LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Rapid responses
If you have a burning desire to respond to a paper published in ADC or F&N, why not make use of our “rapid response” option?

Log on to our website (www.archdischild.com), find the paper that interests you, click on “full text” and send your response by email by clicking on “submit a response”.

Providing it isn’t libellous or obscene, it will be posted within seven days. You can retrieve it by clicking on “read rapid responses” on our homepage.

The editors will decide, as before, whether to also publish it in a future paper issue.

Palivizumab and RSV prevention

EDITOR,—The letters from Drs Deshpande and Nicholl, in relation to the Impact-RSV study and the UK guidance for the use of palivizumab in the prevention of serious RSV infections, raise interesting questions that need to be addressed.

I believe Dr Deshpande “has got it wrong” in that he fails to realise that the primary objective of the IMpact study was to investigate whether palivizumab reduced RSV hospitalisations in high risk infants. It was never intended that this study would address the severity of RSV infections, the need for paediatric intensive care, the need for mechanical ventilation, or a reduction in death rate. It is unreasonable to suggest that because the study didn’t show these then it is not valid. To show such benefits would require a totally different protocol, the numbers of patients being such that the study could never have been undertaken.

To reiterate the findings of the IMpact study, there was a 55% reduction in hospital admission rate for RSV proven disease—a significant result, however one wishes to interpret it. Those high risk patients admitted to hospitalisation rates.

Further data from both Europe11 and the US12 reported RSV readmission rates in large numbers of premature children receiving palivizumab prophylaxis over the 1998/9 RSV season (neither study had a placebo arm). Of the 6043 European infants who had confirmed RSV hospitalisation, whilst two US groups of 1839 and 7031 children had RSV hospitalisation rates of 2.3% and 1.5% respectively. Despite the lack of comparator arms, these data do suggest that the IMpact-RSV trial may have underestimated the true efficacy of palivizumab.

The generation of pharma-economic arguments directly from the IMpact-RSV data very much oversimplifies what is an extremely complex issue. Hospitalisation rates vary considerably between risk groups, and measuring the true economic cost of RSV hospitalisation requires long term follow up, both of hospital, community, and parental costs. Despite its relatively high costs, modern neonatal care has led to dramatic improvements in the outlook of premature infants. Advances such as surfactant therapy and mechanical ventilation seem expensive on the face of it, but both controlled trials11 and clinical experience have shown the investment to be worthwhile.

Dr Deshpande refers to the guidance document reflecting the outcome of a consensus committee of a number of UK clinicians,13 and issued by ourselves. Many were aware of the guidelines published by the American Academy of Pediatrics regarding RSV prophylaxis and the use of palivizumab,14 and felt that whilst they were very useful, UK guidelines should be formulated at a local level, taking into account local risk groups and epidemiology. For these reasons, the UK guidance document deliberately avoids being too prescriptive and whilst describing the two major risk groups (premature infants, <35 weeks gestation, and those with BPD), it emphasises that treatment priorities are likely to vary locally and that decisions regarding which preterm infants to treat will be individualised.

Abbott Laboratories are continuing to work with many in the paediatric community in order to help better define many of the issues. We strongly feel that palivizumab is an important breakthrough in the battle against RSV infection, a disease that continues to demand to be addressed.


EDITOR,—I am writing in reply to the recent correspondence regarding the use of palivizumab (Synagis),1,1 a monoclonal antibody licensed for the prophylaxis of respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) infection in premature infants. RSV is a disease that affects 50% to 70% of all infants within the first year of life, and causes significant morbidity and mortality, particularly in a number of well defined high risk groups.

The major trial demonstrating the safety and efficacy of palivizumab was the IMpact-RSV trial,1 a randomised, double blind, placebo controlled, multicentre trial that enrolled 1502 children with prematurity (≤35 weeks gestation) or bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD). One hundred and twenty three of the children enrolled were from 11 UK centres. The primary end point of the IMpact-RSV study was hospitalisation due to confirmed RSV disease. The study was not powered to demonstrate a reduction in mortality, neither was it designed as a pharma-economic study. The average gestation of all the infants was 29 weeks and the placebo (n=500) and palivizumab (n=1002) groups were well matched for both demographic parameters and RSV risk factors.

The study demonstrated a relative reduction in RSV related hospitalisation of 55% (10.6% placebo v 4.8% palivizumab p=0.0004). A significant reduction in RSV hospitalisation was seen irrespective of gestational age, diagnosis of BPD or gender. Of all the children in both groups admitted with RSV infection, 27.7% were admitted to intensive treatment units (this figure was similar in both groups). There was however a significant reduction in the overall incidence of RSV related intensive treatment unit admission in the palivizumab group (3% placebo v 1.3 % palivizumab p=0.026).

The placebo RSV hospitalisation rate of 10.6% reported in the IMpact-RSV trial was lower than that seen in previous controlled trials which have reported rates of 13.5%,20%,22.4%,3 and 37%. Further reported rates of hospitalisation vary depending on the risk group studied, and data from the US demonstrate that it is possible to predict subgroups who have considerably higher hospitalisation rates.

The UK guidance on the use of palivizumab does not advocate universal usage of the product, but makes recommendations on how infants may benefit. It is the role of clinicians in local hospitals to discuss with their managers, the local health authority, and the individual primary care group or trust, which specific patients they feel should receive palivizumab. These decisions may well differ between centres depending on budgets, the morbidity of their patients and interpretations of evidence both research and clinical.

RSV bronchiolitis remains the greatest annual epidemic disease to hit paediatric departments in Europe, the USA, and Australasia.2 The treatment of the symptoms is unsatisfactory in that the only proven benefit is oxygen. Each year, vast amounts of money are wasted on wasted on bronchodilators, steroids, intrapulmonary bronchoconstrictors, and antibiotics. Palivizumab, the first monoclonal antibody to be developed specifically for use in paediatrics, has been shown to be effective in reducing hospital admission in high risk infants. To dismiss it out of hand seems churlish. To rationalise its use in those whom it may most benefit seems clinically sensible. All new treatments need to be considered with caution. However, I believe that if clinicians take a back seat view whilst awaiting definitive confirmation of absolute cost effectiveness, we will continue to deny our most vulnerable patients the benefits of scientific advance.

WARREN LENNEY

Academic Department of Child Health, City General Hospital, Newcastle Road, Stoke-on-Trent ST4 6QG, UK


cause high levels of morbidity and significant mortality in high risk infants.  

CHRISTINA CARNEGIE  
Medical Director,  
Abbott Laboratories Ltd, UK  

14 Dietary products used in infants for treatment and prevention of food allergy  

The editor comments:  
In her letter, Dr Carnegie refers to a guidance document reflecting the outcome of a consensus committee of a number of UK clinicians and issued by Abbott Laboratories Ltd.  

Earlier this year, we received as a submission for publication such a document, headed by the names of a number of distinguished paediatricians and neonatologists. I was puzzled because it was addressed from a public relations company. I contacted all those named and the corresponding author was. I learned that they did not know the paper was to be submitted to a peer reviewed journal.  

Consequently, I invited the PR company to withdraw the submission, which they did. The paper, itself, was marked as having been produced with the aid of an educational grant from Abbott Laboratories.  

In general, statements of Disease in Childhood is reluctantly to publish the results of consensus groups, unless the methods by which they arrived at their conclusions are totally transparent. This case illustrates one reason why we believe it is right to be cautious.  

HARVEY MARCOVITCH  
Editor in Chief
affluent families had a mean of 1.7 children aged 1 to 3 years, and the control inner city families had a mean of 1.9 children aged 1 to 4 years. Anderson reported that asthma was a concern to 30% of travellers compared with 11% of inner city families and 4.5% of affluent families, using a questionnaire that seemed to tackle parental concerns only, and was not validated for asthma incidence. Yet, van Cleemput extrapolated a high incidence of asthma in travellers’ children from this study, and did not comment on questionnaire validation or the confounding factors of age and transient early wheezing.

We used the ISAAC (International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood) questionnaire to compare the prevalence of asthma in schoolboys, aged 6 to 12 years, from travellers’ families with settled controls. The parent reported prevalence of wheezing and related symptoms were all more common in schoolboys from the control group than in traveller schoolboys. The values were significant for wheeze in the last year (31.3% vs 14.8%, OR 5.6, p=0.025), and for doctor diagnosed asthma (29.6% vs 11.1%, OR 4.1, p=0.04). We concluded that the experience of travel may be a protective factor in the development of asthma.

S VIVEKANANDAN
Clinical Biochemist,
Chemical Pathology, Guy’s and St Thomas’s NHS Hospital Trust, London, UK

EDITOR,—There are currently two types of drug for wheeze in the last year (31.3% vs 14.8%, OR 5.6, p=0.025), and for doctor diagnosed asthma (29.6% vs 11.1%, OR 4.1, p=0.04). we concluded that the experience of travel may be a protective factor in the development of asthma.

Letters, Book reviews

LHRH analogue and growth hormone did not improve the final height of a patient with juvenile hypothyroidism accompanied by precocious puberty

EDITOR,—We report an 11 years 8 months old girl with juvenile hypothyroidism and precocious puberty who failed to respond to thyroxine, growth hormone, and luteinising hormone releasing hormone (LHRH) analogue. The patient was considered to be hypothyroid for about two years before the therapy was started. She had a very low serum thyroxine concentration, a height SD score of –3 SD, and a bone age of 10 years 3 months. Her pubertal development was graded as Tanner stage IV of breasts and Tanner stage II of pubic hair. Her menarche occurred at the age of 10 years 3 months. The enlarged pituitary gland reduced in size with the thyroxine treatment (100 µg/day). In addition to thyroxine, she was treated for 31 months with an LHRH analogue (30 µg/kg, once a month) and growth hormone (0.5 µg/kg/wk divided into six doses) to avoid the progression of puberty and improve the final height. She reached the final height at the age of 15 years 1 month (–2.8 SD), which was the same as before the treatment (fig 1).

Minamitani et al reported that treatment with LHRH analogue and growth hormone in addition to thyroxine was successful in improving the final height and avoiding pubertal progression of patients with juvenile hypothyroidism in the pubertal stage.1 Difference between the report of Minamitani et al and our case is that our patient already had the advanced bone age relative to height and the progression of puberty at the start of treatment, to which our failure to improve the final height with the combination therapy might have been ascribed. To improve the final height, we should have increased the dose of LHRH analogue and growth hormone. During the combination therapy, peak serum insulin like growth factor 1 was 710 ng/ml (normal: 370–896 ng/ml), and peak concentrations of LH and FSH were completely suppressed in response to gonadotropin releasing hormone but through her menstruation was successfully suppressed, bone maturation was not inhibited.

We concluded that patients with juvenile hypothyroidism who are often found to be in progressive pubertal development may not be indicated for treatment with LHRH analogue and growth hormone. An early diagnosis may therefore be of utmost importance in improving the final height. In Japan, schoolchildren are biannually measured for height and weight. It is therefore strongly urged to educate school nurses to direct their attention to the evaluation of height measurements and also thearche and to consult paediatric endocrinologists. Although a number of possibilities have been raised for failure in attainment of desired height in the patient, the early medical attention would have been expected to lead to the possible prevention of short stature.

This work was supported by grants from the Ministry of Health and Welfare of Japan, the Ministry of Education, Science, and Culture, the Japan Private School Promotion Foundation, and the Mami Mizutani Foundation.

RICA MIYAZAKI
NAGASATO
HIROHIKO HIGASHINO
YOHINOSUKE KOBAYASHI
Department of Paediatrics, Kansai Medical University,
10–15 Fumizonocho, Moriguchi,
Osaka 570-8506, Japan

Intraosseous access in infant resuscitation

EDITOR,—We believe that intraosseous access to the circulation in infant resuscitation is undervalued and therefore under utilised.

Intraosseous cannulation is a simple and effective technique that can be performed both quickly and safely in resuscitation.1,2 There have been relatively few complications reported with this technique.2 In a laboratory study, we compared the average flow rates through a range of intraosseous cannulae with that of an 18 gauge intravenous cannula. We purged intravenous Hartmann’s solution through the various devices, at a constant pressure of 300 mm Hg, recording the average volumes over one minute intervals. The results and calculated infusion time for a 20 ml/kg bolus in a 5 kg baby are shown in table 1.

Administration of intraosseous fluid is an essential component of infant resuscitation. Fluid boluses have to be infused under pressure through an intraosseous cannula placed in a peripheral vein. Successful cannulation can be a technical challenge in collapsed infants. Small veins are prone to damage when fluids are rapidly purged through them. Central venous access is not usually established in infants in the immediate resuscitation period and larger intraosseous

Figure 1 Treatment, bone age, and height of the patient, plotted on a cross sectional growth chart for girls (0–19 y). Height, bone age, and growth velocity of the patient are shown. F father’s height; M, mother’s height.

Intraosseous cannulation has an advantage over peripheral cannulation in that the infusion is continuous and the fluid is kept under pressure throughout the resuscitation period. The intraosseous cannula is connected to a flow driven fluid administration device, and the fluid is administered at a constant pressure of 300 mm Hg. The fluid is infused through the cannula until the desired volume has been given, or until the flow rate decreases to a level at which further fluid administration is no longer indicated. The fluid is infused through the cannula until the desired volume has been given, or until the flow rate decreases to a level at which further fluid administration is no longer indicated.

Intraosseous access is therefore recommended in the first 5–10 minutes of resuscitation, followed by peripheral access if the intraosseous access is not successful.


Fits, pyridoxine, and hyperprolinaemia type II

EDITOR,—There are currently two types of measurements that are used to assess vitamin B6 status. These are measurements of vitamin B6 and its metabolites, and activation of vitamin B6 dependent enzymes and associated amino acids. Tryptophan loading test is also used to reveal the subtle defects by stressing the B6 metabolic pathway. None of them is ideal, and a combination of them is recommended.

Additionally, there is no concordance between these indices. Transaminase activity in serum and red blood cells (functional index) decreases along with plasma pyridoxal phosphate, urine B6, and pyridoxic acid (direct chemical index) within one week of the removal of vitamin B6 from the diet. Electromyelographic abnormalities appear within three weeks. Some population groups have a suboptimal intake with or without excess protein intake, although severe vitamin B6 deficiency is not common in man.1

Epileptiform convulsions are a common finding in young vitamin B6 deficient subjects.2 These (sub)clinical deficiencies can be routinely screened by a clinical laboratory if simple tests like transaminases are used. Vitamin B6 deficiency in a well nourished child with an autosomal recessively inherited A+pyrroline-5-carboxylate dehydrogenase deficiency led to childhood fits, because of binding of the proline metabolite, pyrroline-5-carboxylate with vitamin B6, as reported by Walker et al.3 It would be interesting to know if and how the authors had measured the transaminases. Their results could indicate that this is a cost and clinically effective screening test.


cannulae (22 and 20 gauge) can be difficult to site in small infants presenting with circulatory failure.

Our simple experiment has shown that fluids can be infused through an intraosseous cannula at a significantly higher rate to that of the intravenous devices. The resistance to flow in situ has not been calculated, but one could reasonably expect the capacitance of the intravenous cannula to be greater than that of an infant’s peripheral vein. These factors, in addition to the ease and success of placement of intraosseous over intravenous cannulae, leads us to advocate that greater emphasis is placed on the value of intraosseous cannulation during the early phase of resuscitation in infants.

This is an important issue that should be addressed both locally and nationally, as well as through advanced life support provider courses (APLS/PALS).

ROSS FISHER
Specialist Registrar, Paediatric Surgery

DYLAN PROSSER
Consultant Paediatric Anaesthetist, Royal Bristol Hospital for Sick Children, St Michael’s Hill, Bristol BS2 8BJ, UK

---

Table 1 Results and calculated infusion time for a bolus in a 5 kg baby

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Access device</th>
<th>Gauge</th>
<th>Flow rate (ml/min)</th>
<th>Infusion time for 100 ml bolus (minutes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yellow venflon*</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue venflon*</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>60.6</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pink venflon*</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>126.8</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green venflon*</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>161.2</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraosseous needle</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* BOC Ohmeda AB, SE-25106 Helsingborg, Sweden.

Natural history of glutaric aciduria type 1

EDITOR,—In their retrospective study, Mona-
to increasing weight for height is bad and is due to improved overall nutrition. No dataset can provide all the answers. By collating their long work and summarising all their analyses in this well structured and admirably slim volume, the authors make it possible for the idle and sceptic like myself to argue with their conclusions. The range of the work is vast: from heart disease risk factors and asthma prevalence, to the prevalence of enuresis and food intolerance. It may come as no surprise that the last has a strong inverse relation with level of education, but the adverse impact of food exclusion on height certainly surprised me. No doubt future generations will dip into this rich dataset and pick out many more plums to inform both research and practice. We can be grateful to Rona and Chinn for making it possible.

CHARLOTTE WRIGHT
Honorary Consultant in Community Child Health


Good, I thought, as these books dropped through the letterbox.

The day before I’d been party to a family receiving an antenatal diagnosis of gastrochisis, and the father had commented on “looking it up on the Internet”. I wanted to learn more about the condition myself, and reckoned I’d follow the man’s example.

Using the Internet in Healthcare sounded an ideal title; disappointingly it wasn’t. It’s a book about the basics of the Internet, which isn’t bad, but is presented better in other books (for example, Internet for dummies).

It’s “medical” legitimacy comes from a good summary of NHSNet and a crumb of information about healthcare searches on the Web. (Embarrassingly, it was MedLine: a guide to effective searching that contained the nicest www resources.)

MedLine: a guide to effective searching was also a let down. It’s beautifully written, starts with a lovely summary of the history of MedLine, but annoys with drawn out explanations of Boolean logic and historical access systems. In explaining PubMed, it doesn’t even mention the excellent “Clinical queries” search page (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PubMed/clinicalclin). I have no idea whether this is on the work of Brian Haynes and colleagues.

For clinicians, there are better summaries of framing questions and effective database searching in Sackett’s book.1 For researchers, there are better databases for citation searching than MedLine.

My own searches found a wonderful paediatric patient information site (http://www.birthdefects.org/MAIN.HTM), a site telling the story of a young lad with gastrochisis (http://www.geocities.com/Heartland/Flats/1558/), and an excellent study of outcome (using the PubMed/Haynes filters). I wonder how the father of our latest presurgical patient fared...

BOB PHILLIPS
Paediatric Senior House Officer


Evidence based care is upon us, whether we like it or not. There is a multitude of books on the subject, so how is this one different? This is the first in the “Harnessing health information series”, and summarises how evidence based care has evolved into mainstream NHS policy. It does appear to achieve what the series supports to do, as it harnesses information on the subject. The reader is gently guided around the different organisations set up to implement evidence based care, and the different policies in each of the countries of the United Kingdom are described. Many useful resources are highlighted, and the reader feels that he or she can make sense of all the jargon in current usage.

There is a brief introduction to the practice of evidence based care, with an overview of the types of research, including qualitative research, and their advantages and disadvantages for answering different sorts of questions. The book does not set out to duplicate the many “How to...” books, but, rather, points the reader in the right direction. There is a useful chapter on information sources on the Internet, and a comprehensive chapter on guidelines, describing most of the arguments for and against. Again, the reader is continually pointed in the direction of other useful information, without it being duplicated in this book.

Patient information is covered in another chapter, and this is interesting and thought provoking reading. Audit, and where it fits into the system, is also included. Finally, clinical quality and clinical governance are brought into the picture, and it all makes sense.

Ruth Roberts is a nurse, and she emphasises the importance of multidisciplinary working. This is an easy book to digest, making common sense of what sometimes seems a complex system. It gives a “warts and all” description of evidence based care. The reader is not put off, but, rather, is left with the feeling, “I can do this.”

This will be a useful resource for managers, nurses, doctors, and clinical quality coordinators. It will be useful for senior staff with a good understanding of the health service and its current requirements, as well as being a good starting point for more junior staff who are trying to make sense of white paper recommendations, and the national organisations set up to implement those recommendations. It can be read in a couple of hours, and will no doubt become pre-interview reading for would be consultants and specialist registrars.

MAUD MEATES
North Middlesex Hospital


After coming to this country some years ago, I decided to take up paediatrics. I remember asking a senior colleague for advice regarding any textbook that could serve as an introduction to the subject. She gave me a choice, but recommended that Essential paediatrics, then in its third edition, would make easy reading. I must say I found this sound advice. Of course, as a postgraduate, one had to progress rapidly on to other textbooks considered the bibles of paediatrics. Hence, when I was asked to review the fourth edition, I was overwhelmed as it brought back memories of my first few months in paediatrics.

As the editors have noted in their preface, this book is meant for medical students. I find that this has been maintained with regard to the manner in which different subjects have been handled with easy to understand language and diagrams. I continue to find the first chapter, “The ill child”, the most impressive and compelling to read, and would not hesitate to recommend this to postgraduate doctors intending to take up a first paediatric post. A similar chapter that needs special mention is that on emotions and behaviour, which, in a brief but concise manner, describes children that we meet daily. It teaches us the importance of careful history taking, including social and family histories.

The book has been updated in many areas, especially in terms of management, in keeping with an evidence based approach. The addition of the British Thoracic Society guidelines on the management of chronic asthma is commendable. However, I cannot understand why the importance of the peak flow meter has been downplayed, unlike the previous edition which also described a graph of normal PEFR values related to height.

On the whole, Essential paediatrics can be described as user friendly, with numerous relevant line drawings and important information in the margin and in highlighted boxes. Interesting and useful x rays have also been included in this edition.

Yet why does one get the feeling that this may not be the first choice textbook for many medical students? One reason is the limited number of colour photographs compared with some other books on the market. Another reason, I would suggest, is the lack of adequate definitions of some of the common disorders—for example, coeliac disease and ulcerative colitis.

Despite some drawbacks, I find that Essential paediatrics is invaluable and have no qualms about recommending it to medical students as essential reading.

MINI MARGARET NELSON
Staff Paediatrician


Their children’s eating disorders pose serious problems for parents. They may seek professional help, but services in the United Kingdom are fragmented and underdeveloped; therefore, any book that is designed specifically for parents is welcome.

My clinical experience is that parents appear bemused and shocked by the realisation that their daughter or son has an eating problem. They are often confused and may be angry or in denial. Parents may turn to the popular press, in which articles are sometimes sensible, sometimes sensationalist, worrying, or misleading. High profile cases, such as those of Princess Diana or Lena Zavaroni tend to dominate the news.

The authors have obviously recognised the lack of sensible self-help and advice for parents of younger children and adolescents. This book, therefore, is timely and fills an important gap. A lot of the information is...

Few would disagree that in the past two decades, world leaders in the relatively young speciality of paediatric intensive care have emerged in Australia, Canada, and the United Kingdom. It is a welcome pleasure, therefore, that the exceptional talents of many of the individuals working in these centres have been brought together to create a much needed practical text encompassing the principles and practice of caring for critically ill and injured children.

The major strength of this book is that it takes into account one of the most important aspects of paediatric critical care, namely that the initial management of these children takes place in a wide diversity of settings. For many children ultimately admitted to a paediatric intensive care unit (PICU), the first few hours of care may have the most significant impact on their clinical course and outcome. This book targets the practitioners most likely to be involved in these situations, and provides key information and a problem-based approach that is difficult to achieve in standard texts.

Like most multidisciplinary texts, the bulk of the book is divided into systems, and by and large system disease and failure are addressed separately. This distinction doesn’t always work, and the inevitable repetition and need for cross referencing can be distracting. Some sections seem to assume no prior knowledge of paediatrics, and others appear to be aimed at the experienced paediatrician. In spite of this, there is a reasonable and logical flow to the text, and many extremely useful tables and diagrams. Key learning points and common errors are highlighted in most chapters, and there is a list of useful tips based on the considerable collective experience of the authors. This sort of approach is as close to bedside teaching that you can get in a textbook, and will be appreciated by trainees in particular.

Areas that stand out include the management of fluid and nutritional problems, toxicological and metabolic emergencies, and the diagnostic investigation of children with cardiac and respiratory problems. It is always difficult to do justice to non-clinical topics like the ethical and psychosocial aspects of critical care, but, at least by including them, the emphasis on the whole patient remains intact. Due attention is given to non-accidental injury and the challenges of transporting patients, the latter reflecting modern, increasingly centralised paediatric intensive care.

In a subspeciality defined by rapid intervention and practical procedures, it is especially difficult to strike the appropriate balance between background detail and clinical practice. On the whole, this book accomplishes this very well. It is not a comprehensive reference text for tertiary care paediatric intensivists, but covers first line treatment to optimise the transition from emergency patient to PICU patient. Until recently, this was mainly undertaken by specialist registrars and consultant anaesthetists, but, in the United Kingdom at least, the next generation of consultant paediatricians will increasingly be called upon to manage critically ill children in those crucial first hours. That group, however reluctantly, will particularly benefit from this useful text.

ALISON SHEFLER
Consultant in Paediatric Intensive Care


In his chapter in this book entitled “Neuronal migration disorder and epilepsy in infancy”, Vigevano emphasises that brain malformations represent a causal factor in 3–4% of all epilepsies, although this percentage increases to 18–20% in drug resistant epilepsies. With every new generation of MRI scanner, more and more patients with epilepsy are recognised to have a cortical developmental abnormality, and the aetiological significance of these to the development of epilepsy has opened up exciting new fields in the understanding of the pathophysiology of epilepsy and its treatment. This book is a compilation of papers presented at a meeting on epileptogenic cortical developmental abnormalities, organised by the editors. As with books produced in this way there are strengths and weaknesses, with a bias towards specific topics of interest.

The book starts with a short introduction by Frederick Andermann, followed by several chapters on cortical development and animal models. These early chapters are not easy reading but persistence is rewarded by information of direct clinical relevance from the dry basic scientific details—for example, I learnt that work with animal models has shown that pathological changes continue for years after the initial insult, explaining the delay in the development of clinical epilepsy. Furthermore, the progressive maturation of the neurotransmitter pathways could explain why neonatal encephalopathies are often catastrophic, and why children can grow out of their epileptic tendency, even with lesional epilepsy.

The later chapters on electroclinical imaging, neuropathological studies, genetics, and surgery are more relevant for the clinician. In this section, several of the authors emphasise the importance of using the term “neuronal migration disorders” rather than dysplasias, when the disturbance can be of neuronal proliferation or organisation and not always an arrest of neuronal migration. Of particular interest to me were the chapters on neuroradiology of malformations, neuronal migration disorders and epilepsy in infancy, schizophrenia, and genetic and genetic findings, and periventricular nodular heterotopia, especially the genetic implications of recognising these various malformations. I also enjoyed Guerrini’s excellent chapter on the development of poly-microgyria. As in his other publications, he points out that polymicrogyria is the only cortical developmental abnormality which can produce ESSE’s with eventual spontaneous remission, and when this pathology is identified on neuroimaging, surgery should be avoided. This leads us to the two chapters on the problems of resective surgery in focal developmental abnormalities and epilepsy; the first by the Montreal group and the second outlining the Italian/French experience. Both emphasise the specific difficulties of deciding the demarcation of surgical resection in these patients. I was particularly interested in the approach of Munari et al to a two stage surgery, reoperating with more invasive electrocorticography if the seizures do not stop with lesionectomy alone. While acknowledging that cortical dysplasias may be intrinsically epileptogenic, Munari et al state that, in practice, the epileptogenic zone is often wider than the MRI limits of the lesion, suggesting either that the adjacent cortex is also epileptogenic or that microscopic pathology extends further than that seen on MRI images.

The book is a useful addition to the literature on cortical dysplasias. It does not aim to be a comprehensive review and the reader would need considerable prior knowledge of the subject to find the book useful.

ZENOBIA ZAIWALLA
Consultant Paediatric Neuropsychologist