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Abstract

Klippel-Feil syndrome (KFS) is defined as a short neck with decreased movement and low posterior hairline. Radiologically, there is a failure of cervical segmentation. Deafness is a well known associated feature and may be of sensorineural, conductive, or mixed type. The audiological assessment of 44 patients with KFS is reported. Thirty five were found to have abnormalities on audiological testing. The presence of other features in these patients, reviews of data from other reports, and the need for further study are discussed.

(Arch Dis Child 1998;79:352–355)

Keywords: audiological abnormalities; Klippel-Feil syndrome

In 1912, Maurice Klippel and Andre Feil described a 46 year old tailor with “fused” cervical vertebrae. He had the classic clinical triad found in the condition now known as Klippel-Feil syndrome (KFS); short neck, low posterior hairline (fig 1), and reduced neck movement. Radiologically, there is apparent fusion of the cervical vertebrae (fig 2). The incidence of KFS is 1 in 40 000 and it can be divided into three types depending on the extent of vertebral involvement (table 1).

The incidence and inheritance of the condition varies with the type of KFS. The incidence of associated anomalies, including Sprengel shoulder, cleft palate, cardiac and renal anomalies, and developmental delay, also appears to vary with KFS type. Girls appear to be affected more frequently by the more severe types 1 and 3 but there is an equal sex incidence in type 2. The cause of the condition is probably heterogeneous with both a genetic and environmental aetiology being cited. The insult occurs between the third and fourth week of embryonic development, causing faulty segmentation of the mesodermal somites. It is this aberrant segmentation rather than fusion which produces the abnormality.

Although the original case was not said to have hearing loss, subsequent studies have shown a significant association between all three types of KFS and deafness. The original report of hearing deficits was by Jalladeau in 1936 who described 20 patients of which 30% were deaf. In 1974, Hensinger et al found 36% of patients in their study had hearing loss. A review by Helmi and Pruzansky in 1980 suggested hearing impairment was present in 24% of KFS patients, although in

Table 1  Clinical features of Klippel-Feil syndrome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KFS type</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Massive cervical fusion, may involve upper thoracic vertebrae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fusion at 1 or 2 interspaces, may be associated with other vertebral anomalies—for example, hemivertebrae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fusion of cervical and lower thoracic vertebrae</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1  A patient with Klippel-Feil syndrome. A low posterior hairline and short neck are characteristic of the condition.

Figure 2  Radiograph demonstrating fusion of cervical vertebrae.
their own seven cases, five patients had some degree of hearing loss. Radiological imaging, surgical exploration, and postmortem examination have all helped to delineate the cause of the hearing problems in KFS. The pathological processes and hence the type of deafness observed have been varied. Despite the association between KFS and deafness being well recognised, most information is from single case reports or reports of only a few cases. There has been no systematic study assessing the hearing of a large number of patients with KFS.

One of us (JMcG) undertook a clinical and genetic study of KFS. During the course of this study it became apparent that a significant cause of morbidity was a hearing deficit. A formal audiological assessment of patients with KFS was undertaken to establish further the nature of the abnormalities.

Methods

Patients from the study who were living in the North West and Mersey regions of the UK were contacted and offered audiological assessments in the university department, at the Hearing Assessment Centre, Timperley or at a home visit. Audiological data previously obtained were used from eight patients outside these regions and five patients in the regions who had declined further testing. Consent was obtained at the beginning of the study. A detailed history was taken and clinical examination and audiological assessment performed.

Forty three patients were contacted. Five declined to be tested and, of these, three had no reported problem; two did have hearing problems and previous audiological data were obtained. No reply was received from seven patients, four of whom reported no problem. The remaining three had reported problems and their audiological data obtained from case notes were assessed (access to these case notes was obtained as part of the original study).

Audiological assessment included clinical examination and otoscopy. Pure tone audiometry was undertaken, including bone conduction testing with masking and impedance testing. The degree of hearing loss was classified using the average of hearing thresholds at 0.5, 1, 2 and 4 kHz frequencies according to the criteria listed in table 2. Where there was a discrepancy in the degree of hearing loss between the two sides, patients were placed into the category reflecting the most severe loss.

KFS patients had been seen as part of a study to define further the associated range of anomalies and to undertake chromosome and genetic tests to look for possible aetiologies. Full personal and family histories were taken in all cases and the patients were examined. The patients were ascertained through patient support groups, other consultants in the region, and colleagues from around the country. Over 60 patients were seen as part of the larger study of whom 44 were included in our study. Thirty one patients were audioligically assessed by us and data obtained at other centres analysed for 13 patients. Data from other centres were sometimes limited, coming from general practitioner notes or letters, and the degree and nature of the loss was not clearly stated. Where the data were not accurate enough to categorise fully but described a hearing loss, KFS was described as “type unknown”. All but two of the patients had chromosome analysis undertaken. These were all normal. The patients had features of no other separate genetic conditions or syndromes which are associated with deafness. Some did have features of the first/second arch syndrome but as these are considered as part of the anomalies which may be present in KFS, they were not considered separately. In the patient group from outside the region where other data were used, numbers were smaller and the reasons for ascertainment are not known.

Results

SEX AND AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PATIENTS STUDIED

The well known female preponderance of cases was reflected in the study patients. Twenty six were female and 18 male. The numbers of patients in different age groups is summarised in table 3.

TYPE OF HEARING LOSS

Out of the 44 cases studied, 35 showed hearing loss (20 female, 15 male) while the remaining nine cases had no evidence of any deficit. Sensorineural hearing loss was the most common, being found in 15 cases. Mixed hearing loss was found in 10 cases and conductive loss in seven cases. In cases where there was a conductive loss, the audiological findings had been confirmed on different occasions, suggesting that the loss was neither acute nor infective in nature, nor related to ongoing or intermittent episodes of secretory otitis media.

Table 2  Definitions of hearing loss

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>&lt; 40 dBHL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild-moderate</td>
<td>40–70 dBHL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>71–90 dBHL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe-profound</td>
<td>&gt; 90 dBHL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3  Distribution of cases according to age and sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–40</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41–50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 and over</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4  Type of hearing loss related to Klippel-Feil type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of hearing loss</th>
<th>Type 1</th>
<th>Type 2</th>
<th>Type 3</th>
<th>Type unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensorineural</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductive</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5  Degree of hearing loss related to sex and Klippel-Feil type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree of hearing loss</th>
<th>Type 1 Male</th>
<th>Type 1 Female</th>
<th>Type 2 Male</th>
<th>Type 2 Female</th>
<th>Type 3 Male</th>
<th>Type 3 Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild-moderate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate-severe</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe-profound</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Known</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was insufficient information to comment on the nature of the loss in three cases.

DISTRIBUTION AND DEGREE OF HEARING LOSS IN RELATION TO KFS TYPE.

It was possible to assign 43 of the patients to one of the three KFS types. Insufficient data were available for the other patient. The type of hearing loss related to the KFS type is shown in Table 4. Type 1 KFS was present in 24 cases tested and 83% of these had some abnormality on testing. Type 2 KFS was found in 13 patients with 77% of these having some abnormality. There were six cases with type 3 KFS and 66% of these had an abnormal test result. For both male and female patients, the most common type associated with hearing impairment is type 1, followed by type 2. The least common was type 3, of which there were only six female cases. Table 5 shows the distribution and degree of hearing loss related to both KFS type and the sex of the patient.

RELATION TO OTHER CLINICAL FEATURES

The hearing loss was also related to the clinical features of the patients. In some cases there may have been other factors in the aetiology of the deafness. Six patients had a cleft palate (a well recognised anomaly associated with KFS) and one had had neonatal meningitis. However, in most cases no factors except KFS were present. In other cases a cause for deafness could be found which is likely to be directly related to KFS. One child had an ossicular chain anomaly which is well described with KFS. Another had cochlear agenesis which has not been described before although similar abnormalities have been found.15

Discussion

KFS is clinically characterised by a short, usually immobile, neck. A number of possible aetiological factors have been suggested which may be contributory in different patients.15–20 It is believed to be caused by faulty segmentation of the mesodermal somites. Although usually sporadic, some cases are autosomal dominant.20 There is a well recognised female preponderance, with a female to male ratio estimated by Helmi and Pruzansky to be 1.3:1.14 In this study it is slightly higher at 1.5:1. A number of other anomalies are associated with KFS including cardiac and renal anomalies, developmental delay, and limb defects. Scoliosis is a frequent finding, seen in up to 60%.10 21 22

Audiological abnormalities are also common although detailed information about these is very limited. Some authors have reported the association with hearing loss to be up to 50%. In this study, we set out to determine in a systematic way the frequency and extent of abnormalities in these patients. Eighty per cent of the cases were found to be associated with a degree of hearing loss although in some this was only mild or unilateral.

All types of hearing problems have been described in the syndrome but bilateral sensorineural loss is most common. In this study, 43% with hearing loss were found to have sensorineural loss. The next most common problem is mixed hearing loss followed by conductive hearing loss. Although many authors have reported the association of KFS and hearing loss, no characteristic profile of audiograms has been reported. Similarly, in the present study, there was no particular characteristic, shape, or profile identified in the audiograms.

Several otological abnormalities have been noted with these patients ranging from nonspecific external ear abnormalities to severe developmental anomalies of the cochlea.23–24 External ear anomalies include narrow external auditory meati, preauricular skin tags, and small ears. The inner ear changes include absent vestibules and semicircular canals.25 Cochlear abnormalities include total absence of the cochlea, decreased number of coils, and a Mondini anomaly.26 Various abnormalities of the ossicular chain are also described. These include deformed or absent ossicles, malformed or fixed stapes, and fusion of components of the ossicular chain.27 28 In some cases, non-specific hyperostotic changes of the entire footplate have been recorded.29

In this study, the most common type of KFS seen was type 1. This may be caused by biased ascertainment as these patients are more likely to come to medical attention. It may be that type 2 is more common in the population, particularly as the mode of inheritance may be autosomal dominant, but patients with this type may have minimal clinical manifestations and only be diagnosed at radiography. Twenty of the 24 type 1 cases had some degree of hearing loss. The prevalence of hearing loss in this group may reflect the nature of the embryonic insult which produces abnormalities in the development of both the cervical spine and the ear. This is, however, not always consistent as the child with a known ossicular chain anomaly and the child with cochlear agenesis both had type 2 KFS.

The KFS anomaly may also be found in Wildervanck syndrome,30 which is specifically associated with deafness. This condition comprises the KFS anomaly, Duane syndrome (lateral rectus palsy with retraction of the globe of the affected eye on abduction), and hearing loss. Mental retardation may also be associated with the condition which usually affects female patients although affected male patients have been described.31 Three female patients had Wildervanck syndrome although there was a pronounced variation in the degree of hearing loss they had.

Two patients had the MURCS (müllerian duct anomalies, renal agenesis and cervical
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... somatopleural development.33 The same explanation has also been given for the first-second-third syndrome of which some of the patients had features.34

A number of issues has emerged from this study. Audiological abnormalities are present in a significant number of patients with KFS. In the group of patients studied here, 80% had some degree of impairment. Establishing the degree and type of hearing loss should be an integral part of the management of patients with KFS. Follow up with repeat audiological assessment should also be considered, as a conductive loss associated with, for example, hyperostotic changes at the foot-end of the stapes may become clinically significant in later life if associated with chronic low-grade inflammation.

Patients with KFS may attend clinics in a number of specialties, including orthopaedic, cardiac, renal, or neurological clinics. It is important for others involved in their care to be aware of the strong association with hearing problems and ensure formal audiological testing is undertaken. Although some have investigated cases further with radiological imaging,28 most cases have not been extensively investigated. Some cases with profound hearing impairment need further assessment to ascertain the feasibility of cochlear implantation or whether other modes of auditory rehabilitation such as surgical intervention27 29 can be undertaken.

This study has clearly shown that a large proportion of patients with KFS have hearing impairment. Vestibular abnormalities were not assessed and further evaluation of these functions in KFS patients would be important.
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