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Abstract

Background—Somatisation is common among adolescents.

Aims—To study factors predicting somatisation later in adulthood.

Methods—Self report questionnaires were administered at baseline examination in 1990 to students (mean age 16.8 years) in schools, and by mail five years later. Results are based on the 615 subjects with no serious disease or injury at baseline.

Results—Regression analyses showed that in men the level of somatic symptoms in 1995 was significantly predicted by the respective level in 1990 and by relief from the present analysis because they had a serious illness or injury in 1990. Of the remaining cases, 33 men and 24 women were left 615 subjects for analysis (fig 1). The mean scores (SE) were 22.8 (0.29) and 21.8 (0.23) for men, and 25.0 (0.23) and 24.2 (0.23) for women. Of the volunteered group, one had died and two questionnaires had to be rejected. Thus, the number of subjects with approved questionnaires was 1493, of whom 784 responded anonymously and 709 volunteered for the follow up. The follow up group did not significantly differ from the anonymous one with respect to social class, grade point average, and the factors measured in this follow up, except for the number of somatic symptoms. In both boys and girls, anonymous respondents reported less somatic symptoms than did those who identified themselves. The absolute difference in the symptom score was not very large, however. The mean scores (SE) were 22.8 (0.29) and 21.8 (0.22) for men, and 25.0 (0.23) and 24.2 (0.23) for women. Of the volunteered group, one had died and two questionnaires had to be rejected. Thus, the number of subjects in this follow up study was 706. Of these, 264 (37.4%) were men and 442 (62.6%) women. In 1995, a follow up questionnaire and up to four reminders were mailed; 649 questionnaires were returned. The response rate was 92%. Fourteen men and 20 women were excluded from the present analysis because they had a serious illness or injury in 1990. Of the remaining cases, 33 men and 24 women were excluded because of deficient responses to questions on somatic symptoms in 1995. This left 615 subjects for analysis (fig 1).

Subjects and methods

SUBJECTS

The present cohort comprised students from five high schools in Helsinki and five in Jyväskylä, Finland. The five schools in Jyväskylä, a city of about 60 000 inhabitants in central Finland, comprised all schools in that city except the newest one. In Helsinki, the capital of Finland with approximately 500 000 inhabitants, five schools were sampled from a total of 33, stratifying for different levels of school entrance requirements.

In 1990, students were asked to fill in a self administered questionnaire, supervised by research assistants, during a regular classroom hour. Teachers were not present. The students were free to refuse to answer, to respond anonymously, or to give their written consent to take part in the follow up examination. The number of subjects with approved questionnaires was 1493, of whom 784 responded anonymously and 709 volunteered for the follow up. The follow up group did not significantly differ from the anonymous one with respect to social class, grade point average, and the factors measured in this follow up, except for the number of somatic symptoms. In both boys and girls, anonymous respondents reported less somatic symptoms than did those who identified themselves. The absolute difference in the symptom score was not very large, however. The mean scores (SE) were 22.8 (0.29) and 21.8 (0.22) for men, and 25.0 (0.23) and 24.2 (0.23) for women. Of the volunteered group, one had died and two questionnaires had to be rejected. Thus, the number of subjects in this follow up study was 706. Of these, 264 (37.4%) were men and 442 (62.6%) women. In 1995, a follow up questionnaire and up to four reminders were mailed; 649 questionnaires were returned. The response rate was 92%.

Fourteen men and 20 women were excluded from the present analysis because they had a serious illness or injury in 1990. Of the remaining cases, 33 men and 24 women were excluded because of deficient responses to questions on somatic symptoms in 1995. This left 615 subjects for analysis (fig 1).

MEASURES

Somatic symptom score was an abbreviated 14 item version of an 18 item score, used earlier in studies on both adults and adolescents. Subjects and methods
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In 1990, one or more somatic symptoms were reported frequently (including the response option “rather frequently”) by 24% of the men and 44% of the women. Persistence of symptoms was studied by examining the number of cases who reported frequent occurrence of one or more symptoms both in 1990 and in 1995. Of all men reporting somatisation to these two questions as some items in commonly used measures of depression were included in the State–Trait Anxiety Inventory or in the Symptoms Score. The self esteem scale by Rosenberg involves 10 items measuring the self acceptance aspect of self esteem. Additive scoring was used.

The Defence Style Questionnaire (DSQ) consisted of 72 statements on a nine point scale assessing possible conscious derivatives of 20 defences. Based on the 88 item original, it has been revised to be congruous with the definitions of defence mechanisms in the Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (DSM-III-R) by the American Psychiatric Association. As in earlier research, these defences were combined into three variables: (1) mature defences (anticipation, humour, sublimation, and suppression); (2) neurotic defences (altruism, idealisation, and reaction formation and undoing); and (3) immature defences (acting out, autific fantasy, denial, devaluation, displacement, dissociation, isolation, passive aggression, projection, rationalisation, somatisation, and splitting). Earlier, a test–retest study found that the defence styles correlated closely when the DSQ was repeated six months later in a sample of 39 cases.

Relief alcohol or drug use and relief smoking, two items from DSQ, were used as independent variables to measure substance use. These were “I take drugs, medicine, or alcohol when I am tense” and “I smoke when I am nervous”. The items were removed from the immature defence style score. This did not notably weaken the immature defence style score; the correlation coefficient between the original and the abridged score was over 0.99 for both girls and boys.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Between group differences in the distributions of continuous variables were assessed with the two tailed t test. Associations between categorical variables were assessed with the \( \chi^2 \) test. If less than two thirds of the items for a composite scale were missing, missing values were replaced by the mean value, calculated from the items answered by the respondent. The sensitivity of results to this was studied by excluding and including cases with replaced values in the analysis.

Multivariate models were fitted to evaluate the relations between dependent and the dependent variable. In the case of a continuous dependent variable, regression analysis was used, in the case of a categorical one logistic regression. Interactions were studied by adding product terms. The level of significance was set at \( p < 0.05 \).

**Results**

In 1995, one or more somatic symptoms were reported frequently (including the response option “rather frequently”) by 24% of the men and 44% of the women. Persistence of symptoms was studied by examining the number of cases who reported frequent occurrence of one or more symptoms both in 1990 and in 1995. Of all men reporting somatisation...
189 men and 322 women with no long standing disease in 1995

Table 5 Regression analysis of somatic symptom score in 1995 on predictors in 1990 in 218 men and 397 women

Table 4 Regression analysis of somatic symptom score in 1995 on predictors in 1990 in 218 men and 397 women

Table 3 Correlation coefficients (r) between the somatic symptom score in 1995 and baseline variables in 1990

Table 2 Frequency of somatic symptoms in 1995 in men and women

Table 1 Frequency of occurrence of one or more somatic symptoms in 1990 and 1995 in men and women

* p<0.05; ** p<0.01; *** p<0.001; n = number of cases.

Number of cases in parentheses.

OR, odds ratio.

At this level in 1995, 67% reported symptoms already in 1990. The respective figure for women was 78%. In bivariate analysis, frequent symptoms in 1990 predicted significantly the presence of frequent symptoms in 1995 in both sexes (table 1). The most common symptoms occurring frequently included fatigue or weakness, lethargy, sleeping difficulties, headache, abdominal pain, and diarrhoea or irregular bowel function (table 2).

Multivariate regression analysis was used to examine in more detail potential predictors of the symptom level in 1995. The former included social group, perceived degree of social support, trait anxiety, trait depression, number of worries, number of negative life events, self esteem, grade point average, relief drinking, relief smoking, the three defence style clusters (immature, neurotic, and mature) and somatic symptom score in 1990. Many of the former correlated significantly with the symptom level in 1995 (table 3). However, when the latter were entered as continuous explaining variables in regression models with the somatic symptom score in 1995 as the dependent variable, only a few remained significant.

In men, the level of somatic symptoms in 1995 was significantly predicted by the respective level in 1990 and by relief smoking. In women, the level of somatic symptoms in 1995 was significantly predicted by the respective level in 1990 as well as by self esteem and the number of negative life events in 1990 (table 4). There were no interactions between the predictors. When the number of negative life events was replaced in the model by the individual 22 negative life event items, none of the latter reached statistical significance. However, increased parental absence from home (p = 0.10), loss of employment by parent (p = 0.11), and failing in an examination (p = 0.26) were the three most important single items. The results did not materially change when the predictors were examined as categorised variables in logistic regression analysis. The cut off point for the categorised variables was the 67th percentile.

In 1995, the presence of a long standing disease was reported by 13% of the men and 19% of the women. The most frequent of these were allergy in 30 cases, asthma in 14 cases, dermatological diseases in 10 cases, and diabetes in eight cases. After exclusion of all cases who reported a long standing disease, the multivariate results remained materially similar except that self esteem was no longer a significant predictor among women (table 5).

Discussion

We found notable continuity in somatic symptoms between adolescence and early adulthood. Given the frequent symptoms in 1990, the odds ratio for reporting frequent symptoms in 1995 was threefold for men and 2.6-fold for women, compared with subjects with occasional or no symptoms in 1990. Among our subjects with frequent somatic symptoms at the follow up examination, 67% of the men and 78% of the women had already had frequent...
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Self reports of frequent somatic symptoms in may be subject to errors caused by forgetting. However, this is the first follow up study on the continuity of somatic symptoms from adolescence to early adulthood in a population sample. A one year follow up study on symptom levels in a consecutive clinical series has previously been reported. In prospective design, however, only a few of these predict the level of somatic symptoms at follow up, suggesting that the remaining factors are not causally independent and thus not relevant to changes in the symptom score. In our earlier cross sectional analysis in adolescence, somatic symptom scores associated positively with trait anxiety, trait depression, immature defence style, and alcohol or drug use in both sexes. In boys, smoking was associated with more symptoms, and in girls, high self esteem with fewer symptoms. In the present prospective analysis, the level of somatic symptoms in 1995 was significantly predicted by the respective level in 1990 and by relief smoking in men. In women, the level of somatic symptoms in 1995 was significantly predicted by the respective level in 1990 and the number of negative life events in 1990.

It is interesting that the level of somatic symptoms was predicted by relief smoking in men. Compared with women, men are less good at verbally expressing psychiatric problems, and more likely to express the latter as somatic symptoms. Relief smoking may be an effort to self medicate anxiety. However, while the effect of relief smoking was statistically significant, it was not very large in absolute terms. There are several limitations to our study. We were not able to study somatisation among the parents of the study subjects. Earlier, higher levels of somatic complaints have been found to be predicted in both adolescent boys and girls by having a father with high level of somatic symptoms in a one year follow up study of a consecutive series of children and adolescents aged 6–18 years admitted to a paediatric clinic because of abdominal pain of at least one month's duration. Moreover, the level of somatic symptoms in boys correlated positively with those of their mothers. These findings might reflect genetic influences or learning from parental example. The importance of the genetic component is supported by a follow up study on 335 twins from birth to the age of 12–20 years. Zygosity was unfortunately not measured but the concordance of somatic symptoms was 93% in boy–boy pairs, 91% in girl–girl pairs, and 71% in boy–girl pairs, suggesting genetic inheritance. Further studies should assess the familial background of somatisation more closely.

Our study was based on self reports. These may be subject to errors caused by forgetting and conscious or unconscious faking. We used self reports of frequent somatic symptoms in the absence of self reported serious disease as an approximation of somatisation. Somatisation is defined as the presence of medically unexplained symptoms. Ideally, the assessment should be based on medical examination and exclusion of disease. Unfortunately, this was not possible in our study. However, we could exclude all cases who reported a long standing disease in 1995.

The number of negative life events in adolescence predicted the level of somatic symptoms in adulthood in women. As no single life event reached significance, it seems that it is the cumulative exposure to negative events that is important in the causation of somatic symptoms. However, a larger study might find small significant effects of single negative events. The previously mentioned one year follow up study also found that a high level of somatic symptoms was predicted by having higher levels of negative life events in cases with low social competence. We did not have a measure of social competence. A related concept is, however, self esteem. We found that both self esteem and the number of negative life events predicted somatic symptom levels in women. There was no interaction between negative life events and self esteem in our data. However, self esteem was no longer a significant predictor among women after exclusion cases who reported a long standing disease.

The number of subjects with somatisation is likely to be overestimated in our study. Approximately one half of the students gave their consent for follow up at baseline, and those who preferred to respond anonymously reported on average a lower level of somatic symptoms than the rest. The mean difference in symptom score was not large, however. The mean score for men remaining anonymous was 4% lower than that for participants. The respective figure for women was 3%. Therefore, we believe that the degree of overestimation is unimportant.

Our sample comprised urban Finnish speaking adolescents, sharing a similar ethnic, educational, and social background. In Finland, almost two thirds of the annual birth cohort at this age enter high school and schooling is free. These schools correspond approximately to British higher secondary schools. Our sample thus represents the more educated majority of Finnish adolescents. Because of the lack of the less educated third of the population and cultural variation, these results should be seen as suggestive rather than directly generalisable to other population groups.

To sum up, high levels of somatic symptoms in early adulthood were predicted by high somatic symptom levels in adolescence, and moreover, by a high degree of relief smoking in men and by a high number of negative life events and low self esteem in women. These findings might be useful in early identification of subjects in whom somatic symptoms persist into early adulthood. Further studies should assess more closely the predictive value of the above measures and the familial background in the continuity of somatic symptoms and exam-
ine possibilities for early interventions to reduce somatisation.
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