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Why is it worthwhile?

The British Paediatric Surveillance Unit (BPSU) was set up in 1986 and has involved paediatricians in a pioneering mechanism for the surveillance of rare but important conditions that affect the health of children. Judged by its output the Unit has been very successful. By the end of 2001, 49 projects had been completed, resulting in over 150 publications in peer reviewed journals. The aim of this article is to examine the reasons for the success of the BPSU and to consider whether or not the activities of the Unit are worthwhile.

HOW DOES THE BPSU WORK?
The basic idea behind the Unit is simple enough. Investigators who want to perform surveillance studies apply to the BPSU Executive Committee for places on the BPSU surveillance card. Each month the BPSU office sends the orange surveillance card to over 2000 consultant paediatricians in the United Kingdom and Eire. The card lists the disorders currently under surveillance. If a child with one of these disorders has been seen during the previous month, the local paediatrician is asked to tick the appropriate box on the card and return it to the BPSU office. The office then tells the relevant surveillance group so that the paediatrician can be contacted to obtain the clinical details, usually by questionnaire. Data about patients are held securely by the surveillance groups and no information about individual patients is held by the BPSU.

WHY DOES THE BPSU WORK?
Support from key organisations
The following were involved in planning the BPSU: the British Paediatric Association (now the Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health), the Communicable Disease Surveillance Centre at Colindale, the Institute of Child Health (London), the Royal College of Physicians (Ireland), and the Scottish Centre for Infection and Environmental Health. Representatives from all these organisations—and others—have remained in active contact by attending the monthly meetings of the BPSU Executive Committee.

Active involvement of paediatricians
Every month the card arrives in the in-tray, and each paediatrician makes a decision—do I bother to complete it or do I throw it in the bin? Fortunately in over 90% of cases the decision is made to complete the card and return it to the BPSU office. To maintain this interest it is important that paediatricians are not overloaded with BPSU requests. The conditions under surveillance have to be relatively rare and only about 20% of paediatricians report any case in a year. On most occasions all the paediatrician has to do is tick a “nothing to report” box. This “negative” feedback is very important because it enables Richard Lynn, the Scientific Coordinator, to keep track of response rates and investigate the reasons that cards fail to return. It also helps us to estimate the extent to which there is under reporting of cases. The BPSU Executive Committee also tries to avoid overloading paediatricians by encouraging surveillance groups to make their questionnaires simple and short.

By choosing the right surveillance studies
The conditions on the surveillance card must be sufficiently important for paediatricians to want to make the effort. When a paediatrician ticks a box on the orange card, this results in a request for further information, usually in the form of a questionnaire to complete. In itself this is hardly an incentive to report cases! It must be clear to paediatricians that each surveillance project is likely to yield information that will be beneficial to children in general.

By saving effort
Coordination of activities facilitates the collection of information. Clinicians are approached by one Unit and are not put off by receiving questionnaires from many different sources. Investigators are helped by the BPSU to formulate and organise projects.

IS THE WORK OF THE BPSU WORTHWHILE?
The two most important criteria for acceptance of a study are:

(1) That it attempts to answer a question of scientific importance
(2) That the outcome of the study is of clear relevance to public health.

It is interesting to review some BPSU studies in the light of these criteria. They fall into a number of distinct groups as shown below, although some do not fit neatly into one or other category.

Infectious diseases
One of the first BPSU studies was of haemolytic uraemic syndrome (HUS). This remains a topical subject—another study of the same condition has now been completed. The recent study showed that most cases of HUS in the UK are caused by verocytotoxin producing E coli 0157, and in spite of the impression given by the newspapers most cases are sporadic—outbreaks are uncommon.4 As HUS surveillance has been undertaken by several other national surveillance units it has been possible to make international comparisons.

The BPSU has provided data to help decide whether or not to perform national screening programmes for congenital infections. It was found that there were sufficient numbers of cases of transmissible syphilis5 and of congenital rubella6 to justify continued screening. In contrast, other BPSU studies have shown that there are not sufficient numbers of cases of congenital toxoplasmosis7 or neonatal herpes8 to justify national screening. More recently, clinicians reporting by obstetricians through the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists, supplemented by paediatric reporting via the BPSU and unlinked anonymous HIV surveillance, has shown that HIV is prevalent throughout the UK.2 It is estimated that routine universal voluntary antenatal HIV testing would be cost effective for London and possibly elsewhere.

In order to determine whether or not children are developing variant Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease (vCJD), the study of progressive intellectual and neurological deterioration (PIND) in children was devised.9 There is good evidence that vCJD results from human exposure to beef products that contain abnormal prion protein. However, there is no useful screening test for vCJD at present, and detection of cases in children is complicated by the fact that there are many rare childhood neurodegenerative disorders. The strategy is therefore to...
obtain clinical information about all children with PIND and present the anoma-
lised details to an Expert Group of paediatricians and neuropathologists. The Expert
Group carefully reviews the clinical picture and the investigations performed
locally and classifies the cases according to diagnosis. After five years of surveil-
ance 1320 children with suspected PIND have been reported to the study. It is
relatively reassuring that just six children with vCJD have been identified in this
age group, but they were all notified in the past three years. It is possible that
more cases of vCJD will occur in children, so PIND surveillance continues. It
would not be practical to perform this important public health surveillance
without the active cooperation of paediatricians via the BPSU.

Non-infectious conditions
An example of a study of a relatively rare condition that had wide importance was
that of medium chain acyl coenzyme A dehydrogenase (MCAD) deficiency, in
which there is the possibility of a severe encephalopathy in susceptible children.9
This condition might cause death after an apparently minor illness, and this
study highlighted the fact that MCAD deficiency could be wrongly classified as
sudden infant death syndrome. Another important differential diagnosis is Reye's
syndrome, which is a rare non-inflammatory encephalopathy associated with hepati-
colic dysfunction. National surveillance for Reye's syn-
drome was transferred to the BPSU card in 1986 and was underway until 2001.
This surveillance was able to document the dramatic reduction in incidence of
"classic" Reye's syndrome after the Commit-
tee on Safety of Medicines issued warnings about the use of aspirin in children.10 The study of MCAD deficiency served to highlight the fact that a
number of metabolic disorders may present with a "Reye like" illness that is
clinically and pathologically similar to Reye's syndrome. Thus two BPSU studies shed
light on each other and both helped to illuminate an important public health
issue—the use of aspirin in young children.

Common disorders that are relatively uncommon in childhood
Big numbers (more than about 300 cases a year) overload the BPSU reporting sys-
tem both for the paediatricians who fill in the questionnaires and the surveil-
lance groups who gather the data. How-
ever, there have been two studies of the epidemiology of diabetes in children,1112 and
and recently studies of inflammato-
ry bowel disease,13 blindness,16 and (currently) stroke. Investigators have been able to focus on important ques-
tions about these conditions that are peculiar to childhood.

Physical and social surroundings
There have been studies of drowning17 and of fatal or severe reactions to food
ingestion.18 There have also been three studies of various aspects of child
abuse—Munchausen syndrome by proxy,17 subdural haemorrhage,18 and more recently, acute abdominal injury in the context of suspected child abuse. The
BPSU has provided a means of coordi-
nating and disseminating knowledge about these important and controversial
areas of child care.

Treatment
In the past there have been two studies of haemolytic disease of the newborn,1920 and a third is underway. These have been important because of the
changing use of vitamin K prophyl-
axis in neonates. Because of the concern that injected vitamin K might predispose
children to later malignancy, the routine prenatal use of this vitamin has changed and there are now several schedules for oral administration. The most recent study of vitamin K defi-
ciency bleeding will be able to chart the effects of changes in management. An-
other example has been the study of cer-
bral oedema and death associated with
diabetic ketoacidosis in children,21 and
the possible relation between these
outcomes and the treatment of children in diabetic coma.

Vaccination
In view of the high profile concern about the use of some vaccines in children, it
is perhaps surprising that there have only been a couple of studies of problems
related to vaccination. Part of the diffi-
culty here is that vaccinations are given to whole populations and therefore the
potential numbers for study are too large for the BPSU system. However, there
has been a study of mencephalitis associated with measles, mumps, and rubella (MMR) vaccine.22 Furthermore, the study of acute flaccid paralysis was set up to ascertain whether or not polio
had been eradicated from the UK.23 The
BPSU has had meetings with the Medi-
cines Control Agency, the proposal being
that the BPSU system could be used to
target particular drugs and possibly vac-
cines. This is an area of potential future
development.

CONCLUSION
In the space available it is not possible to
give more than a flavour of the many
studies that have been carried out by the
BPSU. It can be seen that BPSU studies have answered important scientific ques-
tions and have made a significant contri-
bution to the health of children. Paedia-
tricians in the United Kingdom and Else-
where are to be congratulated on all the
work that they have put into completing
the cards and questionnaires that make
BPSU studies possible. Hopefully this
review will inspire them to continue
their active support for the work of their
Surveillance Unit.
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No smoke without ads?

Reading a British magazine imported into Australia I suddenly noticed the profusion of cigarette advertisements. Smoking advertising has been banned in Australia since the early nineties and its absence—like the resolution a headache or the departure of an unwelcome guest—is refreshing but soon forgotten.

The BMJ Publishing Group has a whole journal Tobacco Control, devoted to smoking and related issues. I’d recommend anyone with a social conscience and a sense of humour to read their editorial following Nottingham University’s acceptance of cash from British American Tobacco with which to establish a chair of International Business Ethics. Much research is published there and elsewhere, but I’d like to make a couple of observations from my time in Australia.

Firstly, it hasn’t been immediately obvious to me that fewer people are smoking. In fact, visitors from the UK feel that there are more, especially in restaurants, which may simply be a feature of outdoor café society where the need to segregate smokers is less keenly demanded.

Secondly, Australians seem less aware of when they were being exposed to cigarette advertising, although this may reflect my own (hyper aware) biases. My colleagues seem almost oblivious to cinema product placement and sponsorship of international sports like cricket and, if we believe the propaganda, the otherwise impoverished Formula 1 motor racing.

This leads to the third observation, which is that people seem unaware of product or brand creep. Camel will always be a cigarette brand while they produce cigarettes, however trendy or well made the clothing. British American Racing will always be British American Tobacco, with the interests of their paymasters at heart. Kraft products will now always be, essentially, a front for Phillip Morris, to the extent where some people regard trendy or well made the clothing. We should never forget that we are always being a feature of outdoor cafe society where the need to segregate smokers is less keenly demanded.

These are no reasons to allow tobacco advertising to recommence, quite the contrary. But they are a reason to think more broadly about how to combat the tobacco industry. We should never forget that we are dealing with organisations which are fighting for their corporate lives—if not those of their customers—and that they know how to fight.
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