If scientific research of Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS) is to be judged on how well it now understands this syndrome after four decades of intensive research it has been a spectacular failure. If however, it is to be judged on the number of young lives saved, it has ultimately been a resounding success. Dr Goldwater’s critical insight in this issue¹ in our lack of knowledge regarding the aetiology of SIDS and our slow uptake on fully using pathological clues to examine potential causal mechanisms are fully justified, but his observation that much research, effort, time, and money has been wasted is not.

To argue that the present rate of SIDS is returning back to similar levels observed in the 1970s and may be due to natural variation rather than the “back to sleep” campaign may seem feasible when looking at small data sets, but such variation would be on an epidemic scale in larger populations. This argument also ignores both the fall in all postneonatal deaths during this period and the strong negative correlation between the increase in infants placed supine to sleep with the decrease in SIDS. The low levels in the 1970s, as Mitchell observed,² were probably due to deaths previously labelled as respiratory conditions, slowly being relabelled to this new classification of SIDS. National data from England and Wales¹ have since confirmed that there was indeed a diagnostic shift. This being the case, in England and Wales alone, nearly 10,000 lives have been saved in the past decade, which is a testament to all who work in the SIDS field.

The reduction has been brought about through epidemiological rather than any clinical, pathological, or genetic insight, but the process has been one of working out the answers without really understanding the mechanism of risk. Repeated population studies pointed to the prone position, and successful intervention campaigns have shown beyond doubt this position is part of the causal pathway for some of the deaths, but we have little idea as to what this pathway is. Nevertheless this approach of identifying potential factors and using frequency comparison in a multivariate setting can lend itself to other disciplines. Dr Goldwater has identified certain pathological markers of SIDS which in themselves may be non-specific and considered inadequate to represent a cause of death. These include liquid blood found in the heart of SIDS infants, the size number and distribution of intrathoracic petechial haemorrhages, fluid laden heavy organs, inflammation of the trachea and bronchioles, and bacterial toxins potentiated with viral infection and/or exposure to tobacco smoke. However, used in combination with each other and epidemiological findings may be predictive of certain subgroups of SIDS.

As Dr Goldwater points out, pathological evidence has largely been ignored over the years, but perhaps this is not surprising as the lack of comparative baseline information and standardised postmortem protocol renders much of the pathological evidence as uncontrolled anecdotal observations. However, in conducting a SIDS study one actually conducts a study of all sudden unexpected deaths in infancy (SUDI), of which SIDS is the larger part but also includes explained deaths. Given that the relative proportion of explained SUDI deaths has now grown with the decline of unexplained SIDS deaths, the potential for a viable comparison group where the epidemiological and pathological data have already been collected is becoming an increasingly realistic proposition. Previous efforts to standardise postmortem protocols have perhaps been too complex to put into practice,¹¹ and this is further compounded, at least in the UK, by a growing reluctance to carry out tests because of the negative public reaction to the past practices of tissue and organ retention. However Fleming and colleagues⁴ have defined an evidence based postmortem protocol that balances the probability of obtaining useful information against the needs of parents for the examination to be completed quickly, with a minimum of tissue retention. This protocol shows that with a minimum number of tests and a thorough clinical history, virtually all of the deaths for which a cause could be ascertained will be correctly identified.

If the enigma of SIDS is to be solved, the pathologists need to work closer with the epidemiologists and the funding organisations need to educate the public on why the pathologists play such a pivotal role in trying to understand why our babies sometimes die.
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Herbal medicines

Safety of herbal medicines in children
I Choonara

A commentary on the paper by Ramsay et al

Herbal medicines are used extensively by children in the West. They are an important part of complementary and alternative medicines (CAM). A study of over 500 children in the southwest of England reported that almost one in four children had received CAM therapy. Two studies in North America reported that over 10% of children had used at least one form of CAM therapy.

Efficacy

It is important that health professionals adopt a non-judgemental approach with regard to parents who use CAM for their children. Many herbal medicines may be effective as we know that many plant derived chemicals are the basis of conventional drug therapies. Parents will often use a herbal medicine for their children on the basis that it is more “natural” and safer. One third of parents will use CAM because they feel that they are safer than conventional medicines!

Toxicity

There have been numerous studies in relation to the incidence of adverse drug reactions to children in hospitals. The toxicity of certain herbal medications is well recognised on the basis of case reports. The incidence of toxicity in relation to herbal drug therapy, however, is unknown. A recent systematic review of the toxicity of CAM concluded that there were insufficient data to determine the incidence of toxicity of herbal medicines. Table 1 gives examples and the mechanisms of toxicity. The toxicity of herbal medicines may be due to intrinsically toxic constituents of the herbal ingredients. Examples of this include viscosolins, which are constituents of mistletoe and are both cytotoxic and cardiotoxic. Other examples include cyanogenetic glycosides which are present in the kernels of a number of fruits. Gastric hydrolysis of these compounds results in the release of hydrogen cyanide. Other important mechanisms associated with the toxicity of herbal medicines include allergic reactions and contamination by heavy metals.

Drug interactions

It is important to appreciate that herbal medicines may interact with prescribed medicines. Concurrent use of herbal or homeopathic remedies alongside prescribed or over the counter medicines is frequent. Drug interactions are a significant problem in association with the use of St John’s wort. St John’s wort may induce drug metabolising enzymes within the liver as well as possibly affecting P-glycoprotein, which is a transport protein present throughout the body.

Formulation

The paper by Ramsay and colleagues highlights the important issues in relation to the formulation of herbal medicinal products. The Medicines Control Agency has expressed concerns regarding the variable quality of unregulated herbal products. The paper by Ramsay et al., however is highly suggestive that potent corticosteroids have been deliberately introduced to herbal creams in order to increase their efficacy. Unfortunately inappropriate formulation development is not restricted to complementary therapies. In 1937, Americans, many children died following the preparation of a sulphanilamide solution in 72% diethylene glycol. These deaths resulted in legislative changes in the USA to ensure that the quality of medicines was formally assessed. In many developing countries medicines are available in street markets and the source of the medicines is unknown. Forty seven children died in Nigeria following the deliberate use of diethylene glycol as a solvent for paracetamol instead of propylene glycol. There have been similar tragedies affecting children in Bangladesh and Haiti.

It is important that the quality of herbal medicines is significantly improved. This can only be achieved by parents, health professionals, and regulatory authorities working in conjunction with the suppliers of herbal therapies to ensure that they are of sufficient standard. Prosecution of suppliers who deliberately adulterate herbal creams is urgently required. Parents who wish to use herbal medicines should try to obtain them from reliable sources—that is, one of the main herbal practitioner associations.
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Table 1 Toxicity associated with herbal medicines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Herbal product</th>
<th>Mechanism of toxicity</th>
<th>Toxicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tibetan Herbal Vitamin</td>
<td>Contamination with lead</td>
<td>Seizures, developmental delay, anaemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jin Bu Juan</td>
<td>Incorrect plant used (genus stephania not polygalda)</td>
<td>Respiratory depression, bradycardia, CNS depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neem tree oil</td>
<td>Incorrect route (given orally instead of topically)</td>
<td>Encephalopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Various traditional</td>
<td>Direct toxicity of herbal product (contain pyrrolizidine alkaloids)</td>
<td>Hepatic veno-occlusive disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wau wa cream</td>
<td>Adulteration of product (contain pyrrolizidine alkaloids)</td>
<td>Corticosteroid toxicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St John’s wort</td>
<td>Induction of drug metabolism leading to drug interaction</td>
<td>Depends on drug (often loss of therapeutic effect)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Leptospirosis

Detection of leptospirosis in India

J M Vinetz

A commentary on the paper by Karande et al

Leptospirosis is a zoonotic disease of global significance. In recent years, clinicians and epidemiologists have given increasing attention to this disease, with particular focus on two features: its epidemic potential; and severe manifestations, particularly pulmonary haemorrhage. However, in leptospirosis endemic regions, one quarter of patients (or more) presenting with simple fever have serological results suggesting the diagnosis of acute leptospirosis. Severe leptospirosis seems to be the tip of the iceberg of leptospiral infection: most people infected by Leptospira seem to have either simple, undifferentiated fever (fever without focus) or subclinical illness. Fever is a cardinal manifestation of illness and is a common clinical complaint. In industrialised countries, an undifferentiated febrile illness is often referred to as a “viral syndrome” or a “flu-like illness”, with the expectation that it will resolve itself. In the developing world, depending on locale, an undifferentiated fever may be called “dengue” or “malaria”, or depending on the verve of the investigator, a “rickettsial” or “ehrlichial” illness, etc. Regardless of geographic context, however, most often the diagnosis of an undifferentiated fever is based on clinical observation without precise documentation by laboratory, and treatment is symptomatic or specific antimicrobial therapy provided empirically. I would suggest that the term “flu-like illness” is a misnomer and should simply be abandoned as dangerous and the result of fuzzy thinking.

What really is meant by “flu-like illness”? To this author, an influenza-like illness is characterised by the onset of general symptoms such as fever, headache, myalgia, arthralgia, accompanied by upper or lower respiratory symptoms such as sore throat, nasal congestion, and cough. While influenza is a pro-drome indistinguishable from many other acute infections, its archetypal manifestations are respiratory. Therefore, regardless of geographic location, to describe fever accompanied by general complaints, without respiratory symptoms, as “flu-like” or “viral syndrome” is fraught with hazard and should prompt consideration of other diagnostic possibilities. The underlying meaning of “flu-like illness” is profoundly important, however, particularly today in the era of bioterrorism (for example, pulmonary anthrax which starts out as an undifferentiated fever, later progressing to pulmonary manifestations) and the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). One can only judge with apprehension the next influenza season when many true “flu-like” syndromes present to hospital!

So it was the diagnostic importance of undifferentiated fever that motivated Karande et al to study leptospirosis in Mumbai, as reported in this issue of the Archives. The authors took advantage of an outbreak of febrile illness that occurred in the context of seasonal flooding in Mumbai. As is typical with outbreaks of undifferentiated fever, public health authorities thought that the outbreak was a “viral” or “dengue-like” illness. When diagnostic testing showed no evidence of dengue virus transmission, other diagnostic possibilities were considered; among them, for some reason not described by the authors, leptospirosis. During a six week period following the Mumbai flood of July 2000, public health authorities in Mumbai issued a directive to admit all patients reporting to its public hospitals with undifferentiated fever and study them for leptospirosis. The paediatrics department at the authors’ institution admitted 53 children aged 1 month to 12 years of age with suspected leptospirosis. About one third had serological results suggesting acute leptospirosis. Of equal importance, there were a number of other final diagnoses in these patients, including malaria and typhoid. The risk of leptospirosis rose with age; most cases were 6–12 years old. Four clinical features seemed to be helpful in distinguishing leptospirosis from other causes of fever: contact with flood water, conjunctival suffusion (conjunctival erythema without discharge), abdominal pain, and rash (maculopapular and erythematous, most prominent on the trunk). The zoonotic origin of infection was not investigated. There were no fatalities; all confirmed leptospirosis cases received intravenous penicillin and recovered without sequelae.

The paper of Karande et al is nominally about leptospirosis but raises a central issue in emerging infectious diseases regarding the importance of undifferentiated fever occurring in an epidemic setting. The authors make specific recommendations about the importance of working up patients who come into contact with flood waters, and that children ought to avoid playing in and walking through flood waters. More important for public health, there needs to be a general awareness and deeper understanding of the concept of undifferentiated febrile illness. Astute clinicians and well equipped clinical laboratories need to be vigilant in observing and explaining outbreaks of undifferentiated febrile illness. Only in this way can we avoid the intellectual abyss of dismissing such clinical presentations as only “viral” or “flu-like” illnesses, and delay the recognition of important epidemics of known and newly emerging infectious diseases.