Infantile colic and chiropractic spinal manipulation

EDITOR,—We congratulate Olafsdottir et al on their article.1 The sum of the evidence on spinal manipulative therapy (SMT) in the treatment of infantile colic now is that there are 3 randomised controlled trials (RCTs) on the subject.

Two RCTs demonstrated a significant positive effect of SMT;1,2 and 1 RCT was unable to demonstrate any treatment effect.1 The reasons for this discrepancy are not known, but Olafsdottir et al suggest that their finding of no effect of SMT may be due to the blinding of the infants’ mothers. Another equally likely explanation could be that we are witnessing a dose response phenomenon.

In their trial, Olafsdottir et al used a treatment protocol of a maximum of 3 sessions of SMT, whereas the other 2 RCTs, which included only 2 paediatric studies.3 4

We believe that this dose response problem may be aimed at this age group.
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Rapid responses

If you have a burning desire to respond to a paper published in ADC or F&N, why not make use of our “rapid response” option?

Log on to our website (www.archdischild.com), find the paper that interests you, click on “full text” and send your response by email by clicking on “submit a response”.

Providing it isn’t libellous or obscene, it will be posted within seven days. You can retrieve it by clicking on “read eLetters” on our homepage.

The editors will decide, as before, whether to also publish it in a future paper issue.

Diluted treatment effects

EDITOR,—If my reading of this colic study is correct, it appears that both groups received standard counselling and recommendations for the care of a colicky child. My question to the authors is, if standard recommendations are effective in the reduction of colic, does this not raise the possibility that any treatment effect in the SMT group could have been diluted by the introduction of a second active treatment (standard recommendations) in the control group? Put another way, was the placebo intervention an inert intervention or was it a second active intervention?
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Alcopops are not responsible for acute paediatric attendances with alcohol intoxication

EDITOR,—We were interested to read Dr Robson’s leading article regarding alcohol misuse and the reference to acute alcohol admissions to Alder Hey in Liverpool, UK.2 We are concerned by the increasing number of these problems that we see in hospital paediatric practice.

We carried out a retrospective case note review of all the children seen in the Paediatric Emergency department in Sunderland between November 1999 and October 2000. One hundred and twenty (91%) of these children attended for 106 attendances with acute alcohol intoxication (2 children attended twice and 2 three times). The notes of 97 attendances were available for review. Most children were aged 13 to 15 (77%), range 10–16 years. As might be expected, the majority presented during the weekend (66%) and in the evening or at night (84%) between 19:00 and 01:00. Half had been drinking with friends in a public place, although precise details were not recorded in many cases. Sixty one children (63%) were brought in by emergency ambulance and 48 (49%) were admitted. Thirty (31%) were documented to have been drinking vodka, 21 cider (22%), 12 (12%) beer or lager, 11 (11%) other spirits, 8 (8%) wine, and 8 (8%) a combination of these. The type of alcohol was not recorded in 7 (7%) cases. In no cases were alcopops thought to be the beverage responsible for the acute attendance, and the beverages consumed are comparable with Alder Hey figures from 1996.3

Alcopops and designer drinks appeal to young people, particularly 14–16 year olds, and there has been criticism that marketing may be aimed at this age group. Recent consump- tion of alcopops has been associated with drinking in less controlled environments, heavier drinking, and greater self reported drunkenness.4 However, our data do not suggest that they are a problem in relation to acute intoxication presenting to Accident and Emergency. We support the statement that children will mimic adults in their use and misuse of alcohol, and consider that it is society’s changing attitude to alcohol and not the type of alcohol available that is of concern.
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Letters to the Editor


Other implications of disposable nappies

EDITOR,—Partsch, Aukamp, and Sippell propose that increased testicular temperature in early childhood might affect later spermatogenesis. They suggest that disposable nappies might contribute to this and demonstrate a significant difference between the scrotal skin temperature recorded in infants using disposable nappies and washable cotton nappies. They mention in their introductory paragraph that other environmental factors may be important in the deterioration seen in male reproductive health over recent years, but do not relate any of these factors to disposable nappies.

There are many concerns about the use of disposable nappies in addition to increasing scrotal temperature that may impact on sperm fertility and general health. The disposable nappy consists of a plastic outer layer, a layer of superabsorbent chemicals and inner liner. Nappies are not subject to government controls or independent testing and disposable nappy manufacturers do not need to disclose the contents.1

Recently, concern has been raised about the presence of Tributyl Tin (TBT) in disposable nappies. Greenpeace and Wom-en’s Environmental Network have commissioned research which showed that there were significant levels of TBT in many brands of disposable nappy, including those on sale in the UK.2 TBT may be in contact with the skin for up to 3.6 times the WHO’s estimated tolerable daily intake. TBT is an environmental pollutant which is used in anti-fouling ship paint. It is known to disrupt the endocrine and immune function of marine shellfish and is known to disrupt the endocrine and immune function of marine shellfish and is important to the health of children, we should be aware of the issues raised by the use of disposable nappies, the alternatives that exist, and sources of information and support for parents who are concerned about ensuring a safe and sustainable future for their children.
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There are environmentally friendly and safer alternatives to the disposable nappy. Modern washable nappies are very different from the traditional idea of buckets of “terries”. They are now shaped cotton nappies with velcro fastenings, alternatives to nappy pins, breathable covers, and disposable, paper inner liners. Concern that the incidence of nappy rash is higher with washable nappies is unfounded—it has been shown that it is the length of contact of urine with the skin that is most important in the development of nappy rash and it may be that an infant in a disposable has more chance of developing nappy rash as they are often changed less frequently than an infant in washable nappies. In addition, there are cost savings both to individuals and organisations using washable nappies, and there have been several successful hospital projects using washable nappies on postnatal wards.

As paediatricians committed to the health of children, we are concerned about the increasing use of disposable nappies. There is a need for manufacturers to ensure that their products do not contain harmful substances, and that they are tested to ensure their safety. In addition, there is a need for more information to be provided to parents about the environmental impact of disposable nappies.

NOTICE

Notice of duplicate publication


The same data, resulting from a single pilot study were reported in the two above papers. The authors have apologised, explaining that they had not intended to flout accepted academic standards, rather that they wished to bring their findings to the attention of two separate readerships—namely paediatricians and nurses. However, we would not wish to see papers with such similar titles published in the same issue of the same journal. Therefore, we give notice of duplicate publication and ask the authors to withdraw the second paper.