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Abstract
Nutritional insult after bone marrow transplantation (BMT) is complex and its nutritional management challenging. Enteral nutrition is cheaper and easier to provide than parenteral nutrition, but its tolerance and effectiveness in reversing nutritional depletion after BMT is poorly defined. Nutritional status, wellbeing, and nutritional biochemistry were prospectively assessed in 21 children (mean age 7.5 years; 14 boys) who received nasogastric feeding after BMT (mean duration 17 days) and in eight children (mean age 8 years, four boys) who refused enteral nutrition and who received dietetic advice only.

Enteral nutrition was stopped prematurely in eight patients. Greater changes in weight and mid upper arm circumference were observed in the enteral nutrition group, while positive correlations were found between the duration of feeds and increase in weight and in mid upper arm circumference. Vomiting and diarrhoea had a similar incidence in the two groups, while fever and positive blood cultures occurred more frequently in the dietetic advice group. Diarrhoea occurring during enteral nutrition was not associated with fat malabsorption, while carbohydrate malabsorption was associated with rotavirus infection only. Enteral feeding did not, however, affect bone marrow recovery, hospital stay, general wellbeing, or serum albumin concentrations. Hypomagnesaemia, hypophosphataemia, zinc and selenium deficiency were common in both groups. In conclusion, enteral nutrition, when tolerated, is effective in limiting nutritional insult after BMT. With existing regimens nutritional biochemistry should be closely monitored in order to provide supplements when required.
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Bone marrow transplantation (BMT) has been shown to have a profound effect on nutritional status and nutritional biochemistry in children. Nutrient intake is impaired by nausea, vomiting, dysgeusia, anorexia, and multiple organ dysfunction. BMT in children is associated with diarrhoea and protein losing enteropathy, hypoaalbuminaemia, and trace element deficiency. Malnutrition has been shown to be associated with poorer outcomes in many types of malignancy, although the causal nature of this relationship is unproved. In children with leukaemia, malnutrition is associated with a higher infection rate, while zinc depletion after BMT is associated with more frequent episodes of fever of longer duration. There is therefore a pressing need to prevent malnutrition, by providing appropriate nutritional support to this group of patients. Although parenteral nutrition has been considered to be the method of choice for providing nutritional support to these patients, it is recognised that enteral nutrition has a beneficial effect on gut mucosal barrier function in experimental animals and in humans, and is also cheaper and easier to provide than parenteral nutrition. Its tolerance and efficacy in children undergoing BMT is, however, still disputed. Some investigators consider that ‘forced enteral feeding’ is an unacceptable option for nutritional support in young children with cancer, while others have shown enteral nutrition to be well tolerated by children undergoing treatment for malignancies. Studies in adults undergoing BMT have also shown supplementary enteral nutrition to be feasible. A recent anecdotal report in a child undergoing BMT claimed good tolerance of long term enteral feeding after BMT. We were not aware, however, of any prospective studies assessing the tolerance and efficacy of enteral nutrition in children undergoing BMT. The aim of the study was therefore to assess prospectively the tolerance of enteral nutrition by children undergoing BMT, and its efficacy in preventing nutritional insult caused by BMT.

Patients and methods

PATIENTS
Enteral nutrition was offered to 29 patients undergoing BMT. Twenty one patients (mean age 7.5 years; 14 boys) elected to receive enteral nutritional support while in hospital for BMT; and eight children (mean age 8 years, four boys) refused. Outcomes in these two groups were compared. Table 1 shows the characteristics of the patients. The study was approved by the ethical committee of South Birmingham Health Authority. Informed consent for taking part in the study was obtained from patients or, where appropriate, their parents.
**Table 1 Clinical characteristics of patients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Enteral nutrition (n=21)</th>
<th>Dietetic advice (n=8)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) age (years)</td>
<td>7.5 (4)</td>
<td>8 (4)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) z scores on admission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight for height</td>
<td>0.34 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid arm circumference</td>
<td>-0.04 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.13 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indications for BMT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute leukaemia</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid tumours</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aplastic anaemia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Thalassaemia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sickle cell anaemia</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myelodysplasia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditioning regimens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclophosphamide and total body irradiation</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclophosphamide and busulphan</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idarubicin and total body irradiation</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melphalan</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Methods**

**Anthropometry**

Serial measurements of nutritional status were carried out in patients while in hospital for BMT. Height was measured on admission and on discharge (Harpenden stadiometer), weight was measured daily (SECA, Germany), and mid upper arm circumference was measured weekly with an unstretchable tape measure (Holtain Instruments Ltd, Wales) by the same observer (AP). Height, weight for height, and mid arm circumference were expressed as z scores. American reference data were used as reference z scores.18

**Clinical observations**

Episodes of vomiting (more than twice a day for more than two days), diarrhoea (more than three loose stools per day for more than two days), and fever (>38°C per day for more than one day) were recorded.

**Haematology, biochemistry, and stool analyses**

Total white cell, lymphocyte, and platelet counts were checked daily. Serial serum biochemical analyses (urea, creatinine, albumin, electrolytes, liver function tests) were carried out twice a week (by reflectance photometry on a Kodak Ektachem 700XR). Serum calcium, phosphate, magnesium, and plasma zinc, selenium, and copper were carried out once every week (minerals by reflectance photometry on a Kodak Ektachem 700XR; trace elements by atomic absorption). Diarrhoea during enteral nutrition was investigated in three patients (stool reducing substances, chromatography, and steatocrit19).

**Wellbeing**

The general wellbeing of the patients was assessed weekly using the Lansky performance scoring system.20

**Indications for enteral nutrition**

The indications for enteral nutrition were weight loss of more than 5% of the admission weight or a greater than 10% decrease in mid upper arm circumference, or both. The sole exclusion criterion was the presence of oral mucositis at the start of nutritional support. Twenty one children gave their permission to start supplementary enteral nutrition, while eight patients were upset by the idea of having a nasogastric tube and elected instead to follow dietary counselling.

**Enteral nutrition**

Enteral nutrition was provided through a nasogastric tube via a Kangaroo 330 pump (Sherwood Medical Industries Ltd). Nutrison Paediatric (Nutricia), which provided 0.00418 MJ/ml (1 kcal/ml), was given to children weighing up to 20 kg, while Fortisip (Nutricia), which provided 0.00627 MJ/ml (1.5 kcal/ml), was given to children weighing >20 kg. One patient who developed diarrhoea for more than three days, and another one who received enteral nutrition after 43 days of parenteral nutrition due to gut graft versus host disease were given Pepdite 2° (SHS).

The volume of the feed was individual to each patient. The total daily intake was planned to provide 100% of estimated average requirements for energy, based on admission weight, which was appropriate for a physical activity level of 1.4.21 Overnight nasogastric feeding was scheduled to provide half of the daily requirement within seven days of starting. Feeding was introduced at a rate of 10 ml per hour, increasing by 10 ml per hour per day if tolerated. When the total scheduled volume was achieved, the infusion rate was increased in order to provide the same volume during 10 to 14 hours overnight. Oral intake was encouraged during the day. Overnight feeds were stopped when patients achieved 100% of estimated average requirements for energy by the oral route.

The amounts of trace elements and minerals provided in 100 ml of Nutrison Paediatric (Fortisip) were as follows: zinc 0.6 (1.5) mg; copper 87 (230) µg; selenium 2.33 (6.4) µg; and magnesium 20 (30) mg.

**Dietary counselling**

Dietary counselling was provided several times a week until the discharge by an experienced paediatric dietitian in order to promote a high energy oral intake. Oral energy supplements (Maxijul, Calogen; SHS) were added to the drinks, and sip feeds encouraged.

**Statistics**

Differences in the nutritional status before compared with after enteral nutrition/dietetic counselling as well as differences in serum minerals before compared with during enteral nutrition/dietetic counselling were analysed using paired Student’s t test. Differences in the z scores of the anthropometry indices between the study group and the controls were analysed using non-paired t test. Mann-Whitney test was used to analyse differences in plasma trace elements before compared with during enteral nutrition/dietetic counselling as well as differences in bone marrow recovery, hospital stay, and play performance between the two groups. Simple linear regression analysis was used to describe the relation between the duration of
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18. Differences in the nutritional status before compared with after enteral nutrition/dietetic counselling as well as differences in serum minerals before compared with during enteral nutrition/dietetic counselling were analysed using paired Student’s t test. Differences in the z scores of the anthropometry indices between the study group and the controls were analysed using non-paired t test. Mann-Whitney test was used to analyse differences in plasma trace elements before compared with during enteral nutrition/dietetic counselling as well as differences in bone marrow recovery, hospital stay, and play performance between the two groups. Simple linear regression analysis was used to describe the relation between the duration of...
 feeds and the improvements in the nutritional status. Fisher’s exact test was used for the comparisons of the incidence of vomiting, diarrhoea, and fever as well as positive blood cultures between the two groups.

**Results**

Poor nutrition was common, even before transplantation: 13 of the 29 patients had a z score for at least one anthropometric variable (weight for height, mid arm circumference, or height for age z score) less than −1. Wasting (weight for height or mid arm circumference, or both, z score < −1) affected two of the 29 patients, while poor linear growth (height for age z score < −1) affected 11 of the 29 patients.

Vomiting, diarrhoea, and fever after BMT had a similar incidence in malnourished and non-malnourished patients occurring in six, four, and seven of the 13 malnourished patients respectively, and in two, four, and four of the 16 well nourished ones respectively (p=0.09; p=1; and p=0.1 respectively). Similarly, there was no difference in bone marrow recovery between the two groups. Hospital stay tended to be longer, however, in the malnourished patients without the difference reaching statistical significance: median (range) days of hospital stay were 33 (20–266) v 27.5 (14–43) respectively; p=0.09.

Of the 21 patients who received enteral nutrition, two patients were discharged on home enteral nutrition and 11 reached sufficient oral intake during the daytime and stopped the overnight feeds after a mean duration of 22 days. Eight patients were treatment failures. Seven of them vomited the tube after a mean duration of 10 days of enteral nutrition, while one stopped feeding after eight days of enteral nutrition because of diarrhoea. Six of these eight children were switched to parenteral nutrition.

The mean (SD) energy intake provided by overnight nasogastric feeding was 3.43 (0.89) MJ/day (820 (212) kcal/day), which provided a mean (SD) of 48 (6.5)% of the estimated average requirements for energy. Oral intake during the daytime was not measured.

Nasogastric feeding maintained nutritional status after BMT; while dietetic advice alone was associated with a non-significant deterioration in the nutritional status (see fig 1): mean (SD) weight for height and mid arm circumference z scores at the start and finish of enteral nutrition were 0.01 (0.8) v 0.13 (0.8) respectively (p=0.4); and 0.05 (0.7) v 0.06 (0.8) respectively (p=0.3); weight for height and mid upper arm circumference z scores at the start and finish of dietetic advice were 0.8 (0.7) v 0.04 (0.9), (p=0.07); and 0.13 (0.7) v −0.35 (0.8) respectively (p=0.2). Furthermore, significantly less deterioration in the weight and mid upper arm circumference were shown in the group who received enteral nutrition compared with dietetic advice alone: mean (SD) weight for height Δz scores (differences between z scores at the start from those at the end of enteral nutrition/dietetic counselling) were 0.08 (0.4) v −0.79 (0.7) respectively (p=0.0005); mid upper arm circumference Δz scores were 0.09 (0.5) v −0.49 (0.6) respectively (p=0.01). The duration of feeds was the major factor determining the size of the nutritional response. Significant positive correlations were found between the duration of enteral nutrition and the improvements in weight (r=0.75; p<0.0001; see fig 2) and mid upper arm circumference (r=0.74; p=0.0004; see fig 3).

The incidence or the duration of vomiting and diarrhoea was not significantly different...
between the two groups, whereas fever and positive blood cultures were fewer in patients receiving enteral nutrition (see Table 2). Furthermore, the provision of enteral nutrition did not influence clinical outcomes: hospital stay, bone marrow recovery, or the general wellbeing of patients after BMT were not different between the two groups (see Table 2).

A specific cause was identified in only two cases of diarrhoea, during enteral nutrition: rotavirus infection complicated later by graft versus host disease in one, and cutaneous graft versus host disease in another patient. In three of the four enteral nutrition patients with diarrhoea, stool was checked in order to exclude carbohydrate or fat malabsorption, or both. Positive reducing substances were found in only one case of diarrhoea (rotavirus infection), while faecal steatocrit was normal in all three patients.

Serum albumin concentrations after BMT were comparable in patients who received enteral nutrition and those who did not: mean (SD) serum albumin (g/l) were 34.4 (2.4) v 36.2 (2.8), respectively; p=0.09. Furthermore, supplementary enteral nutrition did not prevent other deficiencies of some important micronutrients (see Table 3).

Discussion

This study showed that poor nutritional status was common even before BMT, and occurred in about half of the patients. We showed that supplementary enteral nutrition was not only feasible in children undergoing BMT, but was associated with fewer febrile episodes and positive blood cultures than with standard dietary counselling alone. Furthermore, it was more effective in preventing deterioration of nutritional status after BMT and significant positive correlations were shown between the duration of feeds and improvements in nutritional status. Nasogastric feeding was not associated with excess gastrointestinal disturbances or with overt nutrient malabsorption. However, enteral nutrition did not prevent biochemical deficiency of a number of nutrients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean (SD) before BMT</th>
<th>Minimum (SD) during dietary advice</th>
<th>Mean (SD) before BMT</th>
<th>Minimum (SD) during enteral nutrition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plasma zinc (µmol/l)</td>
<td>11.8 (5.0)</td>
<td>12.0 (3.8)</td>
<td>12.9 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma selenium (µg/l)</td>
<td>53.2 (11.0)</td>
<td>46.0 (10.8)</td>
<td>59.0 (11.8)‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum phosphate (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.1)*</td>
<td>0.9 (0.3)‡</td>
<td>1.4 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum magnesium (mmol/l)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.1)‡</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p Values: *p=0.006; †p=0.0005; ‡p=0.07; all other values were not significant.

One limitation of this study was that it was not randomised. A randomised trial was considered to be unjustified in this group of patients, as previous studies by us and others in children with malignancies have shown that nutritional status deteriorates during chemotherapy if no nutritional support is provided.\textsuperscript{15-22} We therefore compared the response of patients receiving enteral nutrition, with patients who fulfilled the criteria for providing nutritional support, but who declined nasogastric feeding and instead followed the dietetic instructions for an oral intake of high energy. Another limitation was the absence of data on the oral intake of the patients. This was due mainly to large interindividual and intradividual variation in dietary intake in these patients making any conclusions based on data on food intake likely to be misleading.

Malnutrition is a frequent complication of the treatment of malignancy\textsuperscript{23} and has implications for disease outcome.\textsuperscript{6-7, 25-26} The deterioration in nutritional status during treatment has been confirmed by us and by others in prospective, controlled studies where patients with malignancies were randomised to receive either parenteral nutrition,\textsuperscript{11} or enteral nutrition,\textsuperscript{13} versus no support. In both studies a significant weight loss was observed in controls. Two other trials of enteral feeding, although uncontrolled, showed that nasogastric feeding was associated with an improvement in weight in children with leukaemia,\textsuperscript{37} and children with unspecified malignancy.\textsuperscript{28} Some clinicians have therefore recommended that nutritional support be a routine part of treatment in childhood cancer.\textsuperscript{29-31}

Until recently, parenteral nutrition was the option of choice for providing nutritional support in this group of patients. The benefits of parenteral nutrition in children with malignancy are disputed, however. A number of investigators have claimed benefit with respect to reversing malnutrition, increasing albumin levels, and an earlier recovery of marrow function,\textsuperscript{10-31} while others showed no benefits either in recovery from myelosuppression, or in infection rates.\textsuperscript{34}

Enteral nutrition is cheaper and safer than parenteral nutrition.\textsuperscript{35, 36} In patients undergoing BMT, we are aware of two studies assessing enteral nutrition prospectively. A randomised trial in adults undergoing BMT showed fewer complications in patients receiving enteral nutrition compared with parenteral nutrition.\textsuperscript{15} Mulder et al reported a lower incidence of diarrhoea in patients receiving enteral nutrition than in those given parenteral nutrition alone.\textsuperscript{16}

We know of no prospective studies assessing the tolerance and efficacy of enteral nutrition in children undergoing BMT. A recent anecdotal
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There is uncertainty about the type of the feed which should be used after BMT. A variety of feeds have been used in studies in adults. Semihydrolysed diets have been shown to protect against the sequelae of abdominal radiotherapy in adults, while conversely, standard chow had advantages over elemental diet in rats receiving methotrexate. Donaldson et al claimed successful management of radiation enteritis with a low residue, low fat and gluten, lactose, and cows’ milk protein free diet. It is not clear from that study, however, whether the improvement was due to the specific dietary manipulations or just to nutritional repletion. Halton et al reported a low frequency of transient malabsorption in children treated for acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. In our study, we showed that a diet containing lactose and cows’ milk protein was well tolerated by most of the patients without any signs of nutrient malabsorption.

The cause and implications of the mineral depletion seen after BMT are not entirely clear. It is possible, however, that weight gain in patients receiving enteral nutrition was limited because of micronutrient insufficiency. Hypomagnesaemia has been reported to be associated with chemotherapy with cisplatin, as well as a combination of cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil due to an increased loss of minerals with urine. In children with Crohn’s disease, magnesium loss in stools is observed, even during nutritional support, and has been attributed to absorptive defects in the inflamed intestine. In our study, however, hypomagnesaemia occurred irrespective of diarrhoea, supporting the possibility of a number of other factors in producing mineral deficiency after BMT. A recent study in critically ill patients with major trauma showed increased urinary excretion of zinc, selenium, and copper within a week after injury. In BMT adult patients, biochemical zinc depletion was observed before, but not after, BMT. The various adverse sequelae of trace element and mineral deficiencies indicate that early detection and treatment in this group of sick patients is required.

In conclusion, enteral nutrition, when tolerated, is effective in preventing deterioration of nutritional status of children undergoing BMT and has a number of other benefits, including less fever and positive blood cultures. Standard feeding regimens do not prevent trace element and mineral deficiencies. Nutritional biochemistry therefore should be closely monitored during nutritional support in order to provide supplements when required.
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