colleagues which highlighted the re-emergence of vitamin D deficiency, neonatal hypocalcaemia, and nutritional rickets as a major public health problem in the UK, especially in the “at risk” ethnic minority groups.

We would like to present our experience from a single centre of maternal vitamin D deficiency, neonatal hypocalcaemia, and nutritional rickets. Leicester City has an estimated proportion of 28% South Asians (Census 2001) and an increasing number of other ethnic groups, including an estimated recently arrived 10 000 people of Somalian origin. Studies in our centre have confirmed that significant numbers of south Asian mothers have vitamin D deficiency at the end of pregnancy, and substantial numbers of children have infantile and adolescent rickets, some of whom have extremely severe bony deformities. In addition there have been increasing numbers of late (5–10 days of age) and late-late (12–18 weeks of age) neonatal hypocalcaemia, presenting predominantly with seizures, which, despite intensive calcium and vitamin D treatment have been difficult to correct biochemically. All the mothers had vitamin D deficiency and were supplemented with oral vitamin D and calcium supplements. None of the mothers, despite being within high risk ethnic groups, had vitamin D supplementation in pregnancy despite the recommendation by COMA (Committee on Medical Aspects of Food Policy in UK) that all at-risk pregnant and lactating mothers should receive 10 µg (400 IU) of vitamin D daily. Furthermore, a local audit involving clinicians in antenatal care including general practitioners, midwives, and obstetricians showed that while health professionals were aware of this issue, there was no clear policy followed.

At birth, the newborn’s vitamin D status is directly related to maternal vitamin D status and materno-fetal transfer of vitamin D and its metabolites in pregnancy. Babies whose mothers have a marked vitamin D deficiency will have a compromised vitamin D status, and this has important long term implications for the health of the offspring.

As noted by Allgrove there were national and local “stop rickets campaigns” in the 1970s, and in Leicester this appeared to reduce but not remove the spectre of nutritional rickets. In view of our recent experiences confirming an increasing frequency and severity of neonatal vitamin D
Apnoeas in bronchiolitis: is there a role for caffeine?

Bronchiolitis is a common respiratory illness in infants in winter months. Recurrent apnoeas in high risk infants with severe bronchiolitis increases the need for respiratory support (nasal continuous positive airway pressure and ventilation) and transfer to the paediatric intensive care unit (PICU). During the winter of 2003–04 we had three babies presenting with apnoeas secondary to bronchiolitis. All three babies were ex-preterm infants. There was deterioration in their respiratory status requiring further care in PICU. On advice of two PICU consultants these babies were treated with a loading dose of caffeine. All the children showed immediate improvement in their respiratory status and avoided being intubated. Caffeine is a respiratory stimulant widely used in the treatment of apnoea of prematurity.

Following our experience we performed a questionnaire survey of the use of caffeine for apnoeas in bronchiolitis across 20 intensive care units in the UK. We made a thorough literature search to look at the evidence. Of the 20 questionnaires sent, only 10 replies were received. Opinion was divided between PICU consultants, with four stating that they would advise a trial of caffeine. This made a total of six, including the two who advised us previously. The evidence from literature is anecdotal.

We conclude that there is little evidence in literature to support the use of caffeine in bronchiolitis, and there is divided opinion in PICUs across the UK. We feel that caffeine is a relatively simple treatment option in a district general hospital for apnoeas in bronchiolitis and recommend a randomised controlled trial. We would welcome comments on similar experiences from readers.

N T Sajit, M Steggall, B Padmakumar
The Royal Oldham Hospital, Oldham, UK
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BOOK REVIEWS

Child public health


Since the heyday of public health in the late nineteenth century it has failed to raise the pulse of many clinicians, as they believe its work, at least in the developed world, is done. This book comes on the tide of renewed interest in the discipline. It outlines the current state of child public health, refreshes the contemporary image, and reinforces the premise that child public health is as relevant and important today as it has ever been. Aimed at paediatricians and public health practitioners, it will also appeal to all those interested in the health of children in the UK. For those with little knowledge of child public health it provides an excellent introduction and overview, making accessible the theories and practicalities of child public health.

The book moves nicely from the background, through key concepts, to practical applications. The first three chapters describe the health of children nationally and globally, and outlines how child public health practices sit historically. There is a lot of information covered, some glossed over as a necessity, but generally good use is made of statistics and tables.

The next three chapters offer an excellent summary of the theories, key concepts, and techniques used in child public health. Again the pace is swift, readable, and well balanced. The further reading lists adequately guide readers to more detail where required. While it would be easy to be critical about the breadth or depth of topics in this book, it was never intended to be a comprehensive public health reference textbook. However it would be useful to have more on sustainable development, quality assurance/service improvement, and the public health contribution to the commissioning process.

The unique aspect of this book is the inclusion of practical examples of theory applied to prevalent public health problems. After assimilating the basic facts and concepts, the reader is given suggestions on how to put the approaches into practice. The ideas should give renewed hope and encouragement to those at the front line dealing with these all too familiar problems. For future editions it would be valuable to expand the content in this section with a reduced focus on the global context and lessons from the past.

This first edition of child public health succeeds in being readable and making child public health an accessible subject, not with theoretical ideals, but with practical suggestions. We hope this book will inspire a future text, with a wider and more in-depth brief that will become the much needed reference standard text for child public health. However there will always be a place for a book of this length for the reader wanting a summary that can be read cover to cover and digested within a week.

Child public health is a superb book and should be on the shelves of all paediatricians, child health, and public health departmental libraries. It is essential reading for all paediatric trainees, but has relevance for all who work in child health, whatever their professional background.

R Tomlinson, S Lenton
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Epilepsy in children, 2nd edition


Management of epilepsy in children can be complex and challenging and a good clinician knows when to draw on multidisciplinary professional expertise, while staying up to date with clinical and non-clinical areas outside his or her immediate expertise. No one understood this more than the late Sheila Wallace under whom I had the privilege to work.
The latest in the *Recent advances in paediatrics* series intends, as the preface states, to provide a review of important topics and help keep doctors abreast of developments in the subject. It is aimed at practising clinicians, trainee paediatricians, and those preparing for specialty examinations.

It contains 14 chapters covering a variety of general paediatric, neonatal, and community paediatric topics, as well as a literature review listing key articles and selected reviews published in 2002. The chapters themselves are generally broken down into specific areas for debate, and round off with a listing of key points for clinical practice and a literature review.

The subject matter chosen is varied and diverse, including summaries of recent developments and current practices in areas such as Kawasaki disease, asthma, diabetes mellitus, idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura, inhaled nitric oxide in the newborn, the use of cannabis in teenagers, and childhood depression. The chapters are well written by respected authorities in the appropriate fields. They break down well into bite-sized chunks of easily digestible information, and contain a good sprinkling of diagrams and tables, with the occasional radiograph and clinical photograph. There are excellent treaties on very common and relevant areas in which the literature is traditionally rather neglectful. The chapters on head lice, cannabis use, weaning from assisted ventilation, and safe sedation provide invaluable advice and experience in dealing with everyday clinical situations on which little is generally written. The “Key points for clinical practice” boxes provide wonderful, concise summaries of the preceding chapters, although one feels that it may have been more effective to keep each box restricted to one page of the book instead of frequently spilling over into two. The diagrams and tables are relevant, but occasionally a little fuzzy and sadly lacking in colour. The radiographs and photographs are clear, but seem on the whole to add little to the subjects. The literature review is very well set out and written for the reader. The book is aimed at clinicians and trainees and does indeed cover topics in general and community paediatrics and neonatology. It will probably be more relevant to non-specialist practitioners and more junior trainees aiming to update their knowledge, but is unlikely to be a substantial enough review for those in more specialist areas such as neonatology. It may not add much to the cause of passable specialists, but occasionally a little fuzzy and sadly lacking in colour. The radiographs and photographs are clear, but seem on the whole to add little to the subjects. The literature review is very well set out and written for the reader. The book is aimed at clinicians and trainees and does indeed cover topics in general and community paediatrics and neonatology. It will probably be more relevant to non-specialist practitioners and more junior trainees aiming to update their knowledge, but is unlikely to be a substantial enough review for those in more specialist areas such as neonatology. It may not add much to the cause of passable specialists, but occasionally a little fuzzy and sadly lacking in colour. The radiographs and photographs are clear, but seem on the whole to add little to the subjects. The literature review is very well set out and written for the reader.

The book represents a worthwhile contribution to the craniofacial literature. It is generally a readable and accessible source of information, achieving the aim outlined by the editors and all contributors should be congratulated on a book highlighting the fact that a coordinated multidisciplinary approach is essential in the treatment of all patients with craniosynostosis.

L R Wisby

The clinical management of craniosynostosis

Edited by Richard Hayward, Barry Jones, David Dunaway, Robert Evans. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2004, £80.00 (hardback), pp 304. ISBN 1 85883 336 0