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Abstract
This study describes the behavioural phenotype and psychiatric symptoms of 21 children with Noonan’s syndrome attending a paediatric genetics clinic. Data from the behavioural phenotypes questionnaire are presented that suggest that children with Noonan’s syndrome tend to be clumsy, stubborn, irritable, have communication difficulties, and are ‘faddy eaters’. Nearly 50% of the patients with Noonan’s syndrome were psychiatric ‘cases’ as assessed by a standardised measure.
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Noonan’s syndrome is a genetic syndrome featuring cardiac abnormalities (chiefly pulmonary stenosis), facial abnormalities, short stature, and learning difficulties. Although familial recurrence is consistent with an autosomal dominant mode of inheritance, sporadic cases occur. There is variability of expression with some transmitting family members having minimal features. The overall incidence is believed to be between one in 1000 and one in 2500. There are few data on cognition and intelligence in Noonan’s syndrome. A study by Money and Kalus of eight males with Noonan’s syndrome aged 13-36 years, showed an IQ range from 64-127 with a median of 102. Five of these patients showed specific verbal or praxic disparity. There is an increasing body of knowledge about behavioural phenotypes of different genetic syndromes. The impetus for this research is derived from parent groups, who often cite their children’s behaviour as the least understood and most difficult to cope with aspect of their genetic condition. It has been observed that children with the same genetic disorder may behave in similar ways. The term ‘behavioural phenotype’ should be reserved for behaviours which are particularly common in people affected by a given genetic condition and understood as an expression of the genotype. It should not be used as synonymous with a behavioural syndrome or psychiatric diagnosis.

Methods
A total of 27 patients with Noonan’s syndrome between the ages of 2 and 19 years were identified from the diagnostic register, department of clinical genetics, Royal Manchester Children’s Hospital (RMCH). This is a regional paediatric genetics centre and all cases had been referred for diagnostic assessment and subsequent follow up. All patients had been assessed by a consultant paediatric geneticist (MS) before the start of this study and all children easily satisfied the criteria of Allanson et al. for a diagnosis of Noonan’s syndrome. The children were invited to attend with their families for a half day’s assessment at RMCH. The first part of this assessment included a detailed clinical exami-
Children attended for interview and a further three subjects completed postal questionnaires. Ages ranged from 2-6 years to 16-4 years with a median age of 9-3 years. There were 14 males and seven females. A diagnosis of Noonan’s syndrome was confirmed by MS in all cases and one child had a diagnosis of athetoid cerebral palsy in addition to Noonan’s syndrome. BPQ data were collected for 21 children with Noonan’s syndrome; CBCL data were available for 19 children with Noonan’s syndrome and 10 siblings without the syndrome.

**BEHAVIOURAL PHENOTYPES QUESTIONNAIRE**

**Non-behavioural aspects**

Data from the BPQ showed the group to be fairly competent overall. Clinical details agreed in general with other reports (A Massarano et al; unpublished). Prenatal problems were common, with 11 (52%) describing a complication of pregnancy and 11 (52%) requiring admission to the special care baby unit. A normal vaginal delivery occurred in 15 (71%). Short stature was present in 15 (71%), abnormal vision in 12 (57%), and hearing was impaired in three (14%). The majority of children were competent in fine and gross motor skills. More than 75% could feed and dress themselves at the time of assessment. Nine (43%) showed some language impairment. Mean age at diagnosis (5-0 years) was younger than that described by Sharland et al.11 Twelve of the 18 school aged children attended mainstream education.

**BEHAVIOURAL ASPECTS**

Findings for the behavioural domain of the BPQ for the 21 subjects with Noonan’s syndrome are presented in the table. No behavioural characteristic was present in over 75% of subjects. The following eight characteristics were described for more than 50% of the group: clumsiness 15 (71%), faddy eating 14 (67%), squirm/fidgety 13 (62%), stubborn 13 (62%), echolalia 11 (52%), difficult to interpret mood 11 (52%), excessively happy 11 (52%), and irritable 11 (52%).

**CHILD BEHAVIOUR CHECKLIST**

Psychiatric findings: on the basis of the overall CBCL score, nine (47%) of the subjects with Noonan’s syndrome scored above the cut off as a reported case. Of the sibling controls, two scored as cases. One of these was a sibling of a case of Noonan’s syndrome and the other was not. The proportion of subjects with Noonan’s syndrome scoring as cases on the CBCL compared with controls failed to attain statistical significance ($X^2=2.43, p=0.12$). The mean (SE) overall CBCL score for subjects with Noonan’s syndrome was 41.9 (5.2) and for controls 27.0 (8.2). Differences between mean score on the CBCL for those with Noonan’s syndrome and controls failed to attain statistical significance using the Mann-Whitney test ($W=112.0, p=0.0812$).

---

**Results**

Twenty one children with Noonan’s syndrome were assessed from 18 families. Eighteen
Examining the eight syndrome scales of the CBCL, social problems (six cases (32%)) and attention problems (five cases (26%)) were noted for those with Noonan's syndrome. Differences between Noonan's syndrome subject subscale scores and sibling control scores did not attain statistical significance.

**Discussion**

This is the first published study of behavioural and psychiatric characteristics of children with Noonan’s syndrome. Although there are no agreed criteria for defining a behavioural phenotype of a given condition, our results highlight certain characteristics that were present in greater than 50% of a sample of children with definite Noonan’s syndrome. They suggest a behavioural profile for Noonan’s syndrome. Stubbornness, clumsiness, mood problems, communication problems, and faddy eating were described for more than half of our sample. Notably few children showed autistic features, sleep difficulties, severe aggression, sexualised behaviours, or anxiety. These findings are in agreement with the pilot study of Hill. Results from the measure of parent reported psychiatric symptomatology agree with these findings, outlining problems on two scales of the CBCL: social relationships and attention deficit. For the remaining six scales of the CBCL (withdrawn, somatic complaints, anxious/depressed, thought problems, delinquent behaviour, aggressive behaviour) few problems are reported. This is a small sample and, as yet, there are no comparison data for the BPQ.

A number of factors other than genotype will be contributing to any describable patterns of behaviour in children with Noonan’s syndrome such as parenting styles and psychosocial stresses within the family. Noonan’s syndrome is a disorder with important medical implications and the diagnosis may have a significant psychological impact on families. Receiving a diagnosis of Noonan's syndrome may influence parent-child relationships and secondarily, behaviour. Studying non-affected siblings within the same family has been used as a way of controlling for intrafamilial sources of behavioural symptoms. We found a higher rate of parent reported behavioural problems in children with the syndrome compared with sibling controls. In our small study, this difference failed to reach statistical significance. We note that the rate of 'caseness' on the CBCL in the Noonan’s syndrome group was nearly 50% whereas in general population studies, the rate is usually of the order of 15%. The psychiatric symptoms described for children with Noonan’s syndrome are non-specific and likely to have complex multiple aetiological factors. This finding may highlight a need for specialist provision for some families. We hope that this knowledge about behavioural and psychiatric aspects of Noonan’s syndrome may contribute to diagnosis and subsequent management of children and families as well as acting as core data for comparison with other genetic syndromes.
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