Culture confirmed multidrug resistant tuberculosis: diagnostic delay, clinical features, and outcome
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Aims: To determine the delay in diagnosis of multidrug resistant (MDR) tuberculosis (TB), the correlation between drug susceptibility patterns of adult-child contact pairs, the effectiveness of treatment, and the outcome in these children.

Methods: MDR \textit{M} tuberculosis culture results of children were prospectively collected during a four year period in the Western Cape Province of South Africa, an area with a TB incidence of 589/100,000 population, and a new MDR TB rate of 0.94%. Folder reviews were done to retrieve clinical information. Children not already on treatment at our MDR TB clinic or TB hospital were recalled and appropriate treatment was started. Follow up was done for as long as possible.

Results: Thirty nine children, median age 4.5 years at first TB diagnosis and 6.2 years on MDR culture confirmation, were seen. Delay in starting appropriate MDR treatment after TB diagnosis was a median of 2 days if MDR TB source cases were taken into account, but 246 days if the drug susceptibility pattern of the source case was not considered, and 283 days if there was no known tuberculosis source case. Correlation between the drug susceptibility results of the child’s and adult source case’s isolates was 68%. Seventeen children had smear positive tuberculosis, of whom 13 had cavitatory pulmonary disease. Eight children had central nervous system TB. Thirty six children were treated for MDR tuberculosis, of whom four died.

Conclusions: Obtaining a detailed contact history is essential as a delay in starting appropriate MDR antituberculosis treatment has potentially serious consequences.

Multidrug resistant (MDR) tuberculosis (TB) has important implications for individual patients and tuberculosis control programmes. Although the management of MDR TB in adults has been extensively documented, relatively little is known about the diagnosis and management of childhood MDR TB.

Adult patients develop both new (previously “primary” resistance—that is, no previous antituberculosis treatment) or previously treated (previously “acquired” resistance—that is, after previous antituberculosis treatment) MDR TB. In a high TB incidence area, Van Rie and colleagues have, however, shown by restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis that, even in some cases of previously treated MDR TB, the MDR \textit{Mycobacterium tuberculosis} strain was actually transmitted. In children, tuberculosis is usually paucibacillary, which makes the development of drug resistance, and especially MDR TB through treatment, less likely. Because of their age, recent transmission of infection is usually assumed; it has been documented that transmission of MDR TB in children occurs, and furthermore, is as likely to occur as is the case with drug susceptible organisms. Nonetheless it is also known that many children in high incidence areas will have received previous antituberculosis treatment or chemoprophylaxis.

Tuberculosis morbidity and mortality rates remain relatively high in children, and delay in diagnosis and effective treatment can further increase these rates because of disease progression and development of complications such as disseminated TB and tuberculous meningitis (TBDM). Delay in diagnosis and treatment of tuberculosis can be due to patient delay or doctor delay; for example, late presentation with symptoms or failure of the doctor to consider the diagnosis of tuberculosis. In the case of drug resistant tuberculosis this delay in initiating effective treatment can be even longer, especially if the attending physician does not consider the diagnosis, but also because of the time required for culture of the organism and determination of drug susceptibility (that is, laboratory delay).

The clinical management of MDR TB in children is not well documented. Some basic principles that apply to the management of adult MDR TB cases, such as not adding a single drug to a failing regimen and giving at least two or preferably three drugs to which the patient’s \textit{M tuberculosis} isolate is susceptible, probably hold true for childhood MDR TB. However, the paucibacillary nature of childhood tuberculosis may imply that fewer drugs and a shorter treatment duration will be sufficient, but no prospective studies are available to confirm this. Drugs used in the treatment of MDR TB are generally more toxic than first line drugs; some drugs, such as the fluoroquinolones, are not generally recommended for use in children, even though their safety in short and medium term treatment has been documented.

Outcome of treatment of adult MDR TB cases has been relatively poor until recently, with very high mortality rates. Little is known about the long term outcome of childhood MDR TB cases.

The aim of this study was to determine the duration of, and the reasons for, the delay in initiating appropriate anti-tuberculosis treatment in children with MDR TB. The further aims were to compare the drug susceptibility patterns of adult-child contact pairs and to describe the clinical features,
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treatment, and outcome of children with culture confirmed MDR TB.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Setting
All multidrug resistant (defined as cultures resistant to isoniazid (INH) and rifampicin (RMP), with or without resistance to other antituberculosis drugs) M tuberculosis culture results from children (defined as patients <15 years of age) in two of the four regions of the Western Cape Province of South Africa, the Cape Town Metropole, and West coast/Winelands, were prospectively collected for the period 1 January 1998 to 31 December 2001. These two regions contained 77.6% of the total population of 4.384 million for this province in 2002 based on the 1996 national census (Department of Health: Directorate Health Systems Research and Epidemiology).

This province had a reported TB notification rate of 589 new cases per 100 000 population per year in 1998 (Department of Health: Directorate Health Systems Research and Epidemiology). The rate of new and previously treated multidrug resistance determined in adult TB cases in the Western Cape Province during 2001–02 was 0.94% (95% confidence interval (CI) 0.16% to 3.70%) and 3.95% (95% CI 1.37% to 9.56%) respectively (unpublished data, Weyer K et al, South African Medical Research Council). MDR TB was found in seven (2.3%) of 306 children <13 years of age with culture confirmed TB in a referral hospital in the same province during 1994 to 1998.15 Prevalence of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection in women attending antenatal clinics in the Western Cape Province rose from 5.2% (95% CI 4.2% to 7.2%) in 1998 to 8.6% (95% CI 5.6% to 11.6%) in 2001 (Department of Health: Directorate Health Systems Research and Epidemiology).

Drug susceptibility testing
Isolates of M tuberculosis were sent to the South African Institute for Medical Research in Cape Town for susceptibility testing. Initial screening was done for INH and RMP resistance only. When resistance to INH and RMP was found, susceptibility testing for streptomycin, ethambutol, ethionamide, and other second line antituberculosis agents was performed.

Laboratory procedures for determining drug resistance were as follows: Middlebrook 7H12 (Bactec) culture medium was used for selective primary isolation of mycobacterial strains. The niacin production test (or polymerase chain reaction in some cases) was used to identify M tuberculosis. Drug susceptibility testing was performed by the economic variant of the indirect proportion method.6 The following drugs were tested at the indicated concentrations: INH 0.2 μg/ml LJ; RMP 30 μg/ml LJ; streptomycin 5 μg/ml LJ; and ethambutol 15 μg/ml LJ, ethionamide 10 μg/ml LJ, kanamycin 5 μg/ml LJ, amikacin 40 μg/ml LJ, thiacetazone 2 μg/ml LJ, and ofloxacin 2 μg/ml LJ. The susceptibility of a strain was judged by determining the proportion of bacilli resistant to a specific drug in comparison with growth on a specific control, using international criteria. Resistance was defined as 1% or more bacterial growth. Quality assurance for drug susceptibility results is done locally with every batch and quarterly by the national tuberculosis reference laboratory.6

Clinical data
The clinical records of all children with positive cultures for MDR M tuberculosis were reviewed. If children were not already prospectively known to us either by follow up at our MDR tuberculosis clinic or admitted to our local TB hospital, patients were recalled and special efforts, such as home visits, were implemented to trace them. In addition to recorded information a history was obtained at the time of the study from all children and/or their caregivers regarding previous TB chemoprophylaxis or treatment, and whether they had close contact with adults with pulmonary TB. Culture and susceptibility results from identified adult source cases were obtained. Contact history and culture information was verified with personnel at the local authority health clinics. History of recent weight loss or failure to gain in weight, cough for more than two weeks, fever, or other relevant symptoms were documented.

Weight at diagnosis and site of tuberculosis was recorded. Results of tuberculin skin test (Mantoux test by intradermal injection, 5 tuberculin units, Japanese purified protein derivative), HIV serology, and sites from which M tuberculosis was cultured were noted where available. A transverse diameter of induration of ≥15 mm (≥5 mm for HIV infected children) after Mantoux skin testing was regarded as significantly positive in accordance with World Health Organisation (WHO) criteria, as more than 90% of children in this area receive BCG.16 Chest radiographs were read according to a standardised method.17

Treatment delay was calculated as the number of days from the time that MDR TB disease should, in retrospect, have clinically been suspected until appropriate treatment for MDR TB was instituted. Children were treated either according to the drug susceptibility pattern (DSP) of the adult source case if treatment was started before the child’s isolate was available and the child had a known source case, or according to the child’s own isolate’s DSP. Two or more drugs were used to which the organism was susceptible. Duration of treatment prescribed was from 9 to 12 months after the last positive culture depending on the severity of disease. All children were followed up clinically and radiologically for as long as possible and follow up cultures were done during and towards the end of treatment to confirm cure wherever possible. Outcome by the end of the study was documented.

All treatment was given as directly observed therapy, either in hospital or at the local clinic. Children had to attend or caregivers were expected to take the children to the local health clinic 5 days a week where health care workers had to observe the children taking their treatment. In some cases community volunteers were employed to directly observe treatment. When patients did not return for treatment, several home visits were made to motivate caregivers to bring the children for treatment. If despite all efforts (including motivation for hospital admission for treatment) children did not receive any treatment for more than two months, treatment was discontinued. Follow up visits were, however, continued at our MDR clinic.

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Faculty of Health Sciences of Stellenbosch University.

RESULTS

Clinical and demographic data
MDR M tuberculosis isolates were obtained from 39 children (20 boys and 19 girls), during the four year study period. The median age at first diagnosis of tuberculosis was 4.5 years (range 0.4–14.9 years), but median age at which MDR tuberculosis was eventually confirmed by culture was 6.2 years (range 0.4–16.2 years). Table 1 summarises the age at which MDR TB disease was clinically suspected, the clinical features, chest radiograph results, and type of TB.

Twenty seven (69%) children had a history of contact with one or more adults with pulmonary tuberculosis. Of these, 21 (54%) children had contact with one or more known cases of MDR TB, one child had contact with an isoniazid and streptomycin resistant source case, four children had drug susceptible source cases, and in four children the source
cases’ drug susceptibility patterns were unknown. Three children had contact with both drug resistant and other source cases.

Table 2 summarises the reasons for the delay in diagnosis and initiating appropriate treatment for MDR TB in the children.

Smear microscopy and drug susceptibility results
Smear microscopy for acid fast bacilli (AFB) was done on sputum and/or gastric aspirate specimens from 34 (87%) children. Of these, 17 children had smear positive pulmonary tuberculosis, of whom 13 had cavities on their chest radiographs. The children with smear positive disease tended to be older, with 4/19 (21%) from 0 to <5 years of age, 4/7 (57%) 5 to <10 years of age, and 9/13 (69%) in the age group 10 to <15 years of age (p = 0.019).

The median number of drugs to which the children’s M tuberculosis strains were resistant was 3 (range 2–6). Table 3 summarises resistance to drugs in addition to INH and RMP.

Drug susceptibility patterns from adult drug resistant source cases’ isolates (n = 22) were identical to those of the child contacts in 15 (68%) cases, of which two were additionally confirmed by RFLP analysis. In a further three (13%) cases, only thiacetazone resistance was found in addition to the child isolate’s DSP (2) or the child’s strain was additionally resistant to ethionamide (1). In four children the source cases’ DSPs were not the same as those from the children, but in one of these cases RFLP analysis was done which confirmed the mother’s strain to be the same as one of two strains with which the child was infected. In the remaining child, the DSP of the M tuberculosis isolate was incomplete and could not be compared with the source case’s strain.

Previous antituberculosis treatment
Seventeen children received isoniazid and rifampicin based antituberculosis treatment for three or more months before the diagnosis of MDR TB was made. Only one child with extensive lymphobronchial disease completed a three month course of chemoprophylaxis (isoniazid and rifampicin), but despite compliant treatment developed MDR TB three months later.

Six children received previous treatment for laboratory confirmed drug susceptible tuberculosis. Of these, three had known contact only with MDR TB household source cases at the time of first presentation. Response to compliant isoniazid-rifampicin based treatment was poor in all three cases and MDR TB was confirmed 2, 11, and 41 months after completion of drug susceptible therapy, respectively. The last

Table 3 Resistance of M tuberculosis strains to drugs in addition to INH and RMP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antituberculosis drug</th>
<th>No. (%) (n = 37)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Streptomycin</td>
<td>19 (49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethambutol</td>
<td>4 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethionamide</td>
<td>7 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanamycin/amikacin</td>
<td>2 of 35 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiacetazone</td>
<td>4 of 35 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ofloxacin</td>
<td>2 of 30 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycloserine</td>
<td>1 of 8 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrazinamide</td>
<td>1 of 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Susceptibility rarely tested.
child died soon after MDR TB was diagnosed because of extensive bilateral lung destruction. In the first child, the RFLP analyses of the child’s and mother’s M tuberculosis strains were identical, the mother having had MDR TB for several years.

Two of the children initially infected with drug susceptible strains, were most likely reinfected with MDR strains. Both were HIV infected. In each child, susceptibility tests were done on two separate specimens during the first episode of tuberculosis, both of which were susceptible to isoniazid and rifampicin. In one case, the mother, who first had drug susceptible TB, developed MDR TB during the course of her illness, while the child was treated and cured in hospital from his first TB episode. He then developed MDR TB, the resistant organism being cultured from gastric aspirates and an ear swab 22 months later while staying with his mother and aunt, the latter having also developed new MDR TB. He died soon thereafter of advanced HIV disease and TB. The second child had no identified TB source cases. He received directly observed treatment (DOT) in hospital for six months for the first episode of drug susceptible TB and was discharged cured with negative cultures. He returned 19 months after completing treatment with MDR TB, confirmed on several specimens, and was treated for MDR TB with DOT for 18 months. He subsequently returned with a third episode of TB 18 months after completing the second course of antituberculosis treatment, again with a drug susceptible strain. He was started on isoniazid-rifampicin based DOT and responded well to treatment.

The remaining child that initially had drug susceptible TB presented with adult type cavitating pulmonary TB. Both parents had confirmed drug susceptible TB. She most likely acquired MDR TB, as she was a severe defaulter, often noted to spit out her treatment, and eventually developed MDR TB 11 months into repeatedly interrupted and non-compliant treatment.

A further nine children received a course of isoniazid-rifampicin based antituberculosis treatment, duration ranging from 4 to 30 months, with poor response to treatment or relapse of TB in all nine cases with no initial cultures or susceptibility tests done. In five of these children, contact with adult MDR cases in the same household was evident. One child developed miliary TB and tuberculous meningitis despite short course treatment, while there was a history of contact with several adult cases of MDR TB. In the four children who had no known contact with MDR TB cases, drug resistance could have been acquired, but compliance with treatment was good in all but one case and the response to treatment was poor throughout the course of treatment.

The remaining child of the 17 who received previous antituberculosis treatment). A further five (13%) children were still on treatment by the end of the study. One was again culture positive after 1 month, of whom four are still on treatment; four (17%) defaulted before the end of treatment.

Outcome of long term follow up
Death was the outcome in four (10%) patients. Of these, two HIV seronegative children died of MDR TB while on treatment, one because of stage 3 TBM and the other of extensive lung involvement. Both children had known household contact with several MDR TB source cases, but opportunities for the initiation of appropriate treatment were missed. The remaining two children were HIV infected. In one child MDR TB treatment was stopped because of end stage AIDS; he died soon after. The second child was cured of MDR TB, but died nine months later because of severe acute diarrhoea and pneumonia (cultures for M tuberculosis remained negative).

Twenty one (54%) children completed treatment and were cured with cultures remaining negative. Six (15%) children defaulted treatment after a minimum of five months treatment; four of these were lost to follow up. Remaining pathology due to TB in these two groups of children was bronchiectasis and/or destroyed lung in four children, vision loss due to TBM in one, and severe thoracic kyphosis in one. Median follow up was 14.8 months (range 0–36.7 months) for those not lost to follow up before completion of therapy. During this time, only one HIV infected child had another episode of TB, this time with a drug susceptible organism (see Previous antituberculosis treatment).

A further five (13%) children were still on treatment by the end of the study. One was again culture positive after >14 months of treatment which was interrupted for two months, and one child was awaiting lobectomy for lobar bronchiectasis.

Three (8%) children received no antituberculosis treatment at all. Of these, two were lost to follow up. The remaining girl, 13 years of age, probably had a pleural effusion when she presented. She was traced 13 months later and was clinically and radiologically normal. She remained well 18 months after initial diagnosis.

Table 5 summarises weight response and results of last available follow up chest radiographs.

DISCUSSION
The World Health Organisation, for epidemiological purposes, defines children as patients from 0 to 14 years of age. The incidence of tuberculosis in this age group is often underestimated because of the reliance on sputum smear or culture results. Furthermore, MDR TB has become a worldwide problem.
The infectiousness and pathogenicity of MDR *M. tuberculosis* strains have been confirmed. The emphasis in this study was on culture confirmed childhood MDR TB cases in an area with a high incidence of TB and a relatively low incidence of MDR TB. Culture confirmation in children is at best 30–70%, and the need for a positive culture may bias the incidence of MDR TB. Culture confirmation in children is at an area with a high incidence of TB and a relatively low percentage (36%) had cavitatory disease on chest radiographs and were smear positive for AFB (44%). This could be due to disease progression because of the delay in diagnosis, but does imply that children, especially older children, can contribute to the spread of MDR TB. No screening of community contacts was done in this study.

HIV infection was found in 21% of children who were tested compared to the 13% infection rate in a previous study of culture positive childhood TB cases done from 1994 to 1998 in the same area. The higher rate in this study is most likely because of the corresponding rise in HIV infection in this region.

The mortality and morbidity from childhood TB may be influenced by the delay from the time of first symptoms until the start of compliant treatment, and this delay may further be prolonged before appropriate treatment is started in the case of MDR TB. The delay from diagnosis of TB to appropriate treatment can be short and similar to that of drug susceptible cases if a history of contact with an infectious case of MDR TB is obtained from the outset (table 2). However, when this history was disregarded or not sought, the delay in initiating MDR TB treatment rose dramatically from a median of 2 days to a median of 17 weeks. In two cases, the extensive delay was probably responsible for the death of these children. In other children disease progression contributed to increased morbidity.

Delay in treatment for MDR TB is often not preventable if no MDR source cases are identified and culture and susceptibility tests are not routine. The highest burden of TB cases is often found in developing countries and, as is the case in South Africa, adult TB cases are routinely identified by sputum smear for AFB only because of a lack of resources. Culture and drug susceptibility testing is reserved for those who do not respond to standard antituberculosis treatment. Cultures of *M. tuberculosis* from children are difficult to obtain, and when obtained, drug susceptibility tests are often not routinely done because of cost constraints. This can lead to extensive delays in starting appropriate treatment. When MDR TB is suspected because of poor response to treatment, the final results of culture and susceptibility tests needed to identify the correct treatment regimen may not be available for 2–6 months (table 2). Routine susceptibility testing for all patients and the development of faster susceptibility testing methods would significantly decrease the diagnostic delay.

The possibility of drug resistance should be considered in the management of children if: (a) they have a known adult source case with drug resistant TB; (b) there is no known source case, but the community (or country) in which the child resides (or had resided) has a high prevalence of drug resistant TB; (c) an adult source case is a treatment defaulter (not compliant), a treatment failure (compliant but sputum still positive at end of treatment), a retreatment case (second episode of TB), or a chronic case (TB despite two previous treatment courses) with unknown drug susceptibility pattern; (d) a child does not respond satisfactorily or deteriorates while on TB treatment and is compliant; and (e) a child with pulmonary TB relapses after incomplete or incorrect TB treatment.

It is usually assumed that children develop TB from recent infection. Transmission of MDR TB from adult source cases to child contacts has been confirmed by drug susceptibility pattern and RFLP analysis. According to drug susceptibility results of adult source cases and child contacts, there was a
high correlation of 68% between the susceptibility patterns of the MDR TB adult-child pairs. This is similar to the finding of Steiner and colleagues in a previous study of adult-child transmission of drug resistant TB. If the variability of susceptibility results of the second line drugs is taken into account, this correlation will further be increased. In one case almost certainly the susceptibility result of the child was incorrectly reported as fully susceptible, while by RFLP analysis she had an identical strain to the mother’s who had been a MDR TB case for several years.

In this study 44% of the children had received previous treatment and, although these cases can be classified as previously treated MDR TB, in the majority of cases reasons could be identified that make transmission of a MDR strain likely. Truly acquired MDR TB was considered likely in mainly a few adolescents who had “adult type” cavitary disease and failed to comply with initial treatment.

The optimal duration of treatment and drugs that should be used remain uncertain. INH was used in most regimens because of clinical features and special investigations. Ethambutol has been accepted as a first line drug because of low level resistance. Ethionamide caused gastrointestinal discomfort in 41% of patients, which led to discontinuation of the drug in 20% of cases. These problems can be managed by starting with a lower dose and initially dividing the daily dose.

In this study 32 children received ofloxacin for a median duration of 12 months. Gastrointestinal adverse events occurred in four children, in two of whom the agent was discontinued. Careful scrutiny of the folders revealed no reports of joint pain in any of these children. It was disconcerting that two children were infected with ofloxacin resistant strains.

The outcome of MDR TB in adults has been notoriously poor. Mortality rates have been especially high in HIV infected MDR cases, but mortality rates of 39–48% have been reported in HIV seronegative MDR TB cases. The known mortality in this study who all presented with clinical disease, was 10%; a further two children were lost to follow up without any treatment. Of the four known deaths, MDR TB was not the cause in one. Earlier recognition of drug resistance could probably have prevented a further two deaths and morbidity. Of those children who were followed up after the completion of treatment, none had a relapse of MDR TB.

This study illustrates the potentially serious consequences of a delay in appropriate treatment for MDR TB and the importance of a detailed contact history. Furthermore, where the resources are available drug susceptibility should preferably be determined in all children with culture positive TB.
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