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Abstract

Background—Functional adrenal insufficiency has been documented in critically ill adults.

Objective—To document the incidence of adrenal insufficiency in children with septic shock, and to evaluate its effect on catecholamine requirements, duration of intensive care, and mortality.

Setting—Sixteen-bed paediatric intensive care unit in a university hospital.

Methods—Thirty three children with septic shock were enrolled. Adrenal function was assessed by the maximum cortisol response after synthetic adrenocorticotropic stimulation (short Synacthen test). Insufficiency was defined as a post-Synacthen cortisol increment < 200 nmol/l.

Results—Overall mortality was 33%. The incidence of adrenal insufficiency was 52% and children with adrenal insufficiency were significantly older and tended to have higher paediatric risk of mortality scores. They also required higher dose vasopressors for haemodynamic stability. In the survivor group, those with adrenal insufficiency needed a longer period of inotropic support than those with normal function (median, 3 v 2 days), but there was no significant difference in duration of ventilation (median, 4 days for each group) or length of stay (median, 5 v 4 days). Mortality was not significantly greater in children with adrenal insufficiency than in those with adequate adrenal function (6 of 17 v 5 of 16, respectively).

Conclusion—Adrenal insufficiency is common in children with septic shock. It is associated with an increased vasopressor requirement and duration of shock.
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Several recent studies have reported the existence of functional adrenal insufficiency in the critically ill and focused attention on its haemodynamic effects in these patients. However, the reported incidence varies according to the criteria used to define the condition, and there are no data on its incidence in paediatric septic shock, or on the effects of glucocorticoids. The possibility that septic shock patients with adrenal insufficiency might benefit from steroid treatment underlines the importance of defining this condition in the paediatric population.

We present a prospective observational study of adrenal function in children with septic shock.

Patients and methods

We enrolled all children admitted to our 16 bed paediatric intensive care unit between May 1996 and April 1997 with a diagnosis of septic shock. We defined septic shock according to the criteria of Saez-Llorens as: hypotension or poor capillary refill responding to fluid or pharmacological intervention in the presence of hyperthermia or hypothermia, tachycardia, tachypnoea, and either leucocytosis or leucopenia; and at least one of the following: acute mental changes, hypoxaemia, hyperlactataemia, and oliguria. Age, diagnosis, inotropic dose required to maintain mean blood pressure within the normal range for age (2 SD from the mean), average daily therapeutic intervention scoring system (TISS) score, admission multiorgan failure (MOF) score, paediatric risk of mortality (PRISM) score, calculated risk of mortality, and outcome were recorded for each patient. Duration of shock (continued inotropic requirement), positive pressure ventilation, and intensive care stay were recorded for the survivor group.

Adrenal function was assessed by the cortisol response to synthetic adrenocorticotropic (ACTH) stimulation. Immediately after admission, 2 ml of blood was taken for baseline cortisol measurement, and the short Synacthen test (Ciba Laboratories, Horsham, UK) was performed using a dose of 145 µg/m2 surface area, to a maximum of 250 µg. Blood samples were repeated at 30 and 60 minutes. Serum was spun immediately, stored at −60°C, and measured by chemoluminescence immunoassay (Nichols Institute Diagnostics, San Juan Capistrano, California, USA). Intra-assay and interassay coefficients of variation are given as < 5% and < 10%, respectively.

The peak cortisol concentration was taken to be the maximum concentration at either 30 or 60 minutes, and the cortisol increment was calculated as the peak minus baseline value. The results of the Synacthen tests were not made available to the attending medical staff. Adrenal failure was defined as baseline cortisol < 100 nmol/l and adrenal insufficiency was categorised according to historically accepted criteria (table 1). For the purpose of further analysis, adrenal insufficiency was defined, a priori, as post-Synacthen cortisol increment < 200 nmol/l, because this definition is the one applied most consistently to the critically ill.
Table 1  Incidence of adrenal insufficiency in our study group (n = 33) according to the various published definitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition of adrenal insufficiency (cortisol, nmol/l)</th>
<th>Adrenal insufficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline &lt; 100</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increment &lt; 200</td>
<td>4–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increment &lt; 250</td>
<td>4,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak &lt; 500</td>
<td>1, 2, 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak &lt; 500 plus increment &lt; 200</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak &lt; (baseline +2)</td>
<td>2, 20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We devised a vasopressor score to reflect the vasopressor effect of inotropes used in our clinical practice. Patients were classified according to three ranges of inotrope dose:

(1) Dopamine \( \leq 10 \mu g/kg/min \) ± dobutamine any dose
(2) Dopamine \( > 10 \mu g/kg/min \) and/or adrenaline \( \leq 0.5 \mu g/kg/min \)
(3) Adrenaline \( > 0.5 \mu g/kg/min \) or noradrenaline any dose

Haemodynamic measurements were performed within 24 hours of admission in 16 patients (seven non-responders and nine responders). Cardiac index and systemic vascular resistance index were determined using femoral artery thermodilution (Codman Lifesciences, America).21 All children were treated according to routine unit management protocols.

We enrolled 33 children (22 survivors; 11 non-survivors), with a median age of 48 months (range, 1–192) and a median PRISM of 17 (range, 4–36). Diagnoses included septicaemia as a result of Neisseria meningitidis (n = 16); Staphylococcus aureus (n = 4); group B streptococcus (n = 2); Streptococcus pneumoniae (n = 1); and Escherichia coli (n = 2), secondary to appendicitis and sickle cell disease, respectively; and blood culture negative septic shock (n = 8).16

Our study was approved by the hospital ethics committee. Data are presented as median (range) and were analysed by the Mann-Whitney test, Fisher’s exact test, and the \( \chi^2 \) test for trend.

Results

The incidence of adrenal insufficiency according to accepted criteria (table 1) ranged from 12% to 85%, whereas the incidence according to the a priori definition (increment < 200 nmol/l) was 52%. Median cortisol concentrations at baseline and after Synacthen stimulation were similar to concentrations seen in adults with septic shock—that is, baseline 1054 nmol/l (range, 30–4553) and peak 1398 nmol/l (range, 378–5128). There was no significant relation between baseline cortisol and post-Synacthen increment in these children (fig 1).

Table 2 shows median age, baseline cortisol, average daily TISS score, admission MOF score, PRISM score, calculated risk of mortality, and observed mortality for the patients with adrenal insufficiency and those with an adequate adrenal response. The former were older and tended to have a worse disease severity score, but this difference was not significant. Average daily TISS and admission multiorgan failure scores did not differ between the two groups. Median calculated risk of mortality corrected for age was 17% (range, 7–29%) in adrenal insufficiency compared with 7% (range, 3–22%) in those with adequate adrenal function (\( p = 0.128 \)). Mortality was similar in both groups (six of 17 v five of 16; \( p = 1.0 \)).

Five of the 17 children with adrenal insufficiency required haemofiltration compared with two of the 16 children with adequate adrenal function (\( p = 0.39 \)). The incidence of Gram negative sepsis was no greater in adrenal insufficiency (\( p = 1.0 \)). Table 3 shows its incidence and mortality in children with Gram negative septicemia, Gram positive septicemia, and blood culture negative septic shock.

Children with adrenal insufficiency were more likely to require adrenaline or noradrenaline for haemodynamic support compared with those with adequate adrenal function (\( p = 0.032 \); odds ratio 0.10; 95% confidence interval, 1.2 to 30.1). Application of the vasopressor score (table 4) showed a significant linear trend for them to require a greater vasopressor dose (\( p = 0.0025 \); \( \chi^2 \) test for trend). We subsequently applied the sepsis related organ failure assessment score used in adult practice,22 and showed the same linear trend (\( p = 0.023 \)). In the 22 survivors, the median time to resolution of shock (no further inotrope requirement) was 3 days (range, 1–12) in adrenal insufficiency compared with 2 days (range, 0–4) in children with adequate adrenal function (\( p = 0.008 \)). However, there was no significant difference in median duration of ventilation (4 days for both groups; \( p = 0.94 \)) or intensive care stay (5 v 4 days; \( p = 0.56 \)).
studies have reported no benefit from high dose steroids in sepsis. A meta-analysis concluded that steroid treatment did not improve mortality and that there was an increase in mortality from secondary infection.

However, these investigators made no distinction between patients with normal or abnormal adrenal function. Recent studies demonstrated the existence of occult or functional adrenal insufficiency in septic shock, where either the circulating cortisol or the response to additional ACTH stimulation is inadequate for the stress of the condition.

This might be a result of suppression of cortisol production by sepsis rather than adrenal ischaemia, and studies have shown both decreased ACTH receptor binding and interruption of pregnenolone synthesis in the presence of endotoxin and tumour necrosis factor. For these reasons, we decided to evaluate adrenocortical rather than pituitary/hypothalamic function, and measured the response to Synacthen stimulation in preference to ACTH concentrations.

Functional adrenal insufficiency can present as high output cardiac failure, especially under conditions of hypovolaemia, and thus increase the need for vasopressor support in septic shock. This finding renewed interest in the haemodynamic effects of steroids, because glucocorticoids might both increase myocardial β receptor density and inhibit inducible nitric oxide synthase in the presence of endotoxin. Subsequent adult studies have demonstrated reduced inotrope requirement, more rapid resolution of shock, and reduced mortality in patients treated with supplemental steroids.

Because patients with septic shock and adrenal insufficiency might be expected to benefit most from treatment, by reducing the hypothetical morbidity related to the adverse effects of vasopressors on the renal and hepatosplanchnic circulation, it is important to define the incidence of this condition in children with septic shock.

Our data show that its incidence might vary widely according to published criteria. We used a post-Synacthen increment of < 200 nmol/l, the definition applied most consistently to the critically ill, and showed a relation between biochemical and functional insufficiency in this group of children. Using this definition, we report an incidence of 52%. A peak post-Synacthen cortisol of < 500 nmol/l is the definition applied commonly to the general population, as well as by some authors to septic patients, on the basis that a high baseline cortisol is associated with a low increment. However, we showed no such relation in children with septic shock. The loose definition of adrenal insufficiency used in general paediatric practice (peak cortisol less than twice baseline) would give an incidence of 85% in our patients, and we suggest that these criteria are inappropriate for critically ill children.

We were also surprised to identify one patient with overt adrenal failure—this child was subsequently placed on maintenance steroid treatment.
We suspect that the discrepancy between the low calculated risk of mortality in both groups (7% and 17%) and the observed mortality (31% and 35%) might reflect a weakness in the PRISM score. All patients were transferred from district hospitals by a paediatric retrieval team, and because PRISM is based upon the first 24 hours of PICU physiological data, pre-admission stabilisation might result in artificially low PRISM scores. We are unable to explain why adrenal insufficiency should be more common in older children—the incidence of Gram negative infection did not increase with age. There was no evidence of adrenal infarction (Waterhouse-Friderichsen syndrome) in any of the four non-survivors who underwent postmortem examination.

Although affected children had a higher cardiac index, this difference was not significant, nor was their systemic vascular resistance index lower than the group with adequate adrenal function. This could be because the effects of volume resuscitation and vasopressors masked the high output failure at the time measurements were performed. Our findings that these children have both a greater vasopressor requirement and a longer duration of shock may be treated with high dose vasopressors without additional ill effects.

We expected the increased use of catecholamines in children with insufficiency to cause greater morbidity and mortality as a result of renal and hepatosplanchnic vasoconstriction, but this hypothesis was not supported by our findings. We showed a trend for them to have a higher disease severity score, but they did not have a higher rate of organ failure. Although the therapeutic end points we used to reflect morbidity could be criticised as relatively imprecise markers of end organ damage, we note that children with adrenal insufficiency did not require greater intensive care support, as shown by their TISS score, need for haemofiltration, duration of ventilation, and intensive care stay. In particular, they did not have a higher mortality rate. This implies either that the haemodynamic consequences may be treated with high dose vasopressors without additional ill effect, or that the power of our study was insufficient to detect a true increase in mortality. A much larger study population would be required to resolve this issue.

The beneficial effects of steroid treatment, reported recently in adults, raise the question of whether a placebo controlled trial should be undertaken in children. Do our data provide an objective rationale for a trial of supplemental steroids in children with septic shock? We have proposed that children with adrenal insufficiency might be those who would most benefit from such treatment, and we have shown that it has a high incidence in our study population. However, the primary end point of any trial of steroid treatment in such children should be mortality. Based on our study population, a trial having sufficient power to detect a reduction in mortality from 30% to 15% would require at least 500 children with septic shock. Nevertheless, despite the logistical problems, the association between adrenal insufficiency and additional haemodynamic instability evident from our data suggests that a trial of low dose supplementary steroids in septic shock may be warranted.

CONCLUSION

Our study shows an appreciable incidence of adrenal insufficiency in children with septic shock, and we note that these patients require a higher doses of catecholamines for a longer period to maintain haemodynamic stability. Our data suggest that to answer the controversial question of whether supplementary steroids improve mortality in these children, a study population of more than 500 would be required.

Mouldy homes and bleeding lungs

The importance of dampness in the home in relation to applications for rehousing is often debated. An intriguing piece of medical detective work in Cleveland, Ohio, USA (Ruth A Etzel and colleagues, Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine 1998;152:757–62) has demonstrated an apparently rare but startling effect of home dampness on infants.

Over two years (1993–94) 10 infants (all black, nine boys) were admitted to a children’s hospital with acute pulmonary haemorrhage and haemosiderosis; there had been just three such cases in the previous 10 years. The presenting features were acute haemoptysis with lethargy, sudden cessation of crying, pallor, limpness, and respiratory failure. All of the babies were severely ill and needed intensive care, nine were intubated, and one died. Five had to be readmitted because of another episode up to six months after discharge. The diagnosis was confirmed in all cases by lung biopsy or bronchial lavage. All of the babies lived in one area of the city and there was a common history of water damage to the home from leaking roofs, plumbing leaks, or flooding. Some of the infants had evidence of haemolysis and this, together with the epidemiological features, led investigators to consider the possibility of a haemolytic toxin producing fungus. They investigated specifically for Stachybotrys atra, a fungus known to grow in damp conditions and to produce a toxin that causes bleeding and haemolysis in animals.

They examined the homes of nine of the 10 infants and 28 of age and locality matched controls. Mean colony counts for all fungi in air were more than 40 times greater in the patients’ homes than in those of controls. Counts of S atra were 10 times higher in the air, and 3000 times higher on surfaces, in patients’ homes compared with controls’. The patients were also more likely to have been exposed to tobacco smoke in the home. Surveys have shown S atra in up to 3% of North American homes. In this outbreak the babies with acute pulmonary haemorrhage were much more likely than control babies to have been exposed to high concentrations of S atra. The association has not been proved to be one of cause and effect but the evidence seems highly suggestive.
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