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Abstract

Aim—To determine the frequency of GB virus C (GBV-C)/hepatitis G virus (HGV) infection before and after switch to the use of virus inactivated concentrates in haemophiliac patients infected with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV).

Patients and methods—Initial and follow up sera from 49 children with haemophilia were analysed for the presence of GBV-C/HGV RNA and antibodies to HGV (anti-HGV). All patients had been infected with HIV while receiving concentrates without virus inactivation before 1984 and were subsequently treated with virus inactivated concentrates.

Results—In the first available serum sample (1987 or later), two of 49 patients were GBV-C/HGV RNA positive and two further patients were anti-HGV positive. During follow up (mean, 6 years), 14 patients developed markers of GBV-C/HGV infection. Eleven of these had received no blood products except clotting factor concentrates that had been prepared with virus inactivation.

Conclusions—Despite being treated with virus inactivated clotting factor concentrates, HIV positive patients with haemophilia are at an increased risk of manifesting GBV-C/HGV infection. We hypothesise that GBV-C/HGV is transmitted by these clotting factor concentrates. However, we cannot rule out the emergence of markers of GBV-C/HGV infection as a result of the progression of immune impairment in the course of HIV infection.
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Recently, two novel flavivirus-like viruses, GB virus C (GBV-C) and hepatitis G virus (HGV) have been detected in serum samples of individuals with idiopathic hepatitis. Further characterisation revealed both to be subtypes of the same virus. Although an association of GBV-C/HGV with fulminant hepatitis has been described, most infected persons do not show clinical or biochemical signs of liver disease.

In recipients of clotting factor concentrates prepared without virus inactivation, GBV-C/HGV infection rates of 9–24% have been reported. A study in Spanish patients with haemophilia who received virus inactivated clotting factor concentrates showed a prevalence of GBV-C/HGV infection of 3%, not significantly greater than that found in blood donors (1.5%). However, GBV-C/HGV RNA has been detected in clotting factor concentrates prepared with virus inactivation by several manufacturers.

We undertook our study to determine the frequency of GBV-C/HGV infection in German patients with haemophilia who had been infected with HIV and in some cases with hepatitis B virus (HBV), hepatitis C virus (HCV), or delta agent (HDV) before 1984 by receiving concentrates prepared without virus inactivation. Between 1983 and 1984 the treatment was switched to virus inactivated clotting factor concentrates in all patients. Attention was focused on whether GBV-C/HGV infection occurred in the follow up of initially GBV-C/HGV RNA negative patients during treatment with virus inactivated concentrates.

Patients and methods

PATIENTS

Between 1984 and 1985, we followed 155 paediatric patients with haemophilia; 62 of these were seropositive for HIV infection. From March 1987, 49 of these 62 patients were followed up in our paediatric clinic for immune disorders. In a retrospective study, we analysed the virological and clinical characteristics of these patients over 2–7 years (median, 6 years 10 months). The median age at presentation was 14 years 5 months (range, 5–18 years).

Before 1983, all patients had received commercial clotting factor concentrates from different manufacturers for more than two years. Between 1983 and 1984, treatment was switched to virus inactivated clotting factor concentrates in all cases. Inactivation methods included dry heating at 80°C, vapour heating, solvent/detergent, and pasteurisation. Two patients had been treated for their coagulation disorder by other institutions and were under our supervision only for management of their HIV infection; both had received only virus inactivated preparations from 1984 onwards, but the exact nature of the preparation(s) used could not be ascertained. Forty two patients had haemophilia A and seven had haemophilia
GBV-C/HGV status in relation to CD4+ T cell count in 49 HIV infected patients with haemophilia during treatment with virus inactivated clotting factor concentrates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Initial sample at first presentation</th>
<th>Follow up sample after 2–7 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD4+ T cells</td>
<td>CD4+ T cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 500</td>
<td>&gt; 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200–500</td>
<td>200–500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 200</td>
<td>&lt; 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBV-C/HGV RNA positive</td>
<td>37 11 1</td>
<td>9 19 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-HGV antibody positive</td>
<td>1 0 1*</td>
<td>4 6 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio CD4+/total lymphocytes</td>
<td>&gt; 0.35</td>
<td>&gt; 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1–0.35</td>
<td>0.1–0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBV-C/HGV RNA positive</td>
<td>1† 1†</td>
<td>2 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-HGV antibody positive</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>10 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CD4+ denotes CD4 positive lymphocytes, expressed in absolute count/µl.
Ratio CD4+/total lymphocytes denotes the ratio of absolute count of CD4 positive cells/µl and absolute count of lymphocytes/µl.
*One patient GBV-C/HGV-RNA negative in follow up sample.
†Anti-HGV antibody negative in follow up sample.

Results

Four of 49 patients showed markers of previous or ongoing GBV-C/HGV infection in the first available serum sample collected in 1987 or 1988. In two patients, GBV-C/HGV RNA could be detected; two were anti-HGV antibody positive. In one of the two patients who were GBV-C/HGV RNA positive in the initial sample, no GBV-C/HGV RNA was detected in the follow up sample, collected 4.5 years after the initial sample. This patient remained anti-HGV antibody negative in the follow up sample. Both patients who were initially anti-HGV antibody positive became antibody negative in the follow up sample after intervals of 7.6 and 7.7 years, respectively.

In the follow up samples, 15 of 49 patients had markers of GBV-C/HGV infection. Compared with the initial sample, emergence of GBV-C/HGV RNA was seen in nine patients after a median of 6 years 10 months (range, 2–7 years); five further patients became anti-HGV antibody positive. Thus, 14 patients developed markers of GBV-C/HGV infection during treatment with virus inactivated concentrates. Three of the 10 GBV-C/HGV RNA positive patients and one of the five anti-HGV antibody positive patients had received intravenous immunoglobulin during the follow up as part of another study14; none of the remaining 11 patients had received any blood products except clotting factor concentrates.

Forty two of 49 patients were anti-HCV antibody positive at initial presentation. One patient was anti-HCV antibody positive, but HCV RNA negative, and one patient was HCV RNA positive, but anti-HCV antibody negative. Between first and follow up samples no evidence of new HCV infection was seen (positive anti-HCV antibody or HCV RNA).

All GBV-C/HGV RNA positive patients (n = 10) were co-infected with HCV and none showed a significant rise of serum ALT/AST concentration in comparison with GBV-C/HGV RNA negative patients (n = 32) (ALT: mean (SD), 46.2 (26.5) U/l vs 32.9 (25.6), respectively; AST: 23.7 (11.6) U/l vs 26.8 (17.5), respectively; both p > 0.05).

During the follow up, immune function deteriorated in many patients, as reflected by
absolute and relative CD4+ T cell counts. Table 1 shows the frequency of GBV-C/HGV RNA and antibody seropositivity in relation to CD4+ T cell count. At follow up, the mean CD4+ T cell count was significantly higher in GBV-C/HGV RNA positive patients (n = 10) compared with GBV-C/HGV RNA negative patients (n = 32) (mean (SD) 425.3 (175.4) × 221.9 (249.9); p < 0.05).

Fourteen patients died between 1987 and 1995, 12 of AIDS related causes. Two patients developed fulminant hepatitis. One was anti-HGV antibody positive. GBV-C/HGV RNA could not be detected in these two patients nor in the remaining 12 patients who died.

Discussion
With a frequency of 4.1% and 20.4% in the initial and follow up samples, respectively, the prevalence of GBV-C/HGV-infection was rather low in this highly virus exposed population, in which most patients were HCV RNA positive and all were HIV infected.

The most intriguing result is the high number of patients who developed markers of GBV-C/HGV infection during a period of administration of clotting factor concentrates that were considered to have undergone virus inactivation. Early dry heating methods had been used before 1987 and had been shown to reduce or remove the risk of HIV infection, but not to prevent HCV infection.17 18 Despite the advent of viricidal methods such as heating to temperatures of 80 °C, GBV-C/HGV RNA has been detected in clotting factor concentrates of several manufacturers.19 Thus, transmission of GBV-C/HGV to recipients of such concentrates may be possible, although it is unknown whether a positive RT-PCR result in these batches indicates infectiousness. De Filippi and co-workers found a low rate of viraemia in their study on GBV-C/HGV infection in adult HIV negative, multitransfused patients with haemophilia, but a high rate of anti-HGV antibody positivity, and they suggested that transmission of GBV-C/HGV (unlike HCV) does not establish long term viraemia in recipients of clotting factor concentrates.20

Two hypotheses could explain the emergence of HGV infection markers during the follow up of our cohort: either the patients have been infected with GBV-C/HGV by "virus inactivated" clotting factor concentrates during the follow up period or, alternatively, deterioration of immune function as a result of HIV infection has led to an increase of GBV-C/HGV RNA concentrations above the detection limit of the assay. In the latter case, transmission of GBV-C/HGV would have taken place before switching to virus inactivated clotting factor concentrates. However, we favour the first hypothesis of GBV-C/HGV transmission by infected clotting factor concentrates during the follow up, because we did not find an obvious association between the emergence of markers of GBV-C/HGV infection and low CD4+ T cell counts (table 1). In contrast, we have found a higher proportion of GBV-C/HGV RNA positive patients in the group with moderately decreased CD4+ T cell counts (200–500/µl) compared with the group with CD4+ T cell counts below 200/µl. CD4+ T cell counts in GBV-C/HGV viraemic patients were significantly higher compared with GBV-C/HGV RNA negative patients, a finding that has been reported previously in adult HIV infected patients, among whom it was suggested that GBV-C/HGV infection might be a favourable prognostic factor in HIV disease.10 20

Compared with HCV infection markers, the proportion of patients with either anti-HGV antibodies or GBV-C/HGV RNA seropositivity was lower. However, the biological importance of anti-HGV E2 antibodies as a marker of anti-HGV seroconversion is not completely understood. It is still not known how long anti-HGV E2 antibody persists after seroconversion. Furthermore, there are no data as to whether immunocompromised patients such as ours are able to develop and maintain anti-HGV E2 seropositivity as a marker of recovery from HGV infection. In one patient in whom GBV-C/HGV RNA became undetectable, no anti-HGV E2 antibody response was seen. This might indicate that the real frequency of GBV-C/HGV infection may be higher than is determined using these two markers alone.

As in previous studies, no aggravation of liver disease was observed in association with the emergence of markers of GBV-C/HGV infection. Although some authors report fulminant hepatic failure in association with GBV-C/HGV infection,45 there was no evidence of active GBV-C/HGV infection as an underlying cause in either of the two patients with fulminant hepatic failure in our series.

In conclusion, we suggest that the development of markers of GBV-C/HGV infection in our patients was the result of GBV-C/HGV infection acquired during treatment with clotting factor concentrates containing GBV-C/HGV RNA. Despite recent virus inactivation steps, however, we cannot rule out an emergence of GBV-C/HGV after earlier infection as a result of the deteriorating immune function in the course of HIV infection. As long as it remains unknown whether GBV-C/HGV RNA positivity in clotting factor concentrates represents infectiousness, further studies are necessary to ascertain whether the current inactivation methods really produce virus free preparations, even if GBV-C/HGV seems to be a virus that does little harm to the recipient.
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