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Abstract
Fifteen per cent of children treated with growth hormone (GH) are receiving treatment for Turner syndrome, but few results are available on final height in the UK. In this study, data were obtained from the UK KIGS database for 485 girls with Turner syndrome who were treated from 1986, allowing an audit of practice and outcome over 10 years. Over the decade, the mean age of starting growth hormone treatment fell from 10.4 to 8.5 years and the starting dose increased from 0.55 to 0.95 IU/kg/week. The frequency of injections increased from three to six or seven/week. Some girls received suboptimal doses, which also differed depending on whether they were based on weight or surface area. To assess what height gain might be expected at final height, all 52 girls who were prepubertal at the start of treatment, which continued for four years or more, and who had reached final height or had a growth velocity < 2 cm/year were selected. Their mean gain in final height was 5.2 cm and the GH dose was 0.78 IU/kg/week over 5.8 years. Final height gain correlated significantly with duration of treatment, total dose received, and first year response, which itself related to starting dose. This audit shows a changing pattern of treatment over the past decade, which in many instances has been inadequate. When treatment starts before puberty and continues through to final height, with a dose of 30 IU/m²/week in six or seven injections, a mean increase in final height of 5 cm or more would be expected.
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Short stature is a recognised consequence of Turner syndrome with a mean reduction in adult height potential of approximately 20 cm. Recombinant growth hormone (GH) has been licensed for use in Turner syndrome since 1988 to promote an increase in height, and this accounts for some 15% of all children on GH treatment; however, there are no nationally recognised treatment recommendations.

In the UK there have been few publications on the final height of girls with Turner syndrome treated with GH, and these have involved small patient numbers and have shown disappointing results. A Scottish study showed no significant increase in final height as a result of GH treatment. However, other studies, reviewed by Donaldson have reported positive, although variable, changes in height gain at final height with various treatment regimens including GH alone, GH with the addition of oxandrolone or oestrogens, or oxandrolone alone. The greatest height achieved over predicted height was seen in those girls who were the youngest at the start of treatment and who were treated until final height was achieved.

Variation in treatment practice occurs and the advised dose of GH specified in the British National Formulary to be used in girls with Turner syndrome ranges from 0.6 to 1 IU/kg/week or from 18 to 30 IU/m²/week, with no guide as to which is the more effective dose.

The Pharmacia and Upjohn international growth database (KIGS) is an international postmarketing safety and efficacy register that allows GH prescribing centres the opportunity to review their prescribing practice and treatment outcome with national and international standards. The UK KIGS database holds anonymous data on 3096 children who have been enrolled since 1987, and 1802 of these are currently on treatment. It is estimated that some 40% of children treated with GH in the UK are entered into KIGS and 15% of these have Turner syndrome.

We studied girls with Turner syndrome in the UK KIGS database treated with GH in the decade 1987–96 and examined the changing pattern of their treatment. To assess the possible increase in final height following treatment we selected all those who were at final height, started treatment before puberty, and had been treated for at least four years. We identified this cohort as those most likely to show the greatest increase in their final height over that projected before treatment and, as discussed later, it represents the 50% of girls with Turner syndrome who are below the 0.4th centile on the 1990 UK growth charts, and who should have been identified from height screening at school entry.

Patients and methods
We identified all girls with Turner syndrome who had been entered into the KIGS international database from the UK in the years 1987–96 and analysed their auxological, clinical, and therapeutic data. We included an additional six girls who had been treated from 1984 and their data entered retrospectively. The
The diagnosis of Turner syndrome was confirmed on the basis of their karyotype. These girls had been on no fixed treatment protocols or any standard dose of GH over the decade. Oestrogen treatment was instigated for the induction of puberty at varying ages and investigations and ongoing surveillance were determined individually. Data from all patients had been entered into the main international database, preserving both anonymity and confidentiality of information for each clinic.

We defined the cohort of girls with Turner syndrome reaching final height as all those who were prepubertal at the start of GH treatment, who had been treated for a minimum of four years, and whose present height velocity was < 2 cm/year. We compared the growth pattern of these girls treated with GH with the reported growth of girls with Turner syndrome who were not treated with GH, as reported by Lyon et al.1

We took final adult height in untreated girls to be the height aged 20 years on the Lyon centile charts. Because girls treated with GH reach final height before those who are untreated, we took the final height standard deviation score (SDS) in treated girls as the height at their last measurement expressed as an SDS at age 20 years. We determined predicted adult height before treatment began by a projection of the pretreatment SDS centile to that aged 20 years.1

The results, where appropriate, are shown as mean (SD). Statistical analysis was by SAS version 6.12/AIX.

**Results**

Over the decade, 485 girls were entered into the database and their mean (SD) GH peak on a stimulation test before treatment was 28 (19) mU/l.

**TRENDS IN TREATMENT**

Over the period of our study there has been a small but significant reduction in the mean age that treatment began (r = −0.16; p = < 0.001) from 10.4 to 8.5 (range, 2–19) years, and the starting dose of GH has increased significantly (r = 0.16; p = < 0.001) from 0.55 to 0.95 (range, 0.2–1.55) IU/kg/week. The frequency of injections has increased from three to six or seven each week and, since 1995, no child has received fewer than five injections each week.

**DOSE**

Two methods of calculating GH dose have commonly been used in the UK, relating either to body weight or surface area. Analysis of the dosage received by all the children at the start of treatment shows that many, particularly in the 1980s, received low doses by either regimen compared with prescribing guidelines. There was also a significant reduction in dosage received at the start of treatment in relation to age when expressed as IU/kg/week (r = −0.41; p = < 0.001) (fig 1). The younger children received relatively high doses and the older ones much lower doses.

The dose of GH prescribed for any one child differed depending on whether this was calculated in relation to body weight or surface area. Figure 2 shows the relation of body weight and surface area to age for all girls at the start of their treatment, and from these data we calculated the mean weight and surface area at the ages of 5, 10, and 15 years. This was then used to determine the mean dose of GH given either as 30 IU/m²/week or as 1 IU/kg/week (table 1).

Girls receiving their dose based on surface area received 33% more GH than those based on weight at age 5 years, similar doses at age 10 years, and a reduction of 10% in their dosage at age 15 years. The weight and surface area of the girls differed in range by as much as 100% between the ages of 10 and 15 years and consequently very wide differences in doses were used between these ages depending upon body size.

**GROWTH AND FINAL HEIGHT**

Four hundred of the children had been treated for at least 12 months and 340 for at least two years. There was a significant gain in height SDS with each year of treatment but the absolute amount waned with each year of treatment. The mean (SD) change in height SDS in the first year was +0.55 (0.31) and over two years was +0.91 (0.44).

Fifty two girls fulfilled the stated criteria for analysis of final height gain on GH treatment. Table 2 shows age, height SDS, GH dose, and number of injections each week at the start and end of treatment. The mean (SD) age of onset of puberty was 12.6 (1.8) years, the mean (SD) dose of GH prescribed was 0.78 (0.15) IU/kg/week. Other details of the cohort can be found in table 1.

**Table 1 Differences in growth hormone doses based on body surface area (30 IU/m²/week) or weight (1 IU/kg/week) at three ages**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface area (m²)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose (IU/kg/week)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose (IU/m²/week)</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>36.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference (%)</td>
<td>+33</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>−10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
week, the mean (SD) duration of treatment was 5.8 (1.1) years, and the last mean (SD) height velocity was 1.03 (0.64) cm/year. The mean (SD) total dose of GH received was 8474 (2334) IU.

Their mean (SD) change in height SDS in the first year of treatment was +0.61 (0.27) and over two years it was +1.05 (0.39). The mean (SD) increase in height SDS at final height was +0.62 (0.57), which equates to a height gain above projected height of +4.15 (3.8) cm.

**LINEAR REGRESSION ANALYSIS**

The increase in height SDS on GH treatment from start to final height in the 52 girls correlated significantly with the increase in height SDS in the first year of treatment (fig 3), the total time on GH treatment, and the total dose of GH received (table 3). The change in height SDS in the first year of treatment correlated significantly with the mean dose of GH given, both as IU/m²/week ($r = 0.43$; $p = 0.002$) and as IU/kg/week ($r = 0.35$; $p = 0.011$).

**THE EFFECT OF AGE ON COMMENCING TREATMENT**

To assess the effect on final height of starting treatment early, we divided the 52 girls into those starting treatment before ($n = 14$) and after ($n = 38$) the age of 10 years.

The mean (SD) height SDS for the 14 girls < 10 years of age was −0.49 (0.87) at the start of treatment and +0.25 (0.95) at age 20 years.

The 38 girls > 10 years of age started treatment with a mean (SD) height SDS of −0.09 (0.85) and increased to +0.48 (0.86) at age 20 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change in height SDS from start to final height at 20 years</th>
<th>$r = 0.453$</th>
<th>$p &lt; 0.001$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total time on GH</td>
<td>$r = 0.318$</td>
<td>$p &lt; 0.02$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total units of GH received</td>
<td>$r = 0.397$</td>
<td>$p &lt; 0.004$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Details of children at the start and end of treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Start of treatment</th>
<th>End of treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>10.67 (1.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (SDS)</td>
<td>−0.2 (0.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose of GH (IU/kg/week)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injections/week (n)</td>
<td>5.67 (1.65)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD). SDS values were calculated from Lyon et al.1

**Figure 2** The relation between age, surface area, and weight at the start of treatment in all 485 girls.

**Figure 3** The relation between the change in height SDS in the first year of treatment and the change in height SDS from starting treatment to final height aged 20 years.

---

1. Lyon et al.
reached final height aged 16.9 years. Those treated earlier had a mean (SD) change in height SDS at the age of 20 years of +0.74 (0.6), which is equivalent to 5.0 (4.1) cm greater than projected height. Those over the age of 10 years had a mean (SD) change in height SDS of +0.58 (0.6), which is equivalent to 3.9 (4.0) cm greater than projected height. Mean height velocity at the end of treatment for both groups was 1 cm/year; therefore, both groups would be expected to gain a further 1 cm.

Discussion

Over the past decade, girls with Turner syndrome have been treated earlier in life, and the dose of GH and the number of injections given each week have increased. Presently, in the UK, the standard dose regimen for prepubertal children with GH deficiency is 15 IU/m²/week or 0.5 IU/kg/week in six to seven injections each week. Girls with Turner syndrome are not GH deficient and it has become usual practice to increase the dose to twice that used in GH deficiency. However, we note that even today some children are still treated with significantly suboptimal doses.

Most centres in the UK determine dose as IU/m² rather than IU/kg. Weight gain is a problem, particularly in older girls with Turner syndrome, and this will affect the dose of GH prescribed. Figure 2 demonstrates that the effect of calculating dose either by body weight or by surface area results in a considerable difference in the amount of GH prescribed. Using surface area, younger children would receive more and older children less, which partially explains why the younger children in fig 1 received such high doses and the older children had doses below that recommended. After the age of 10 years, the scatter of surface area and weight at any age is very wide, resulting in significant differences in the dose prescribed. Although there is little evidence available to support a recommendation of an optimal regimen, our results show that final height gain correlates with the first year growth response, which itself is related to the initial dosage used. This indicates that the initial higher dose based on surface area in the prepubertal girls might be of relevance. Van Teunenbroek et al reported that initial doses of 28 IU/m²/week that were increased to 42 and 56 IU/m²/week with advancing years resulted in improved height gain over the first four years. These data also support the use of a high dose for treatment.

The benefits of GH treatment on final height have been reported as variable. However, all these studies have used different regimens and the children have been at different ages when starting treatment. For this reason, meaningful comparative data are difficult to obtain. However, Donaldson reported a summary of 10 studies of girls treated with GH, who had reached final height, and showed that those who started treatment at a younger age and received it for a longer time achieved the greatest height gain. Our study included all girls treated for at least four years who were prepubertal at the start of treatment. We selected this cohort for two reasons. First, 50% of girls with Turner syndrome should be identified at school entry because they are below the 0.4th centile on height screening, so treatment could have started prepubertally. Second, they are the group likely to have benefited most from treatment and therefore provide an indication of the likely gain in final height to be expected with an adequate duration of treatment. As a group, their final height gain was modest, at just over 4 cm, similar to other reported data. However, a further 1 cm in height would still be expected in view of their continuing growth velocity. Although 5 cm is the mean increase in height gain, there is considerable individual variability between patients in their response, as shown by the large SD of 3.8 cm. In addition, many of these girls commenced their treatment at the start of the decade with suboptimal doses and a reduced frequency of injections. Today, with these factors optimised, this gain in height should be greater.

The pattern of treatment in the initial year was an important determinant in the change in height SDS at final height. Some children grew poorly in this first year and, although this might be a result of poor compliance, the challenge for future management is to identify the factors responsible in those children who had the greatest height gain. The more GH prescribed the greater the height gain that was seen. Therefore, a better response might be expected using a higher dosage and more frequent injections. This would agree with Ranke et al, who demonstrated the important role of GH dosage and the frequency of injections in the short term growth response to treatment.

Those children who started treatment before 10 years of age grew significantly better, despite reaching final height 1 year younger than those starting after 10 years of age. This differs from the report of Nilsson et al, who failed to show a difference between the age of starting treatment and the change in height SDS. In our study, this change was also significantly related to the total time on GH treatment and the total dose of GH received. However, the younger children had a lower height SDS at the start of treatment and their increase in height SDS was greater than in the older group.

The children reported were treated with GH alone. Rosenfeld reported an 8 cm height gain using GH alone in children of a similar age, and we would expect children in the UK to have a similar increase if they were to receive similar doses and duration of treatment. Rosenfeld and others have reported even better growth when using different regimens, adding oxandrolone to GH treatment, and concluded that such regimens might give an even greater height gain.

Conclusions

We analysed a decade of GH treatment in Turner syndrome in the UK. Even with suboptimal treatment, mean height gain was 5 cm; when treatment was started prepubertally, younger children grew even more. We conclude...
that, in advising treatment with GH in girls with Turner syndrome today, greater height gain might be expected starting at an early age with 30 IU/m²/week in six to seven injections each week.

It has been suggested that the way forward may be an evidence based treatment protocol with controlled intervention with different therapeutic agents and doses.4
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