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Abstract

Aims—To describe the clinical features of rheumatic fever and to assess the Jones criteria in a population and setting similar to that in many developing countries.

Methods—The charts of 555 cases of confirmed acute rheumatic fever in 367 patients (97% Aboriginal) and more than 200 possible rheumatic fever cases from the tropical “Top End” of Australia’s Northern Territory were reviewed retrospectively.

Results—Most clinical features were similar to classic descriptions. However, monoarthritis occurred in 17% of confirmed non-chorea cases and 35% of unconfirmed cases, including up to 27 in whom the diagnosis was missed because monoarthritis is not a major manifestation. Only 71% and 25% of confirmed non-chorea cases would have had fever using cut off values of 38°C and 39°C, respectively. In 17% of confirmed non-chorea cases, anti-DNase B titres were raised but antistreptolysin O titres were normal. Although features of recurrences tended to correlate with initial episodes, there were numerous exceptions.

Conclusions—Monoarthritis and low grade fever are important manifestations of rheumatic fever in this population. Streptococcal serology results may support a possible role for pyoderma in rheumatic fever pathogenesis. When recurrences of rheumatic fever are common, the absence of carditis at the first episode does not reliably predict the absence of carditis with recurrences.
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Acute rheumatic fever is an immunologically mediated sequel of group A streptococcal infection, which can affect a number of different tissues including heart, joint, and brain. The heart damage may be severe and permanent (rheumatic heart disease). Acute rheumatic fever and rheumatic heart disease are now rare in most affluent communities, but continue to affect millions of people and cause hundreds of thousands of deaths annually around the world, mostly in developing countries.

The most comprehensive recent descriptions of cases of acute rheumatic fever came from outbreaks in the United States, which were attributed to the appearance of mucoid strains of group A streptococci from particular serotypes. However, the epidemiology of group A streptococcal diseases, including rheumatic fever, differs between industrialised and developing countries. For example, in regions of the world where rheumatic fever is most common—developing countries and some indigenous populations in affluent countries—it occurs sporadically rather than in epidemics, and few cases may be attributed to highly virulent strains such as those held responsible for the United States outbreaks. One author has suggested that rheumatic fever in the United States and Britain became less severe over the last century, and that some manifestations common in the past (particularly severe carditis, subcutaneous nodules and chorea) are now rare. It is not clear if the disparity between industrialised and developing countries in rheumatic fever mortality and morbidity relates only to the incidence of disease, or perhaps also to differences in the clinical manifestations.

The highest reported incidence rates of acute rheumatic fever in the world occur in the Aboriginal population of the northern region (Top End) of Australia’s Northern Territory. The majority of this population lives in isolated, rural communities with poor housing and hygiene, and limited access to medical services; conditions which may be likened to those in many developing countries. We aimed to describe the clinical features of acute rheumatic fever in the Top End, and to compare these findings to classical descriptions and recent publications from the USA.

We looked in particular at joint manifestations and peak temperature. Monoarthritis rather than polyarthritis is not specified in the Jones criteria, so qualifies only as arthralgia, a minor manifestation. Although the Jones criteria do not specify the minimum temperature to qualify as fever (a minor manifestation), the 1965 revision included the comment that the temperature usually exceeds 39°C. However, the 1992 update stated that in most cases the temperature exceeds 39°C; it was not clear why this change was made. Clinicians in the Northern Territory had the impression that individuals with acute rheumatic fever were sometimes presenting with monoarthritis and/or low grade fever, and that strict application of the Jones criteria was leading to under diagnosis.
Methods
This study took place as part of a larger study in which a database was compiled of all known or suspected cases of acute rheumatic fever and rheumatic heart disease in the Top End. This report summarises the clinical features of all episodes of confirmed acute rheumatic fever on the database as of 31 March 1997: the conclusion of data collection for research purposes.

The methods were described in earlier progress reports of epidemiological features. Briefly, people with known or suspected past histories of acute rheumatic fever or rheumatic heart disease were ascertained from hospital and clinic records from 1976 to 1996. Clinical information was collected by chart review, clinical examination, and echocardiography as appropriate. Cases after 1994 were ascertained prospectively.

Because of incomplete ascertainment of earlier cases, the clinical details were compiled separately for cases from 1987 to 1996. To enable easy comparison with other studies, the clinical details were analysed separately for all episodes, for initial episodes (as the current Jones criteria apply only to the first diagnosis), and excluding cases of chorea. As chorea may occur after a prolonged latent period following group A streptococcal infection, the other manifestations of rheumatic fever are less likely to be present. Therefore, the latter separation allows separate consideration of rheumatic fever cases that would be expected to have the features of acute disease. The clinical features of cases of Sydenham’s chorea have been reported separately.

Initial episodes of acute rheumatic fever were included only if they satisfied the 1992 update of the Jones criteria, and recurrences were diagnosed according to the criteria specified in the same report. Carditis was considered to be present if there was an organic cardiac murmur not previously documented or if there was clear evidence of pericarditis. In people with known rheumatic heart disease, carditis consisted of a new, worsened, or changed murmur, or cardiac failure in the presence of other evidence of active rheumatic inflammation. Polyarthritis was defined as swelling, or heat and obvious tenderness, affecting more than one joint; monoarthritis was also recorded, but classified as a minor criterion (arthralgia). We excluded cases of arthritis for which an alternative cause was found or that were treated as septic arthritis. Fever was considered to be present if the peak temperature was >38.0°C. Other clinical features were as described.

Because of the retrospective nature of the study, not all clinical information was available for all cases. In assessing the diagnostic validity of certain features, the number of patients with the feature is expressed as a proportion of those for whom information about the feature was available. The clinical features of a number of cases of possible rheumatic fever that did not satisfy the Jones criteria were considered separately.

All statistical calculations were performed using the Stata Release 5 package (Stata Corporation, Texas). Mean values are presented with standard deviations (SD), and median values are presented with interquartile ranges (IQR). Categorical data were compared using $\chi^2$ analysis. The proportions of cases of acute rheumatic fever presenting in the two seasons in the Northern Territory were compared using the two sample test of proportions. Numerators for relative risks (calculated as risk ratios), 95% confidence intervals (95% CI), and two tailed Fisher’s exact test $p$ values for associations between acute rheumatic fever and demographic features were taken as individuals with at least one episode of acute rheumatic fever (rather than total cases, which would have overcounted people with recurrences). Denominators came from revised census estimates; the average population estimates for the Top End during 1987 to 1996 were 29 358 Aboriginal people and 69 740 non-Aboriginal people, with 71% of the Aboriginal people living in rural settings.

Results
There were 555 cases of confirmed acute rheumatic fever in 367 people (30 who had a first documented episode of rheumatic fever after a prior diagnosis of rheumatic heart disease); 303 cases in 232 people from 1987 to 1996. Table 1 shows the demographic features, highlighting the increased risk for Aboriginals, females, and those with rural residence. Figure 1 shows the...
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Antistreptolysin O titre; ADBT, anti-DNase B titre. ECG, electrocardiogram; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP, C reactive protein; WCC, white blood cell count; ASOT, antistreptolysin O titre; ADBT, anti-DNase B titre.

Acute phase reactants, and streptococcal serology results are presented only for the non-chorea cases as these are often normal in chorea.

Temperatures, numbers in parentheses represent the percentage of people for whom the information was available who had that feature.

Numbers in square brackets represent the number of cases for which the information was available for that feature.

Table 2 Clinical features of definite cases of acute rheumatic fever

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>All cases</th>
<th>All cases (non-chorea)</th>
<th>First episodes</th>
<th>First episodes (non-chorea)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of cases</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of people</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carditis</td>
<td>292 (55)</td>
<td>255 (66)</td>
<td>174 (55)</td>
<td>153 (67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac failure</td>
<td>57 (11)</td>
<td>57 (15)</td>
<td>29 (9)</td>
<td>29 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pericarditis</td>
<td>21 (4)</td>
<td>20 (5)</td>
<td>9 (3)</td>
<td>8 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthritis</td>
<td>284 (55)</td>
<td>272 (72)</td>
<td>182 (58)</td>
<td>174 (78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyarthritis</td>
<td>217 (42)</td>
<td>209 (55)</td>
<td>148 (47)</td>
<td>143 (64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monoarthritis</td>
<td>67 (13)</td>
<td>63 (17)</td>
<td>34 (11)</td>
<td>31 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chorea</td>
<td>158 (28)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>99 (31)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythema marginatum</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodules</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthralgia</td>
<td>149 (29)</td>
<td>133 (36)</td>
<td>72 (23)</td>
<td>62 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolonged PR on ECG</td>
<td>115 (35)</td>
<td>110 (41)</td>
<td>71 (34)</td>
<td>69 (41)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean (SD) *

Peak temperature (°C) — 38.3 (0.8) [305] — 38.3 (0.7) [186]
Peak ESR (mm/h) — 88 (32) [325] — 87 (32) [192]
Peak CRP (mg/l) — 144 (117) [71] — 119 (93) [47]
Peak WCC (× 10^9/l) — 12.8 (4.6) [323] — 13.0 (4.5) [187]
Peak ASOT (IU) — 569 (406) [336] — 592 (443) [197]
Peak ADBT (IU) — 1822 (1030) [275] — 1967 (1043) [162]

Numbers in parentheses represent the percentage of people for whom the information was available who had that feature.

*Numbers in square brackets represent the number of cases for which the information was available for that feature.

Fever

Low grade fever was also a common manifestation in this series. The peak temperature was recorded in 305 cases of confirmed acute rheumatic fever (excluding chorea). Using cut-offs of ≥37.5°C or ≥38.0°C, 270 (89%) and 217 (71%) patients, respectively, would have had “fever” as a minor manifestation. However a cut-off of ≥39.0°C would have resulted in only 76 (25%) having fever. The corresponding percentages with fever of 186 first episodes were 90%, 73%, and 25%, respectively. Of 109 possible cases (not satisfying the Jones criteria) with temperatures ≥37.5°C, 34 had temperatures 37.5–37.9°C (that is, less than 38°C, the cut-off for fever used in this report). Of these, 14 (41%) would have satisfied the Jones criteria if the definition of fever was ≥37.5°C, and seven later had confirmed rheumatic fever or developed rheumatic heart disease.
EVIDENCE OF GROUP A STREPTOCOCCAL INFECTION

We found that the anti-DNase B titre was usually raised, whereas the antistreptolysin O titre was frequently normal. In all cases, raised titres of at least one antistreptococcal antibody were documented. The mean anti-DNase B titre was about three times higher than the mean antistreptolysin O titres in non-chorea cases (table 2). Table 3 shows the proportion of people with confirmed acute rheumatic fever who had raised streptococcal serology results; anti-DNase B titres were raised in almost all cases where they were done, whereas the antistreptolysin O titres were not raised in 14% of non-chorea cases. Moreover, the evidence of recent streptococcal infection relied solely on raised anti-DNase B titres in 17% of non-chorea cases where both serological tests were done. The 51 cases with raised anti-DNase B titres and normal antistreptolysin O titres occurred in 44 people. Thirty six of these 44 people (82%) at some other time had a diagnosis of confirmed rheumatic heart disease or confirmed acute rheumatic fever; in five of the remaining eight people the diagnosis of acute rheumatic fever was considered certain. Therefore, the diagnosis of acute rheumatic fever could have been considered uncertain in only three of 44 people (7%) in whom raised anti-DNase B titres but normal antistreptolysin O titres were used as evidence of recent group A streptococcal infection. In 168 cases of acute rheumatic fever a throat swab was recorded as being done, but only seven (4%) were positive for group A streptococci; this reduced to 2% in the recent period. Only 34 people were noted to have a recent sore throat, although this was not recorded consistently.

RECURRENCES

A total of 218 of 555 (39.2%) cases of acute rheumatic fever occurred in 107 people who had previously been diagnosed with rheumatic fever or rheumatic heart disease. The clinical features of first episodes and recurrences were very similar (table 1), except that arthritis and polyarthritis were diagnosed more commonly than recurrences (63% and 43%) (\( \chi^2 = 17.6, p < 0.001 \)) for arthritis and \( \chi^2 = 10.4, p = 0.001 \) for polyarthritis). By contrast, arthralgia was less common in first episodes (28%) than recurrences (46%) (\( \chi^2 = 12.5, p < 0.001 \)), possibly reflecting the fact that, by definition, recurrences but not first episodes may be diagnosed in the absence of major criteria.

Table 3  Streptococcal serology results in episodes of confirmed acute rheumatic fever

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serological test*</th>
<th>Non-chorea (%)</th>
<th>Chorea (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASOT elevated</td>
<td>314/367 (86%)</td>
<td>58/76 (76%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADBT elevated</td>
<td>299/301 (99%)</td>
<td>54/55 (98%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both elevated</td>
<td>240/293 (82%)</td>
<td>42/55 (76%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only ASOT elevated</td>
<td>2/293 (1%)</td>
<td>0/55 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only ADBT elevated</td>
<td>51/293 (17%)</td>
<td>12/55 (22%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ASOT, antistreptolysin O titre; ADBT, anti-DNase B titre.
ASOT \( \geq 256 \) IU considered raised; ADBT \( \geq 300 \) IU considered raised. Results are cited for all episodes for which the specified serology result(s) were available.

The clinical features of recurrences correlated with the features at the initial episode, especially for chorea (table 4). However, 56% of patients without carditis at the initial episode had carditis with at least one recurrence, and arthritis occurred subsequently in 38% of those who did not have it initially.

Discussion

There were few differences in the clinical features of acute rheumatic fever in the Aboriginal population compared to classic descriptions, with the exception of the relatively common occurrences of monoarthritis and low grade fever. We were unable to determine the sensitivity, specificity, or predictive values of the Jones criteria because of the lack of a “gold standard” for diagnosis, and because we did not prospectively study all possible cases (for example, those with joint symptoms) who were eventually diagnosed with a different condition. However, we did identify a number of cases with monoarthritis and low grade fever in which the diagnosis was missed by strict application of the Jones criteria.

In other countries, arthritis in rheumatic fever has been described as occurring in “one or more joints”, and a prospective study in India found that 13% of cases of arthritis in rheumatic fever were monoarticular. Because of the retrospective nature of our study, we could not determine the proportion of children who received anti-inflammatory medication prior to presentation, which may have prevented the progression of arthritis to involve other joints or reduced the temperature. However, the use of these medications is not common in many rural Aboriginal communities. Moreover, our findings reflect the clinical setting of many developing countries, where minimal information may be available about prior medication or other aspects of the history before presentation to health services.

In populations with high rates of rheumatic fever, where the consequences of missed diagnosis may outweigh those of over diagnosis, the positive predictive value of less stringent criteria for rheumatic fever diagnosis may be acceptable. After excluding the major differential diagnoses of fever and arthritis in northern Australia—arboviral infections and septic
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infection, whereas the anti-DNase B response reflects the high background amounts of streptolysin O titres were normal in about one sixth of cases. Raised anti-DNase B titres may suggest either that the antistreptolysin O response is weak after skin infection with group A streptococci compared to throat infection, whereas the anti-DNase B response is strong after infection at either site. This suggests that the antistreptolysin O response of many Aboriginal people to throat infection with group A streptococci was surprisingly poor, or that the site of their preceding infection was not the throat. We have previously raised the possibility that pyoderma may be implicated in some cases of rheumatic fever. There is an urgent need to clarify this issue, as it has important implications for primary prevention in developing countries.

The tendency for the clinical features of recurrent acute rheumatic fever to mimic the features of the first episode has long been known. Although this is also true in the Aboriginal population, there are a substantial number of people who developed features with recurrences that were not evident at the previous episode. The retrospective nature of the study sometimes made it difficult to be certain that mild carditis was not being underdiagnosed in earlier episodes, but the practical implication of this finding is that the absence of carditis during the initial episode of rheumatic fever may not be helpful in determining the risk of later episodes of carditis or later development of rheumatic heart disease. Furthermore, the difficulties of diagnosing subtle carditis and the importance of ascertaining the presence of valve damage support our policy of recommending echocardiograms around six months after all episodes of acute rheumatic fever. We found that in cases of chorea, 58% eventually developed rheumatic heart disease despite most not having evidence of acute carditis with their chorea. The high rate of recurrent acute rheumatic fever in the Aboriginal population—about 40% of all episodes—reflects the lack of success of present secondary prophylaxis regimens. Adherence to the recommended schedule in this region (1.2 million units of benzathine penicillin G every month) is poor in many communities, and has been the stimulus for the institution of a register based acute rheumatic fever and rheumatic heart disease control programme.
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