To illustrate this, we present data from our own unit (Guy's Hospital), which cover a similar geographical catchment area to St Mary's. The table shows mortality data for the period January 1998 to November 2001, illustrating time of death after arrival of the retrieval team. For the sake of completeness, we have also included the four deaths that occurred before the arrival of the team. Over this period we have undertaken 183 retrievals on patients with severe meningococcal disease; 147 (80%) of these required mechanical ventilation and/or inotropic support. 12% of these patients presented with meningitis alone, the remainder with septic shock. The overall crude mortality is 8.2% (15/183), which includes four patients who died before arrival of the retrieval team. Our death rate becomes comparable to that of St Mary's if we exclude patients who die within 6 hours of the retrieval team's arrival, producing a mortality of 4/176 (2.3%).

Our unit policy is one of rapid stabilisation before transfer, as evidenced by a median time spent out of the PICU (the sum of the time spent in the district general hospital and the transit time back to PICU) of 2 hours 35 minutes. This resulted in only one death in the district general hospital, none during transfer, but a considerable proportion in the early hours following PICU admission.

It is our impression that the St Mary's retrieval process is a considerably longer one, which may artificially reduce PICU mortality. We would therefore ask that the St Mary's group present their data in a similar fashion, including retrieval times. Specifically, were the 29 deaths before physical admission to the PICU occurring whilst under the management of the retrieval team at the local hospital (and thus under PICU management, by their own definition)? If so, mortality should be adjusted accordingly. Second, has this trend continued in subsequent years? This disease attracts media and public attention par excellence. It is therefore vital that outcome data which are accessible to the public and may be used to influence service reorganisation be presented in a transparent manner.

S M Tibby, I A Murdoch, A Durward
Department of Paediatric Intensive Care, Guy's Hospital, St Thomas Street, London SE1 9RT, UK;
Correspondence to Dr Tibby; ShanelTibby@gstt.sthames.nhs.uk

| Table 1 Mortality data for severe meningococcal patients retrieved to Guy's Hospital January 1998 to November 2001 |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Deaths prior to team arrival                       | 1998              | 1999              | 2000              | 2001              |
| Time to death from PICU team arrival               |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| Less than 6 hours                                  | 3                 | 2                 | 1                 | 1                 |
| 6 to 12 hours                                     | 0                 | 0                 | 1                 | 1                 |
| 12 to 24 hours                                    | 0                 | 0                 | 0                 | 0                 |
| Greater than 24 hours                              | 0                 | 0                 | 0                 | 0                 |
| Total PICU deaths                                 | 5                 | 2                 | 2                 | 2                 |
| Total survivors                                   | 40                | 45                | 56                | 31                |
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Inter-unit comparisons are flawed
Mortality from meningococcal septic shock may be falling; however, it is difficult to be sure. Inter-unit comparisons of the sort precipitated by these articles and correspondence are inevitably distorted by confounding factors. These factors are not entirely removed by the use of mortality prediction models.

Historically, mortality data for meningococcal septicemia from the UK Public Health Laboratory Service Communicable Disease Surveillance Centre have always shown a lower mortality rate than that in many pediatric intensive care units. However, the comparison is regarded as inappropriate because the surveillance data include patients with positive blood cultures (septicemia) who were not shocked and so would have been expected to survive without intensive care. If one admits such patients to intensive care then both crude and standardised mortality are artificially reduced. Furthermore, mortality rates from individual intensive care units or time periods are difficult to compare even using mortality prediction models, without re assurance that the same threshold for admission and intervention applies in each case.

The paper by Booy et al contains no reassurances on this issue and no information is given about the performance of the mortality prediction model (PRISM) on their data. Furthermore their series includes mortality rates that appear to exclude the deaths during retrieval. This despite the fact that the quality of retrieval is hailed as a potential cause of decreased mortality. Thorburn et al provide some reassurance by quoting a consistently high rate of ventilation in the reported cases and detailed information on the performance of the mortality prediction model. Hence if there has been a decrease in the threshold for admission it has been accompanied by an increased use of ventilation and perhaps other interventions. It is not clear whether the data from the north west include deaths during retrieval, prior to admission to the PICU.

Both series significantly outperform the expected mortality predicted by PRISM which is not surprising and calls into question the use of the model. Convincing evidence of a fall in mortality for meningococcal septic shock however requires a uniform definition of the illness and “all cause” mortality data from a geographically defined resident population. The regional arrangement for delivery of pediatric intensive care in the north west of England combined with the factors mentioned above make it far more likely that Thorburn et al have indeed detected a true improvement in survival for this condition. Since 1996 there has been a trend for more
G Pearson
Paediatric Intensive Care Unit, Birmingham Children’s Hospital, Steelhouse Lane, Birmingham B4 6NH, UK;
Gale.Pearson@bhamchildrens.wmids.nhs.uk
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Improved outcome in severe meningococcal disease

We thank Tibby et al and Pearson for their interest in our paper. We agree with Pearson that evidence for a fall in overall mortality in meningococcal septic shock would require a geographical community based study. We described mortality in severe meningococcal disease in a paediatric intensive care unit (PICU).

In our multispecialty PICU in the north west, we have observed a continued decrease in both actual PICU mortality and mortality adjusted for disease severity since the original study period (table 1). Paediatric index of mortality (PIM) is a more contemporary scoring system than PRISM (paediatric risk of mortality score), and so has been calibrated to the system than PRISM (paediatric risk of mortality) prediction model for children in intensive care. Intensive Care Med 1997;23:201–7.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Actual mortality/PICU admissions</th>
<th>Mortality per year</th>
<th>PIM predicted SMR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>11/123 (8.9%)</td>
<td>3/95 (3%)</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>4/51 (7.8%)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>1/17 (5.9%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mortality in meningococcal disease: please report the figures accurately

We thank Tibby and colleagues for their interest. We believe they and others would be interested in the accompanying figure (see above).

It compares yearly case fatality rates on all referrals to St Mary’s PICU, regardless of whether they died before a mobile intensive care team arrived or while the team was assisting with resuscitation. The 29 “outside” deaths are included (3 in 1992/3, 8 in 1994, 10 in 1995, 3 in 1996, 5 in 1997). As stated in our published paper, logistic regression analysis, controlling for disease severity, age and sex, and including these extra deaths, showed no change in the estimated odds ratio for the yearly reduction in death rate, namely 0.41. The overall case fatality rate for 1997 became 6% compared with the PICU admission rate of 2% and a predicted case fatality rate 34% using PRISM scores.

In the 5 deaths in 1997 outside St Mary’s PICU, response times between call to the unit and arrival of a team at the DGH varied between 100 and 185 minutes. One child died as the local hospital were telephoning us; two arrested within 90 minutes of St Mary’s being called and died within minutes of the team arriving, and the other two died between 2 and 7 hours after arrival.

R Booy
Department of Paediatrics, Imperial College School of Medicine, St Mary’s Hospital, Norfolk Place, London W2 1PG, UK; r.booy@imperial.ac.uk

Genuine reduction in meningococcal deaths results from teamwork

As paediatric intensivists in lead centres accredited for paediatric intensive care (PIC) training and responsible for the care of approximately 7000 cases per year, we read with concern the report from St Mary’s Hospital which reported improved outcome of meningococcal disease (MD) in 1997 compared with previous years.

Their reported reduction in mortality must be seen in the context of an overall reduction of childhood mortality in a widespread improvement in the outcome for many conditions requiring PIC such as acute respiratory failure, persistent pulmonary hypertension and complex congenital heart defects. Overall UK PIC mortality rates have fallen to a standardised mortality ratio (SMR) of 0.87 as assessed by the Paediatric Index of Mortality compared with the model generated in 1994.

Their application of the severity of illness score (PRISM) is incorrect. No patient has a 100% predicted risk of mortality and therefore all deaths observed in any such study must increase the SMR. The exclusion of nearly half of the total deaths (29/62, 47%) who did not survive the long stabilisation and overall retrieval times must reduce SMR regardless of any other intervention. Whilst inclusion of these cases does not alter the direction of the relationship between SMR and year, it raises the overall mortality in the series towards 20% and more than doubles the headline mortality in 1997. Data from the last 4 years would be of interest. In addition, the lack of any data relating to the performance of the model in different risk groups fails to address the potential confounding factor of disease severity. Since all survivors will reduce SMR, one cause of apparent improvement in risk-adjusted survival is increased admission of low risk cases.

Figure Actual and predicted annual case fatality rates.
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The authors' caution in interpreting mortality rates in meningococcal disease is well founded. However, the authors’ data are not able to support their conclusions. The SMR is a standardised measure used to compare the observed mortality in a group of patients to the expected mortality in a similar group of patients. The SMR is calculated as the ratio of the observed number of deaths to the expected number of deaths. A SMR of 0.87 suggests that the observed number of deaths is 13% lower than what would be expected if the observed and expected populations were identical.

Genuine reduction in meningococcal deaths results from teamwork

As paediatric intensivists in lead centres accredited for paediatric intensive care (PIC) training and responsible for the care of approximately 7000 cases per year, we read with concern the report from St Mary’s Hospital which reported improved outcome of meningococcal disease (MD) in 1997 compared with previous years.

Their reported reduction in mortality must be seen in the context of an overall reduction of childhood mortality in a widespread improvement in the outcome for many conditions requiring PIC such as acute respiratory failure, persistent pulmonary hypertension and complex congenital heart defects. Overall UK PIC mortality rates have fallen to a standardised mortality ratio (SMR) of 0.87 as assessed by the Paediatric Index of Mortality compared with the model generated in 1994.

Their application of the severity of illness score (PRISM) is incorrect. No patient has a 100% predicted risk of mortality and therefore all deaths observed in any such study must increase the SMR. The exclusion of nearly half of the total deaths (29/62, 47%) who did not survive the long stabilisation and overall retrieval times must reduce SMR regardless of any other intervention. Whilst inclusion of these cases does not alter the direction of the relationship between SMR and year, it raises the overall mortality in the series towards 20% and more than doubles the headline mortality in 1997. Data from the last 4 years would be of interest. In addition, the lack of any data relating to the performance of the model in different risk groups fails to address the potential confounding factor of disease severity. Since all survivors will reduce SMR, one cause of apparent improvement in risk-adjusted survival is increased admission of low risk cases.
We feel the narrow focus of the paper on the ICU care of MD is misleading. It ignores the important contribution of many others including parents, charities, and healthcare workers. Their role in education, early identification, treatment, and immediate high quality resuscitation is discounted. To imply that ICU management after the initial resuscitation is the key factor in improved survival undermines the vital contributions of these groups.

We and other intensivists are also aware that the data are not consistent with their report, as St Mary's had been performing transports since 1992 but the fall in mortality occurred some 4–5 years later. It should be remembered that PICU retrievals have been performed in Liverpool and Glasgow since the late 1970s. Their claim that this “model” has reduced mortality of meningococcal disease is also inconsistent with the similar improvements in outcome presented by other PICUs.

We read with disappointment the response of Dr Peters and colleagues1 to our article “Reduction in case fatality rate from meningococcal disease associated with improved healthcare delivery over a period of time. Contrary to their concerns those results were achieved through genuine teamwork” as stated in our paper. In answer to the specific points they raised: We and other intensivists are also aware that mortality in conditions other than MD is also improving. In our paper we did not state that MD was the only condition in which there is an improvement in mortality. Our paper referred to a study published in Critical Care Medicine which also showed improving survival rates of paediatric patients (with various diseases) over time in another paediatric intensive care (PIC) setting.

With reference to the patients who died at the referring hospital and their exclusion from the study. Our paper clearly states “Logistic regression analysis, controlling for confounders and improve policies. In addition our research unit has played a key role in the design and implementation of clinical trials of adjunctive treatments in meningococcal disease, which has led to the publication of the only two large randomised, double blind, placebo controlled studies in childhood septic shock.”

Finally we are humbled by the magnitude of response from many other colleagues who have applauded our efforts. We believe, and have repeatedly stated, that what has been achieved has been widely accepted on both agencies and patient groups. We refer to the improvements in the care of children with MD, could only have been achieved by multidisciplinary effort involving all sectors of health care delivery.
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Reduction in case fatality rate from meningococcal disease is due to genuine teamwork

We read with disappointment the response of Dr Peters and colleagues1 to our article “Reduction in case fatality rate from meningococcal disease associated with improved healthcare delivery over a period of time. Contrary to their concerns those results were achieved through genuine teamwork” as stated in our paper. In answer to the specific points they raised: We and other intensivists are also aware that mortality in conditions other than MD is also improving. In our paper we did not state that MD was the only condition in which there is an improvement in mortality. Our paper referred to a study published in Critical Care Medicine which also showed improving survival rates of paediatric patients (with various diseases) over time in another paediatric intensive care (PIC) setting.

With reference to the patients who died at the referring hospital and their exclusion from the study. Our paper clearly states “Logistic regression analysis, controlling for confounders and improve policies. In addition our research unit has played a key role in the design and implementation of clinical trials of adjunctive treatments in meningococcal disease, which has led to the publication of the only two large randomised, double blind, placebo controlled studies in childhood septic shock.”

Finally we are humbled by the magnitude of response from many other colleagues who have applauded our efforts. We believe, and have repeatedly stated, that what has been achieved has been widely accepted on both agencies and patient groups. We refer to the improvements in the care of children with MD, could only have been achieved by multidisciplinary effort involving all sectors of health care delivery.
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Why do infants being treated for acute lymphoblastic leukaemia fail to thrive?

Figure 1 shows the weight gain of five infants treated for acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL) in relation to centile chart positions, who were treated at the Yorkshire Regional Centre for Paediatric Oncology and Haematology from 1996 until the present. Patients 1–4 were treated in accordance with the MRC UKALL Infant 1 chemotherapy protocol,1 and patient 5 in accordance with Infant 2.

The most striking aspect is that from diagnosis to end of intensive therapy (approximately week 40 of treatment), the first four patients, despite aggressive nutritional support, failed to thrive, with two requiring long term total parenteral nutrition (TPN) during maintenance therapy in order to rectify this. Patient 5, in contrast, thrived during treatment. The infants treated on the MRC UKALL Infant 1 protocol had all had grade III/IV gut toxicity following intensive therapy, resulting in the infants being highly catabolic; although some weight gain was achieved with TPN, it was difficult to sustain this increase with enteral feeding.

It became apparent that patients not fully weaned at diagnosis showed a severe delay in feeding skills, becoming orally offensive, resulting in a grossly inadequate solid intake throughout intensive treatment, which continued into maintenance therapy. The only patient to continue normal feeding development was patient 4, who was 36 weeks at diagnosis, and fully weaned.

Patient 5, like the others diagnosed under 30 weeks old, had delayed feeding skills, taking virtually no solids or feed orally. However, she did not show such severe gut toxicity. She was fed an amino acid based formula (Neocate, SHS International Ltd, UK) since induction therapy.

The failure of infants with ALL to thrive may be consequent on severe gut toxicity, length of treatment, and failure of weaning. The improved outcome of patient 5 may be the result of use of a different chemotherapy protocol, which included dexcamethasone.

A second possibility is the early introduction of an amino acid based formula, which is a source of L-glutamine, an important nitrogen source for enterocytes, which plays a key role in maintaining mucosal cell integrity and gut barrier function.1,4 It may be that exposure to a continuous low dose of glutamine throughout intensive chemotherapy helped to reduce the severity of mucositis.

E Ward
Dietetic Department, St James’s University Hospital, Beckett Street, Leeds LS9 7TF, UK; paediatric_dietitian.sjuh@leedsth.nhs.uk
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Osteogenesis imperfecta and intravenous pamidronate

Osteogenesis imperfecta (OI) is a chronic, disabling condition in which treatment with cyclical intravenous treatment with pamidronate can be useful for symptom relief, despite questions about long term safety.1 A recent study in this journal showed a decrease in bone turnover and gradual increase in bone density measurements without significant side effects, following such treatment in children affected with OI.

We wish to report our own experience in 10 children with OI who have received cyclical intravenous pamidronate (1 mg/kg/day for three days every three months). The median (range) age at the start of treatment was 9.1 (1.3–12.7) years. Treatment was initiated in the context of symptoms having an adverse effect on the quality of life, associated with evidence of decreased bone density assessed by dual energy x ray absorptiometry (DXA scan, QDR1000/W, Hologic systems, Boston, Massachusetts).1

Five of these children were at the severe end of the symptom spectrum (recurring pain, multiple fractures, and impaired mobility). Four children were treated for pain and fractures, whereas one received pamidronate for pain only. After 1.8 (0.9 to 3.0) years of treatment, nine children were pain free. Four children had had no further fractures and one child had improved mobility. The initial infusion of pamidronate was associated with flu like symptoms, fever, rigors, abdominal pain, or vomiting in six children. Serum calcium levels were low (<2.2 mmol/l) following therapy in six subjects, and three required treatment with calcium and vitamin D supplements.

Repeat DXA scans showed an increase in lumbar spine bone mineral content (BMC) standard deviation score (SDS) (fig 1), from −3.44 (−6.6 to −1.39) to −0.96 (−3.10 to 3.13) SDS following 1.3 (0.7 to 2.7) years of pamidronate treatment. This beneficial response to treatment was similar to that reported elsewhere.6

In conclusion, our findings add to those of others that intravenous pamidronate infusion improves bone mineral density and reduces symptoms of severe pain, recurrent fractures, and impaired mobility in children with OI. There are minor acute side effects to the treatment, but long term safety needs to be determined.

J Banerjee, G J Shortland, W D Evans
Department of Child Health, University Hospital of Wales, Heath Park, Cardiff CF14 4XW, UK; ibanerjee@freeuk.com
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Growth charts for height and weight—statement

The recent review by Professor Noel Cameron' was, at least in our view, the most balanced of a number of reports published in recent times.

The fact that the Tanner Whitehouse Takaishi (1966) and the Tanner Whitehouse (1976) height and weight charts (copyright Castlemead Publications), are both discussed as being widely used in hospitals, departments of paediatrics, community health departments, and academic institutions.

We say “once again surprised”, as Professor Cameron’s review, despite being so well balanced, is the latest in a series of articles, reviews, and publications stretching back over a number of years which uses either one or other, or both, of the above stated charts as references when discussing or comparing growth charts. Indeed, one or two of these previously published articles have been wholly misleading, comparing as they did the British 1990 reference with the above, while at the same time failing to mention the introduction of the updated Buckler-Tanner (1995) (Castlemead Reference 11B and 12B).

The fact that the Tanner Whitehouse Takaishi (1966) and Tanner Whitehouse (1976) charts still appear to be readily available is indeed a source for concern, as none of the former have been produced or sold by ourselves for an absolute minimum of 15 years (our sales records go no further back), while the latter has neither been produced or sold for a period of some seven years. In short, Castlemead fully accept that the Tanner Whitehouse Takaishi (1966) and Tanner Whitehouse (1976) are both long since obsolete, and should play no part in any considerations respecting growth charts for height and weight. Given the above, we are at a loss to understand why these two charts continue to appear as subjects for review.

In an attempt to draw this particular issue to a close, Castlemead is prepared to offer any hospital, department of paediatrics, community health department, or academic institution still holding stocks of either the Tanner Whitehouse Takaishi (1966) or Tanner Whitehouse (1976) (Castlemead Reference 11A and 12A) a “new” for “old” replacement of their stock with the updated Buckler Tanner (1995) growth charts (Castlemead Reference 11B and 12B).

P Wraith
Castlemead Publications, Harford, UK
peter@castlemeadpublications.com

Juvenile dermatomyositis associated with hereditary angioneurotic oedema

Juvenile Dermatomyositis (JDM) is a chronic inflammatory disease probably of an autoimmune nature. Indeed, one or two of these previously published articles have been wholly misleading, comparing as they did the British 1990 reference with the above, while at the same time failing to mention the introduction of the updated Buckler-Tanner (1995) (Castlemead Reference 11B and 12B).