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Abstract

Symptomatic adrenal insufficiency, presenting as hypoglycaemia or poor weight gain, may occur on withdrawal of corticosteroid treatment but has not previously been reported during inhaled corticosteroid treatment. This case series illustrates the occurrence of clinically significant adrenal insufficiency in asthmatic children while patients were on inhaled corticosteroid treatment and the unexpected modes of presentation. General practitioners and paediatricians need to be aware that this unusual but acute serious complication may occur in patients treated with inhaled corticosteroids.
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Impaired linear growth and hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) suppression on biochemical testing are recognised systemic effects of inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) in doses ≤400 µg/day. In addition, a Cushingoid appearance with central obesity and fatigue have been reported with high doses. Features of adrenal insufficiency, such as hypoglycaemia and weight loss, may occur on withdrawal of exogenous ICS treatment. Despite HPA suppression, symptomatic adrenal insufficiency does not occur during treatment with pharmacological doses (exceeding physiological cortisol secretion) and has not previously been reported during treatment with subpharmacological doses as delivered by the inhaled route. The aim of this case series is to describe the occurrence of clinically significant adrenal insufficiency in association with HPA suppression in asthmatic children while patients were on ICS treatment, and the unexpected modes of presentation.

Case series

CLINICAL FEATURES AT PRESENTATION

Between January 1997 and March 1999, eight children (five boys, three girls; median age 6.9 years, range 4.5–10.0) with varied presentations came to the attention of specialists at three paediatric endocrine services in the north of England. They were identified on clinical assessment and biochemical testing to have adrenal insufficiency and HPA suppression. Table 1 summarises their clinical features at presentation and results of biochemical tests. All had mild to moderately severe asthma, were managed by general practitioners or general paediatricians, had responded clinically to ICS treatment, and were deemed to be compliant with their treatment. Case 4 had coexisting allergic rhinitis and had received budesonide nasal spray 400 µg/day for six months. The remaining cases had not received corticosteroids by any other route in the six months prior to presentation. None had received prolonged courses of systemic corticosteroids at any time. No patient had hyperpigmentation.

Cases 1 and 2 presented acutely with hypoglycaemia while receiving treatment with inhaled fluticasone. Their treatment had not been suddenly withdrawn prior to presentation. Neither patient had an acute intercurrent illness nor other stressful event at the time of presentation. Metabolic and other endocrine causes of hypoglycaemia were excluded by biochemical investigations (normal values of urine organic acid profile and blood acyl carnitine, insulin and C peptide at the time of hypoglycaemia, growth hormone, thyroxine, and TSH) and subsequent progress. The presentation in the remaining patients was insidious. Notable features in cases 3–6 were poor weight gain while patients were receiving ICS treatment. This was associated with poor growth in height in cases 4–6. These features were not caused by uncontrolled asthma, coexisting systemic disease, or other endocrine abnormality. In addition to poor growth in height, case 7 had hirsutism with no other endocrine abnormality and case 8 had recurrent headaches but no head and neck or neurological abnormalities.

INVESTIGATION RESULTS AT PRESENTATION

HPA suppression was identified by: (1) low basal plasma cortisol (all cases); and (2) impaired response to standard dose ACTH (Synacthen) test (cases 1–5, 8) or low 24 hour urine cortisol metabolites (cases 6, 7) (table 1). The pituitary–adrenal axis was unresponsive to stimulation with corticotrophin releasing factor (CRF) at presentation in cases 1, 3, and 4 (plasma cortisol <20 nmol/l and plasma
ACTH <5 pmol/l at all time points). In the patients who had ultrasound examination of the abdomen, the adrenal glands appeared normal in cases 1, 3, and 7 but could not be identified in case 4. Autoantibody screen was negative in all.

**Table 1 Clinical features of adrenal insufficiency in eight patients identified to have hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal suppression during inhaled corticosteroid treatment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case, sex</th>
<th>Clinical features at presentation (age)</th>
<th>Height in cm (SDS)</th>
<th>Weight in kg (SDS)</th>
<th>HPA function at presentation (plasma cortisol in nmol/l)</th>
<th>Inhaled corticosteroid, daily dose (dosage/day)</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1, M</td>
<td>Acute: unconscious, plasma glucose 0.9 mmol/l (4.5 y)</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>98.2 (−2.0)</td>
<td>14.1 (−2.1)</td>
<td>Plasma cortisol 100 at 0800*, SDST peak 200</td>
<td>Fluticasone 500 µg/day (780 µg/m²/day) volubalic; 6 mth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, F</td>
<td>Acute: drowsy, disorientated and headache for 24 h, plasma glucose 0.7 mmol/l. Also had poor growth in height and weight for 12 mth (3.8 y)</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>108.5 (−1.8)</td>
<td>18.6 (−1.1)</td>
<td>Plasma cortisol 30 at 0900*, SDST peak 30</td>
<td>Budesonide 400 µg/day (570 µg/m²/day) nebulizer; 15 mth, followed by fluticasone 500 µg/day (705 µg/m²/day) volubalic; 4 mth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, M</td>
<td>Insidious: weight loss and listlessness for 3 mth (4.5 y)</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>106.0 (−1.7)</td>
<td>17.0 (−1.2)</td>
<td>Plasma cortisol 100 at 0830*, SDST peak 20</td>
<td>Fluticasone 500 µg/day (770 µg/m²/day) volubalic; 6 mth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4, M</td>
<td>Insidious: poor growth in height and weight for 2 y (8.0 y)</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>119.7 (−0.3)</td>
<td>24.4 (−0.4)</td>
<td>Plasma cortisol 20 at 0900*, SDST peak 20</td>
<td>Fluticasone 500 µg/day (610 µg/m²/day) volubalic; 4.5 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5, M</td>
<td>Insidious: poor growth in height and weight for 12 mth (10.0 y)</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>114.6 (−2.4)</td>
<td>21.2 (−1.4)</td>
<td>Plasma cortisol 90 at 0900*, SDST peak 300</td>
<td>Budesonide 400 µg/day (600 µg/m²/day) dischaler; 3 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6, F</td>
<td>Insidious: poor growth in height and weight for 2 y (9.8 y)</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>110.7 (−1.0)</td>
<td>17.1 (−1.4)</td>
<td>Plasma cortisol 162 at 0900*, 24 h urine cortisol metabolites low† (THC 380 µg, THE 70 µg)</td>
<td>Budesonide 400 µg/day (505 µg/m²/day) nebulizer; 5 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7, M</td>
<td>Insidious: poor growth in height and hirsutism for 6 mth (5.6 y)</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>120.0 (−2.4)</td>
<td>18.8 (−3.2)</td>
<td>Plasma cortisol 20 and 30 at 0900*, 24 h urine cortisol metabolites undetectable‡</td>
<td>Budesonide 400 µg/day (595 µg/m²/day) nebulizer; 12 mth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8, F</td>
<td>Insidious: poor growth in height and headaches for 12 mth (8.4 y)</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>116.0 (−1.2)</td>
<td>21.0 (−0.7)</td>
<td>Plasma cortisol 33 at 0900*, SDST peak 325</td>
<td>Fluticasone 1000 µg/day (1136 µg/m²/day) volubalic; 18 mth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Rows in bold represent measurements after the diagnosis of adrenal insufficiency.

*0700-0900 plasma cortisol (normal range 250-700 nmol/l).
†24 h urine cortisol metabolites: THC, tetrahydrocortisol (normal range 500–1300 µg); THE, tetrahydrocortisone (normal range 200–550 µg).
SDST, standard dose Synacthen (ACTH) test (criterion for normal response is peak plasma cortisol >500 nmol/l).

**Management and Progress**

The dose of ICS at presentation did not exceed that recommended in step 3 of the British Thoracic Society Guidelines in seven of these eight cases (case 8 was the exception). However, only two patients (cases 6 and 7) were receiving medication within the licensed prescription (beclometasone 400 µg/day, fluticasone 200 µg/day, and budesonide 800 µg/day). Case 2 had received fluticasone 1500 µg/day for four weeks, 1000 µg/day for four weeks, and 500 µg/day for eight weeks prior to presentation. We are not aware of other patients receiving higher doses of ICS than those mentioned in table 1.

The management of adrenal insufficiency included: (1) informing parents about a potential adrenal crisis, management of acute stressful events, and precautions necessary to prevent this emergency; and (2) training parents to manage an acute adrenal crisis and providing open access to the hospital accident and emergency department. Daily hydrocortisone replacement (physiological dose 12 mg/m²/day orally, with two thirds of the dose in the morning and one third in the evening) was indicated in patients who presented with hypoglycaemia (cases 1 and 2) and those with no adrenocortical reserve (cases 3 and 4, and case 7 for 18 months). In the remaining patients, hydrocortisone replacement was reserved for acute stressful events. Patients were reviewed at four to eight weekly intervals and an attempt was made to gradually reduce the dose of ICS while simultaneously maintaining adequate control of asthma symptoms. Biochemical tests of HPA axis function were repeated after successful reduction in dose of ICS or gradual withdrawal of treatment. Any ICS treatment and hydrocortisone replacement were withheld for 24 hours prior to testing.

Normal ACTH test responses and 24 hour urine cortisol metabolites were obtained in four cases after: (1) gradual withdrawal of ICS with salmeterol (case 7 over 18 months when hydrocortisone was also discontinued) or without salmeterol (case 8 over three months); and (2) change in ICS preparation without salmeterol (case 5 from beclometasone 600 µg/day to budesonide 200 µg/day over six months) or with addition of salmeterol (case 6 from budesonide 400 µg/day to fluticasone 250 µg/day over three months). Fluticasone was discontinued in case 3 after two years. Subsequent biochemical tests showed some recovery of HPA function (Synacthen test peak...
Table 2  Growth data showing poor growth in height and weight at presentation and subsequent catch up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before presentation</th>
<th>As follow up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median (range) time, y</td>
<td>1.0 (0.5–3.2)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.1–2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) change in weight SDS</td>
<td>−0.7 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p with t test)</td>
<td>(p = 0.03)</td>
<td>(p = 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) change in height SDS</td>
<td>−0.7 (0.6)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p with t test)</td>
<td>(p = 0.03)</td>
<td>(p = 0.001)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

plasma cortisol 400 nmol/l and his dose of oral hydrocortisone is currently being reduced. The remaining cases have continued to require oral hydrocortisone replacement for over three years despite some alterations to their ICS treatment and salmeterol (case 1 from fluticasone 500 µg/day to budesonide 300 µg/day; case 2 from fluticasone 500 µg/day to budesonide 400 µg/day; case 4 from fluticasone 500 µg/day to budesonide 800 µg/day).

Catch up growth in weight and height (table 2) was observed in all patients: with hydrocortisone replacement (cases 1–4, 7), ICS withdrawal (cases 3, 7, and 8) and change in ICS preparation (cases 5 and 6).

Discussion

As exogenous corticosteroids cause greatest suppression of ACTH secretion, it may be difficult to differentiate from isolated ACTH or CRF deficiency, particularly when the corticosteroid dose is in the normal range. Not only are the latter extremely uncommon, but the time course of events in relation to ICS treatment in our patients makes isolated ACTH or CRF deficiency less likely. The absence of troublesome asthma symptoms when growth was impaired and subsequent catch up growth after the diagnosis and management of adrenal insufficiency excludes uncontrolled asthma as an explanation for the poor growth and is highly suggestive of reversal of adrenal insufficiency induced by ICS treatment. Impaired growth in height is a feature of Cushing’s syndrome secondary to excess exogenous ICS.5,4 A recognised systemic effect of conventional doses of ICS1,2 and also a sign of adrenal insufficiency. However, the key to differentiation is increased or normal weight gain in the former and poor weight gain/weight loss in adrenal insufficiency.

This case series highlights an unrecognised paradoxical complication during ICS treatment with doses within current recommended guidelines.6 All patients were symptomatic but the presenting features were varied and unexpected: features of adrenal insufficiency (poor weight gain, hypoglycaemia) predominated over well known peripheral effects of excess exogenous corticosteroids (iatrogenic Cushing’s syndrome). Our observations and the large reported range of effects on linear growth and HPA function,1,2 and occurrence of adverse effects with doses of ICS normally considered to be safe5,6 illustrate variations in individual sensitivity to corticosteroids. In addition, corticosteroid sensitivity is also likely to vary between different target tissues, and a lack of correspondence between different corticosteroid effects has been reported in healthy and non-asthmatic adults.10,11 Differential tissue sensitivity has also been proposed as an explanation for those patients with asthma who fail to respond to corticosteroid treatment but who have systemic effects.12 In our cases, it is likely that the systemic bioavailability of ICS was sufficient to suppress the HPA axis but not adequate enough to provide physiological corticosteroid replacement and thus prevent symptoms of hypoadrenalism. This, along with differential tissue sensitivity explains the predominant features of adrenal insufficiency, including hypoglycaemia, listlessness, and poor weight gain with poor growth in height.

Adrenal insufficiency, irrespective of cause, is one of the acute life threatening emergencies in paediatric practice. General practitioners and paediatricians need to be aware that it may occur in patients treated with ICS and of the unusual modes of presentation to provide timely management. Although the daily dose of ICS for seven of the eight cases might not be considered excessive, all patients were small (weight and height SD scores <0) and consequently their dose per m² body surface area was relatively higher. Evidence based guidelines with doses of ICS recommended according to body surface area are needed. The differing potencies of the various ICS preparations, including the widely accepted 2:1 ratio between fluticasone and other ICS preparations, also needs to be taken into account. We emphasise that the distribution of the ICS preparations used in our small series should not be extrapolated to that used in the general population. Furthermore, as our patients had well controlled asthma, an attempt should have been made to reduce their dose of ICS treatment during the period before presentation." Failure to do so was a possible risk factor for adrenal suppression. Alternative treatments such as salmeterol and leukotriene receptor antagonists may have a role in patients who do not respond to conventional doses of ICS. Although all our patients were deemed to be compliant with their treatment, failure to take treatment and sudden withdrawal are additional risk factors for acute adrenal crisis.5

When using ICS in the management of asthma, there is a clear need to be ever cautious, as a few children as outlined in this series can get serious systemic effects, such as hypoglycaemia secondary to adrenal insufficiency, that cannot readily be predicted. As growth and weight failure was an important feature of our cases, we must emphasise the importance of growth monitoring for all children on ICS. If growth failure is detected, adrenal insufficiency should be considered and investigated with dynamic tests of adrenal function.

Commentary

When inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) therapy was introduced to clinical practice, it was at a time when the therapeutic options for asthma prophylaxis were limited to theophylline and sodium cromoglicate, and many asthmatic children were still on regular oral corticosteroids. Early searches for side effects from ICS gave uniformly reassuring results, and the first side effect to be noted was a slight decline in oral glucose tolerance. In two cases there was acute hypoglycaemia, not apparently caused by the sudden withdrawal of ICS, though this is the explanation that springs most readily to mind. These two cases are particularly worrying, suggesting that acute adrenal insufficiency can occur even when the child is still taking the exogenous corticosteroid that caused the adrenal insufficiency in the first place. Clearly, paediatricians will have to be vigilant in investigating asthmatic children with disturbed consciousness, unusual behaviour, or autonomic symptoms suggestive of hypoglycaemia. And in addition, given the lingering suspicion that the ICS treatment must have been discontinuous (if not indeed discontinued), prescribers of ICS must emphasise the importance of compliance, and ensure that when treatment is successful, it is stepped down gradually rather than stopped suddenly.

The other cases presented insidiously, five with impaired growth over periods ranging from six months to two years. In only one did the ICS dose exceed current recommendations. The authors are quite clear that the asthma was well controlled in these children, and this might have played a part in ensuring the systemic absorption of what are unremarkable doses of ICS. The systemic effects of budesonide and flunisolide reflect mainly lung bioavailability, and it has been shown that the systemic bioavailability of ICS is greater in subjects with healthy airways than in asthmatics. Thus, if in these cases the doses of ICS were greater than was strictly necessary, enhanced systemic absorption might have ensued, although a logical extension of this argument would be that perfect control is an undesirable end point for ICS therapy.

Perhaps we should have advised against the inclusion of case 7, whose presenting features included hirsutism, hardly a feature of simple adrenal suppression. His body mass index was rather better than in the others, and he may well have had some other endocrine abnormality. However, none was found and on balance we decided that all eight cases should be included.

We can only guess at the prevalence of clinically significant adrenal suppression in children on ICS, but clearly we can no longer claim that it is non-existent. Nor can we be sure that the distribution of the individual corticosteroid molecules used by these children is anything other than a chance phenomenon. The authors are rightly cautious in refusing to comment. But it can hardly pass unnoticed that only one of these eight children was on beclomethasone.

suppression,® a side effect that has generally been regarded as benign, representing nothing more than a physiological response to exogenous corticosteroid. Clinical symptoms of hypoadrenalism have not appeared to be a problem. It is therefore surprising that after more than a quarter of a century's relatively trouble free use of ICS, Patel et al should report no fewer than eight cases of clinically significant adrenal suppression in children on ICS therapy.

In two cases there was acute hypoglycaemia, not apparently caused by the sudden withdrawal of ICS, though this is the explanation that springs most readily to mind. These two cases are particularly worrying, suggesting that acute adrenal insufficiency can occur even when the child is still taking the exogenous corticosteroid that caused the adrenal insufficiency in the first place. Clearly, paediatricians will have to be vigilant in investigating asthmatic children with disturbed consciousness, unusual behaviour, or autonomic symptoms suggestive of hypoglycaemia. In addition, given the lingering suspicion that the ICS treatment must have been discontinuous (if not indeed discontinued), prescribers of ICS must emphasise the importance of compliance, and ensure that when treatment is successful, it is stepped down gradually rather than stopped suddenly.

The other cases presented insidiously, five with impaired growth over periods ranging from six months to two years. In only one did the ICS dose exceed current recommendations. The authors are quite clear that the asthma was well controlled in these children, and this might have played a part in ensuring the systemic absorption of what are unremarkable doses of ICS. The systemic effects of budesonide and flunisolide reflect mainly lung bioavailability, and it has been shown that the systemic bioavailability of ICS is greater in subjects with healthy airways than in asthmatics. Thus, if in these cases the doses of ICS were greater than was strictly necessary, enhanced systemic absorption might have ensued, although a logical extension of this argument would be that perfect control is an undesirable end point for ICS therapy.

Perhaps we should have advised against the inclusion of case 7, whose presenting features included hirsutism, hardly a feature of simple adrenal suppression. His body mass index was rather better than in the others, and he may well have had some other endocrine abnormality. However, none was found and on balance we decided that all eight cases should be included.

We can only guess at the prevalence of clinically significant adrenal suppression in children on ICS, but clearly we can no longer claim that it is non-existent. Nor can we be sure that the distribution of the individual corticosteroid molecules used by these children is anything other than a chance phenomenon. The authors are rightly cautious in refusing to comment. But it can hardly pass unnoticed that only one of these eight children was on beclomethasone.
whereas the others were on what are sometimes described as second or even third generation ICS. Beclomethasone was the first and is still the most widely used ICS in the UK. In England in 1998, 8.43 million NHS prescriptions were filled for beclomethasone, compared to only 1.88 million for budesonide and 1.54 million for fluticasone.\(^{17}\) We too are cautious in our interpretation of these proportions, but await with interest further reports of ICS related adrenal suppression.

So, what are the conclusions to be drawn from this report? In our current state of knowledge, it would be quite wrong to suggest a major change in established practice. ICS treatment has been of immense value to literally millions of children, and other anti-asthma therapy is best regarded as steroid sparing\(^{18}\) rather than a true alternative to ICS. Nevertheless, we should review our practice and pay more attention toexisting recommendations on the importance of stepping down as well as stepping up ICS,\(^{19}\) and, as ever, we must be constantly vigilant for unforeseen side effects of even the best established drugs. Whatever else we do, we must not panic and endanger the lives and wellbeing of the countless children whose respiratory and endocrine systems co-exist happily on ICS.
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