Delayed chemoreceptor responses in infants with apnoea

M Katz-Salamon

Aims: To test the hypothesis that apnoea of infancy (AOI) is due to a deficit in chemoreception.

Methods: Tests were performed on 112 infants: 43 healthy control infants, 28 infants with periodic breathing or central apnoea (PBCA), and 41 infants with obstructive apnoea (OA) on overnight polysomnography. Chemoreceptor responses to hypercapnia (4% and 6% CO2 in air) for 6–8 minutes and hyperoxia (100% O2) for 60 seconds were expressed in terms of response strength and reaction time. Age at birth (gestational week 37–41) and age at test (2–34 postnatal weeks) were comparable across groups (median, min–max value). A total of 70 CO2 and 71 O2 tests were analysed.

Results: The strongest and fastest CO2 responders were control infants: their median increase in ventilation was 291%/kPaCO2 and their reaction time 16 breaths. In infants with PBCA and OA, the increase in ventilation was 41% and 130%/kPaCO2, and reaction time 64 and 54 breaths, respectively. There was a significant negative correlation between CO2 response strength and reaction time. In response to hyperoxia there was a comparable decrease in ventilation in all infants (12–20%), but a significantly longer response time in infants with apnoea (20 v 12 breaths). There was no correlation between the response strength and reaction time to O2 and CO2.

Conclusion: An inappropriate central control of respiration is an important mechanism in the pathogenesis of apnoea of infancy.

METHODS

Subjects

The study population consisted of 112 infants, 69 infants with apnoea of infancy (AOI) and 43 healthy controls. Infants with AOI were recruited from infants routinely referred to the Karolinska Hospital for evaluation of sleep disordered breathing, and were included only after other possible causes of apnoea (for example, infection, congenital heart disease, or seizures) had been excluded by the referring hospital/physician. Infants with craniofacial anomalies and other, severe medical conditions were also excluded from the study. On the basis of the polysomnographic recordings, the AOI group was subdivided into two subgroups: (1) infants with periodic breathing and central apnoea (PBCA, n = 28); and (2) infants with obstructive apnoea (OA, n = 41). Within these two groups, the duration and frequency of apnoea (apnoea index = number of apnoeas/1 hour recording time) were calculated.

Abbreviations: ALTE, apparent life threatening event; AOI, apnoea of infancy; CA, central apnoea; OSA, obstructive sleep apnoea; PB, periodic breathing; PBCA, periodic breathing and central apnoea
The control group consisted of healthy infants (see table 1) who were born vaginally, at term, after an uneventful pregnancy at the Karolinska Hospital. Thirteen of the control infants were also part of a control cohort who participated in a Cardiorespiratory study on infants with apparent life threatening events (ALTE). These 13 control infants matched AOI infants in terms of gestational age at birth;21 the remaining 30 control infants were healthy infants who showed no cardiorespiratory abnormalities on polysomnography.

None of the infants were receiving medication at the time of the study, and none of the mothers smoked during pregnancy or after delivery.

**Periodic breathing and central apnoeas during sleep**

An episode of periodic breathing (PB) was defined as three or more respiratory pauses lasting at least three breaths within 20 seconds or less.22 A cycle of PB was defined as the interval from the beginning of one respiratory pause to the beginning of the next pause. Central apnoea (CA) was defined as respiratory arrest preceded by synchronous movements of the chest and abdomen.

**Obstructive apnoeas**

Obstructive apnoea (OA) was defined as two or more breaths associated with paradoxical inverse movements of chest and abdomen and a decrease in SaO2 of at least 3%.

**Sleep state definition**

Sleep states were categorised by a single trained observer according to standard behavioural criteria,23 and analysed in conjunction with respiratory patterns and variations in heart rate (HR). Only tests performed during quiet sleep were analysed (defined by eyes closed, absence of rapid eye movements, regular respiratory and HR patterns, and absence of gross body movements except for occasional startles.)

**Polysomnographic recording**

Infants were admitted to the laboratory at approximately 8 pm, and settled to sleep supine and lightly dressed. No sedation or sleep deprivation was used. The room temperature was maintained at 20–21°C, and the lights were dimmed. All infants were monitored for 6-8 hours overnight, using one of two computerised polysomnography systems (CARDAS, Maternal and Infant Telemonitoring Centre, Oxford, UK and Rembrandt, MedCare Automation). The amplitude and frequency of respiratory movements of the chest and abdomen were recorded using inductance plethysmography (Respitrace). The sum of the amplitude of chest and abdominal movements was proportional to “tidal volume”. A three lead ECG measured the beat-to-beat R-R interval, and a finger pulse oxymeter probe recorded SaO2 and pulse amplitude. Skin PO2 (TePO2) and PCO2 (TePCO2) tensions were monitored with a combined transcutaneous electrode (Radiometer TCM3 Copenhagen, Denmark). A wrist movement sensor registered body position and movements. Nasal airflow was recorded in 51 of 71 infants. Airflow could not be recorded in 13 control infants monitored by the CARDAS system (this system did not include airflow measurements in the montage), and in seven infants with AOI who did not tolerate nasal prongs.

Analogue signals generated by thoracic and abdominal movements, oxygen saturation, airflow, TePO2, TePCO2, and RR intervals were digitally sampled at 10, 20, and 100 Hz respectively.

Both polysomnographic systems permitted real time replay of the digitised data, and its export into commercial statistical software (Statgraphics).

**Hypercapnic test**

During the first quiet sleep period, a CO2 challenge was performed. A polycarbonate, 10 litre head box was gently placed over the infant’s head. Following a 3 minute control period breathing room air (flowing through the box at 10 l/min), the gas flow was switched to 4%, and then to 6% CO2. CO2 inhalation was continued for 6–8 minutes, or until the infant moved or changed body position. In three infants the hypercapnic test was abandoned, due either to arousal (two infants) or a startle (one infant). These three infants were retested once quiet sleep had resumed, because calculation of “ tidal volume” from respiratory excursions is unreliable following movement. The minimum duration of tests accepted for analysis was 6 minutes.

Only one successful test on each infant was performed.

**Hypoxic test**

Hypoxic tests were performed during the second epoch of quiet sleep in all except the three infants who aroused/ startled during the initial carbon dioxide test. In these three infants the hypoxic test was administered during the third epoch of quiet sleep. Following a 1–2 minute control period in room air, the gas flow through the hood was rapidly switched to 100% humidified oxygen for 60 seconds, followed by a return to air at the same flow rate.

Only one successful test on each infant was performed.

Following hypercapnic and hypoxic testing, polysomnographic recording continued overnight, in order to evaluate number of apnoeas (apnoea index), bradycardias (HR decrease by >20%), and desaturations (SaO2 <90%) throughout the night.

All procedures were approved by the Ethics Committee at the Karolinska Hospital.

**Data analysis**

Because the induction plethysmography signal was not directly calibrated for volume, values of tidal volume and minute ventilation are given in quotation marks.
Mean values of the sum of the amplitude of chest/abdominal respiratory movements (“tidal volume”), respiratory rate (RR), TcPCO₂, TcPO₂, and SaO₂ during the one minute baseline period (air breathing) preceding the onset of CO₂ and O₂ challenges were used as resting, reference values.

Breath-by-breath values of “ventilation” during hypercapnic and hypoxic tests were calculated from the respiratory rate multiplied by the “tidal volume”. All recordings which satisfied these requirements were analysed. As a consequence of this selection process, 42 hypercapnic and 41 hypoxic tests were discarded from the final analysis (fig 1).

“Ventilatory” response to hypercapnia
A representative tracing is provided in fig 2A. Changes in “ventilation” during the entire 6–8 minutes were used to calculate the ventilatory response to hypercapnia. Breath-by-breath “ventilation” was expressed as percentage change from the reference period (assigned 100%)—that is, a 50% increase in “ventilation” was expressed as 150% change in “ventilation”, while a 50% decrease was denoted as 50%.

Response strength
Change in “ventilation” (y axis) was plotted against the corresponding change in TcPCO₂ (x axis). Regression analysis was then used to calculate the slope. The slope of the regression line thus described the percentage change in “ventilation” per unit change in TcPCO₂, giving an index of the strength of the ventilatory response to CO₂. A p<0.05 denoted significant correlation between changes in “ventilation” and TcCO₂.

“Ventilatory” response to hyperoxia
A representative tracing is provided in fig 2B.

Response strength
“Tidal volume” and respiratory rate were analysed on a breath-by-breath basis for each individual. Breath-by-breath “ventilation” was calculated during the 60 seconds air breathing preceding the hyperoxic test (the reference period), and during 60 seconds of oxygen administration. The total number of breaths during the test was split into intervals of four consecutive breaths. The median value of the intervals was calculated. The lowest median that significantly differed from the reference “ventilation” defined the response strength. Non-significant responses were assigned as 0 response.

Response time to hypercapnia/hyperoxia
The response time to inhalation of 4–6% CO₂ or 100% O₂ was estimated as follows: breath-by-breath data were divided into groups of four consecutive breaths, and the median value of these four breaths was calculated. The response time was equivalent to the number of breaths that elapsed between the initiation of hypercapnic/hyperoxic breathing and the first median value of four breaths that significantly differed from the reference median.

Apnoea index
The apnoea index—that is, the number of apnoeas per hour recording time, the median apnoea duration and range, and the number of desaturations and bradycardias were calculated for each individual infant.

Statistical analysis
None of the parameters conformed to a normal distribution as tested by the χ² goodness-of-fit, Shapiro-Wilks, skewness, and standardised kurtosis tests. Thus, the analyses of all data were based on non-parametric tests. The differences between groups were tested by Kruskall-Wallis analysis of variance of differences between the medians, while correlations between parameters were analysed by Spearman rank correlation.

The relations between the strength of the response, the response time, and the apnoea index, apnoea duration, and number of desaturations and bradycardias, were tested using the rank correlation coefficient.

A p<0.05 indicated statistically significant differences/correlations at the 95% confidence level.

RESULTS
General findings
The age at birth and the birth weight, the age at study, resting TcCO₂, and the increase in TcPCO₂ in response to CO₂ inhalation were comparable in all three groups of infants (table 1). Infants with PBCA had a significantly higher resting respiratory rate than the control group and the group with OA. In infants with apnoea no correlation was found between the number and the duration of apnoeas, on the one hand, and the age at study, on the other (p = 0.58).

Significantly more desaturations and bradycardias occurred in infants with OA compared with those with PBCA (χ² without Yates’s correction p = 0.04 and 0.02 respectively, table 1).

Hypercapnic response
The analysis was based on a total of 70 recordings. The resting TcCO₂, as well as the rise in TcCO₂ in response to carbon dioxide inhalation were comparable across all three groups (resting TcCO₂ varied between 5.50 and 5.45 kPa, whilst the TcCO₂ increased by 0.4–0.5 kPa). All infants responded to 4–6% CO₂ with a significant increase in ventilation. The strength of the response was significantly greater in the control group compared with infants with PBCA and OA (p = 0.002) (fig 3). Similarly, the response time was shortest in the control group (p = 0.002), while there was no difference between infants with PBCA and OA (fig 4). Because infants with PBCA and OA had comparable responses, they were also analysed together as a single group. Differences between controls and infants with apnoea (that is, PBCA + OA) were more pronounced in terms of
response strength (median slope 291.8%/kPa vs 108.0%/kPa, p = 0.001) as well as response time (16 vs 58 breaths, p = 0.0003). There was no significant association between response strength, or response time, and the duration and number of apnoeas (p = 0.08 and p = 0.21 respectively). A significant negative correlation between response strength and response time was found (correlation coefficient r = −0.71, p < 0.0001), indicating that infants with the strongest response were rapid responders, and vice versa.

In 20 infants, the response was due solely to an increase in respiratory rate, while nine infants responded with a significant increase in “tidal volume”. The remaining 41 infants responded with a significant increase in frequency and amplitude.

Figure 2. (A) Polysomnographic recording of CO2 challenge test in one infant: note the progressive increase in chest and abdominal excursion and air flow. (B) Polysomnographic recording of O2 challenge test in one infant. Note the decrease in amplitude of chest and abdominal excursions preceding the increase in transcutaneous PO2.
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Hyperoxic response

Hyperoxic tests of 71 infants were analysed (fig 1). There was no significant decrease in ventilation (that is, “0” response to 100% O₂) in 25 infants: eight controls, six infants with PBCA, and 11 infants with OA. Of the 46 infants with a significant response to O₂, there was a significant decrease in “tidal volume” in 13 infants, a decrease in respiratory rate in nine infants, and a decrease in “tidal volume” and respiratory rate in the remaining 25 infants.

The strength of the response was comparable in all three groups: control infants decreased “ventilation” by 28.1%, infants with PBCA by 20.5%, and infants with OA by 21.7% (p = 0.72). There were no differences between the response times of the three groups (p = 0.12): the response time in controls was 12 breaths (4–24), in PBCA infants 20 (8–36) breaths, and OA infants 20 (8–80) breaths. However, when PBCA and OA infants were combined, infants with apnoea had, overall, a significantly longer reaction time to O₂ (p = 0.04) compared with controls: 20 (8–80) vs 12 (4–24) breaths, respectively.

The response strength/response time in relation to the apnoea index

Carbon dioxide response

No correlation existed between the response strength/response time and apnoea index (p = 0.6 and 0.25 respectively), or apnoea duration (p = 0.17 and 0.09 respectively), or the total number of bradycardias (p = 0.90 and 0.35).

Hyperoxic response

With regard to the carbon dioxide response, there was no correlation between response strength/response time and the number of apnoeas (p = 0.27 and 0.65 respectively), or apnoea duration (p = 0.42 and 0.81 respectively), or the number of bradycardias (p = 0.27 and 0.19).

Only 28 eligible recordings of both hypercapnic and hyperoxic tests in the same infant were accepted for analysis (see fig 1). In this group of infants no associations between the response strength to CO₂ and O₂ (p = 0.71) as well as the reaction time to the stimuli were found (p = 0.51).

DISCUSSION

The present study has shown that infants with apnoea, regardless of its type and severity, have depressed and delayed ventilatory responses to hypercapnia, and appropriate but somewhat delayed responses to hyperoxia.

To meet homeostatic demands, the respiratory control mechanisms in the brain stem must rapidly detect and respond to changes in arterial carbon dioxide and oxygen levels. The ventilatory response must be finely tuned in terms of strength as well as timing. A response that is either too weak or too strong could contribute to respiratory control instability resulting, for example, in upper airway obstruction.⁴⁵ A response that is too fast or too slow could also destabilise respiration. An inability to promptly correct blood gas—that is, a delay in response time in certain infants, could contribute to the onset of bouts of periodic breathing. Delayed chemoreflex responses could also result in a characteristic waxing and waning of tidal volume and marked oscillations in alveolar PCO₂, which falls as breathing increases and rises during the apneic spells.⁶ In infants with apnoea, there is a divergence between peripheral and central chemoreflex function. Rigatto and Brady⁷ showed that these infants had normal peripheral but abnormal central chemosensitivity, as did infants with apnoea in the present study.

The diminished central CO₂ chemosensitivity and delayed response time of infants with apnoea, together with the fact that their blood gas CO₂ and O₂ levels at rest are normal, suggests that the principal deficit in these infants is central in origin, and not probably at the peripheral chemoreceptors. This is consistent with the notion that periodic breathing is due to immaturity in central respiratory control in preterm infants, and decreases with age.⁸⁹ The dominant role played by central control mechanisms in the genesis of apnoea is illustrated by the fact that neither obstructive nor central apnoeas occurred during CO₂ challenges. The most likely explanation for this is that activation of central chemoreceptors decreases spontaneous fluctuations in respiratory centre output and simultaneously augments the activity of the upper airway muscles.⁹

Although AOI seems to be principally central in origin, respiratory instability could also be enhanced by peripheral factors, for example, a narrow, hypotonic upper airway, compliant chest wall, weak intercostal muscle activity, and strong afferent input from lung stretch receptors. In the months after birth, infants are preferential nose-breathers, and even a small increase in nasopharyngeal resistance could precipitate obstructive apnoea. The importance of the coupling between upper airway muscles and central drive is...
illustrated by the fact that the genioglossus, a pharyngeal dilator, is innervated by a cranial nerve (the hypoglossal), which is functionally connected to the central respiratory control structures. In infants, lung receptors might contribute to apnoea via the Hering-Breuer inflation reflex. Activation of this reflex terminates inspiration, bringing inspiration to an end; in this way it affects the respiratory frequency. During apnoea, lung volume falls and the afferent input from stretch receptors diminishes, further destabilising the breathing pattern. An applied positive airway pressure largely eliminates apnoeas, possibly by stabilising lung volume and by increasing afferent feedback.

It is doubtful whether findings in infants can be extrapolated directly to explain the pathogenesis of apnoea in adults. Because of maturational changes in respiratory control, the prevalence as well as character of periodic breathing undergoes pronounced, age related changes. While periodic breathing and apnoea occur frequently in relatively healthy infants, these breathing patterns in adults occur predominantly in association with brain lesions, congestive heart failure (Cheyne-Stokes respiration), obesity, or at high altitude.

Methodological reflections
Firstly, although measuring ventilatory responses to CO$_2$/O$_2$ by inductance plethysmography has limitations, its non-invasive nature makes it particularly suitable for clinical studies of young infants. This method is widely used as an index of ventilation, and has been previously validated in infants, even though only relative changes in ventilation can be evaluated, and only in the absence of movement artefact. In order to reduce the risk of underestimating ventilation, only tests undisturbed by movement were analysed in the present study.

Secondly, changes in PCO$_2$ were estimated using a transcutaneous electrode rather than by sampling end-tidal gas. The advantage of this is that it avoids irritating the infant’s nasal orifice by a cannula. The disadvantage is that transcutaneous electrodes respond more slowly, although the skin surface PCO$_2$ adequately mirrors the CO$_2$ elimination from the body. Finally, only one hypercapnic and one hypoxic test were performed in each infant. This was necessary because a long period of undisturbed recording was required to properly evaluate the incidence of apnoeas and bradycardias overnight. Thus, intra-subject variability in ventilatory responses could not be calculated. However, a previous study of hyperoxic responses of infants recorded in quiet sleep, indicated that intra-subject variability, at least to this test, was low (approximately 12%).

Summary
The prerequisite for adequate respiration is an ability to promptly sense and respond to changes in arterial carbon dioxide and oxygen levels. A delayed response to CO$_2$ and O$_2$ and somewhat diminished response to CO$_2$ suggests that an inappropriate central control of respiration is an important mechanism in the pathogenesis of apnoea of infancy.
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