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Abstract
The hypertonic saline test is a useful technique for distinguishing partial diabetes insipidus from psychogenic polydipsia, and for the diagnosis of complex disorders of osmoreceptor and posterior pituitary function. However, there is little information concerning its use in childhood. The experience of using this test in five children (11 months to 18 years) who presented diagnostic problems is reported. In two patients, in whom water deprivation tests were equivocal or impractical, an inappropriately low antidiuretic hormone (ADH) concentration (< 1 pmol/l) was demonstrated in the presence of an adequate osmotic stimulus (plasma osmolality > 295 mosmol/kg). In two children—one presenting with adipsic hypernatraemia and the other with hyponatraemia complicating desmopressin treatment—partial diabetes insipidus defects of osmoreceptor function were identified. Confirming a diagnosis of idiopathic syndrome of inappropriate ADH secretion (SIADH) was possible in a patient with no other evidence of pituitary dysfunction. The hypertonic saline test was well tolerated, easy to perform, and diagnostic in all cases.
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The differential diagnosis of polyuric states using standard diagnostic procedures such as the short and long water deprivation tests (WDT) are usually reliable in distinguishing severe central diabetes insipidus and nephrogenic diabetes insipidus from primary polydipsia. However, both central and nephrogenic diabetes insipidus may be partial, and polyuria associated with compulsive water drinking may limit the ability to concentrate urine. Diagnostic confusion between these conditions may arise as all are capable of producing a similar rise in urine osmolality using standard diagnostic procedures. Furthermore, complex disorders of osmoreceptor function such as essential hypernatraemia are rarely diagnosed using WDTs, as a hypothalamic stimulus may result in apparently normal urinary concentrating ability.

The hypertonic saline test offers an alternative approach to the diagnosis of polyuric states, as it defines the relation between plasma osmolality and plasma antidiuretic hormone (ADH) concentrations. The test is well established in adults yet there is little reported experience of its use in children.

We describe five cases in which the hypertonic saline test provided a clear diagnosis of various disorders of water balance where standard diagnostic procedures had failed or were deemed unreliable.

Methods and materials
All the tests were performed at the John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford and informed consent was obtained from the patients and/or their parents. Before testing, each patient was assessed to exclude the presence of any confounding factor such as hypercalcaemia, hypokalaemia, glycosuria or any other cause of a solute diuresis. Free access to food and fluids, to maintain adequate hydration, was allowed until the morning of the tests.

WATER DEPRIVATION TEST
A seven hour water deprivation test was carried out between 08:00 and 15:30 using a standard procedure. The test was terminated before seven hours if urine osmolality concentrated to > 750 mosmol/kg, if weight loss exceeded 5% of initial body weight, or if the patient developed intolerable thirst.

DESMOPRESSIN TEST
An eight hour desmopressin test (DDAVP; Ferring, Middlesex, UK) was carried out between 08:00 and 16:00. The child was weighed and urine osmolality was measured before an intramuscular injection of desmopressin (0.1 µg/kg, maximum 4 µg). For the following hours the child was encouraged to void hourly. All urine was collected for measurement of urine osmolality.

HYPERTONIC SALINE TEST
Intravenous saline (0.85 mol/l) was administered continuously (0.05 ml/kg/min) through an indwelling catheter for up to a maximum of three hours, or until a plasma osmolality of 300 mosmol/kg was achieved. The patient remained supine from 30 minutes before and throughout the test. Blood samples were taken 30 minutes before and at 30 minute intervals from the start of the test into lithium heparin containers for measurement of plasma sodium, ADH concentrations, and osmolality. Urine samples were also collected before the start of the test and at 60 minute intervals, where possible, for measurement of urinary sodium and osmolality. Thirst behaviour and blood pressure were recorded at 30 minute intervals.
throughout. If the ADH response to a hypotonic stimulus was to be assessed the hypertonic challenge was preceded by an intravenous water load (20 ml/kg of 5% dextrose) given over two to three hours.

Plasma sodium and urine sodium were measured using standard laboratory methods. Urine and plasma osmolality were measured by freezing point depression (Microosmometre; Advanced Instruments, Vetech Scientific Ltd, West Sussex, UK). Blood for determination of plasma ADH was separated in a refrigerated centrifuge and stored at −20°C. Plasma ADH was measured by radioimmunoassay as previously described. The limit of detection of the immunoassay was 0.02 pg/ml (coefficient of variation intra-assay 9.7% and interassay 15.3%). All samples from each patient were measured in the same assay run.

Case reports

CASE 1
A 14 year old girl presented with a three month history of polydipsia (up to 14 l/day) and polyuria. Significant emotional problems were identified. A frontal head injury with skull fracture had been sustained at the age of 13 years, and nine months before presentation a further minor head injury had occurred. All preliminary investigations were normal, except for a random prolactin concentration, which was raised to 2387 mU/l. The WDT resulted in maximum urinary and plasma osmolalities of 287 and 289 mosmol/kg, respectively. Responses to desmopressin were equivocal with plasma ADH concentrations (fig 1), which was inappropriately low for the corresponding plasma osmolality (3.01 pmol/l) and an exaggerated ADH response (maximum 27.38 pmol/l) during the osmotic challenge (fig 2). The syndrome of inappropriate ADH secretion (SIADH) was diagnosed and treatment with water restriction (500 ml/day) and demeclocycline (150 mg bid) was started. However, the hyponatraemia was corrected only after oral sodium supplements were added. Magnetic resonance imaging of the brain remained normal but secondary hypothyroidism developed and he is now receiving treatment with thyroxine (50 µg/day).

CASE 2
A 16 year old boy presented with extreme polydipsia (up to 12 l/day) and other behav-
and was subnormally stimulated with osmotic challenge (5.54 pmol/l at plasma osmolality of 317 mosmol/kg). The diagnosis of osmoreceptor defect was established and his fluid balance is currently stable with desmopressin (5 µg once a week).

CASE 5
This boy was investigated at the age of 18 years because of adipsic hypernatraemia (sodium 147–153 mmol/l) and increased plasma osmolality (297–305 mosmol/kg). Polyuria was not evident and daily fluid intake varied between 1 and 1.5 litres. He experienced thirst only after heavy exercise. He also had abnormal aggressive behaviour and had precocious puberty and gynaecomastia.

After the water load, a hypertonic saline test (fig 3) was performed. Plasma ADH concentration before water loading was 0.6 pmol/l and plasma osmolality 310 mosmol/kg. By the end of the test the values were 0.2 pmol/l and 299 mosmol/kg, respectively. During osmotic stimulation, no adequate ADH secretion could be detected (maximum 0.6 pmol/l) despite increasing plasma osmolality (310 mosmol/kg) confirming the diagnosis of essential hypernatraemia associated with an osmoreceptor defect.

Discussion
An infusion of hypertonic saline with measurements of plasma osmolality and ADH is a simple and well tolerated means of assessing posterior pituitary function. It provides reliable information regarding the relation between plasma osmolality and ADH. In normal adults and children there is a linear relation between those two parameters, which is described by a threshold and a slope. When the threshold (average 280 mosmol/kg) is exceeded, ADH secretion rises sharply, which leads to antidiuresis and to increased urinary concentration. Below this threshold maximal urinary dilution occurs and ADH is undetectable with current radioimmunoassay methods.4 There is a wide interindividual variation in both the slope and threshold of the regression lines, but the relation is individually constant.9 Different pathological conditions alter this relation, and the hypertonic saline test can be used as a means of differentiating defects of water balance.

The standard WDT, relying on indirect assessment of ADH secretion, may not be sufficiently sensitive to identify patients with partial central diabetes insipidus from those with primary polydipsia.10 Incorporating plasma or urine ADH measurements to the test may be useful11 12; however, unless the plasma osmolality rises above the individual threshold for ADH release, a lack of ADH increase cannot be deemed pathological. In case 1 the WDT was not able to raise the plasma osmolality above 292 mosmol/kg, the lowest level at which healthy subjects invariably have a rise in plasma ADH.9 Although different protocols for the WDT in children have been proposed12–14 it always involves a long period of observation. This needs careful monitoring of the patient, either because the child may drink while unattended or because potentially hazardous dehydration may occur. The hypertonic saline test is shorter, and with modern vein cannulation techniques well tolerated and easy to perform. The osmotic challenge given during the hypertonic saline test usually raises the plasma osmolality above the threshold of ADH release and permits diagnosis of partial diabetes insipidus as shown in our patients.

SIADH is a rare but well recognised disorder15 and the clinical diagnosis is based on features first proposed by Bartter and Schwartz in 1967.16 Once suspected, SIADH requires careful management with water restriction and sometimes by pharmacologically induced nephrogenic diabetes insipidus using demeclocycline. Patients with SIADH usually exhibit a characteristic response to water restriction: weight loss is accompanied by correction of hyponatraemia. The absence of such a re-
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Figure 2  Plasma ADH related to plasma osmolality during hypertonic saline infusion in a patient with SIADH (case 3). The shaded area represents the normal range.
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Figure 3  Plasma ADH related to plasma osmolality during water load and hypertonic saline infusion in one patient (case 4; triangles) with osmoreceptor defect and one (case 5; squares) with essential hypernatraemia associated with an osmoreceptor defect. The shaded area represents the normal range.
response can make the diagnosis of SIADH doubtful and requires other means of confirmation. In our patient (case 3) the hypertonic saline test provided clear evidence of failure to suppress ADH completely when plasma osmolality fell below the threshold, followed by an exaggerated ADH secretion with respect to plasma osmolality during the osmotic challenge, diagnostic of SIADH. The hypertonic saline test provides a simple method for confirming persistence of SIADH at regular intervals as the natural outcome for idiopathic SIADH is unknown.

It is well known that histiocytosis X may present with disorders of water balance, such as partial central diabetes insipidus, because of infiltration of the posterior pituitary or hypothalamus resulting in local tissue damage. However, more complex defects of water balance have also been reported. Although the WDT was indicative of partial central diabetes insipidus in case 4, treatment with modest doses of desmopressin was complicated by recurrent hyponatraemia. During the hypertonic saline test, preceded by a hypotonic stimulus, this patient showed a persistent secretion of small quantities of ADH when plasma osmolality fell below 284 mosmol/kg and a subnormal ADH response when plasma osmolality increased. This indicates a selective defect of the osmostat characterised by relative insensitivity to either hypertonic or hypotonic influences. Patients with osmoreceptor dysfunction must be managed with particular care as they lack an effective defence against overhydration and underhydration. Free access to fluids or regular treatment with desmopressin can lead to water intoxication as shown by our patient, while lack of fluid intake may result in hypernatraemia. Stable water balance can be achieved using once weekly treatment with desmopressin.

Essential hypernatraemia in association with defective osmoreceptor function, as shown by case 5, can produce a difficult diagnostic problem that can only be resolved by the hypertonic saline test. Patients with selective osmoreceptor dysfunction may have normal ADH responses to hypertensive and other non-osmotic stimuli, but refractory responses to osmotic stimuli. This dissociation suggests normal haemodynamic control over ADH release. Additionally, patients with partial central diabetes insipidus have a subnormal response of ADH not only to osmotic stimulation but also to non-osmotic stimulation. The dehydration achieved during the WDT may provide a hypovolaemic stimulus to the osmoregulatory system sufficient to stimulate ADH release. The diagnosis of selective osmoreceptor defect is therefore impossible to achieve with the standard WDT, but is easily characterised with the hypertonic saline test as this does not reduce blood volume.

Standard diagnostic tests for posterior pituitary disorders are usually reliable in most patients presenting with disordered water balance. Nevertheless, there are clear advantages to the direct osmotic stimulation of the posterior pituitary gland, and the hypertonic saline test may be used in situations where diagnostic difficulties arise.
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