

according to the criteria set up by Holmquist *et al.*⁶ Liver function tests showed signs of cholestasis in six patients with ulcerative colitis. Further investigation with ERCP and liver biopsy verified primary sclerosing cholangitis in three children with ulcerative colitis, two of whom had a newly diagnosed mild pancolitis and one had had an almost symptomless colitis for six years and impaired liver function tests for the last two years. The remaining three children with cholestatic liver function tests have, for various reasons, not yet been investigated with ERCP.

Thus of 14 children at our department with ulcerative colitis at least three and probably six have primary sclerosing cholangitis. This indicates a high prevalence of primary sclerosing cholangitis in childhood ulcerative colitis and emphasises the need for regular testing of liver function in children with inflammatory bowel disease, even those with a clinically mild disease.

LARS STENHAMMAR
LOTTA HÖGBERG
PER LEWANDER
Department of Paediatrics,
Norrköping Hospital,
S-601 82 Norrköping, Sweden

- Warren GH, Kern F. The biliary tract in inflammatory bowel disease. *Clin Gastroenterol* 1983; 12: 255-68.
- D'Haens GR, Lashner BA, Hanauer SB. Pericholangitis and sclerosing cholangitis are risk factors for dysplasia and cancer in ulcerative colitis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1993; 88: 1174-8.
- Olsson R, Danielsson Å, Järneroth G, *et al.* Prevalence of primary sclerosing cholangitis in patients with ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology* 1991; 100: 1319-23.
- Broomé U, Glaumann H, Hellers G, Nilsson B, Sörstad J, Hultcrantz R. Liver disease in ulcerative colitis: an epidemiological and follow-up study in the county of Stockholm. *Gut* 1994; 35: 84-9.
- Classen M, Götze H, Richter H-J, Bender S. Primary sclerosing cholangitis in children. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 1987; 6: 197-202.
- Holmquist L, Rudic N, Åhren C, Fällström SP. The diagnostic value of colonoscopy compared with rectosigmoidoscopy in children and adolescents with symptoms of chronic inflammatory bowel disease of the colon. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1988; 23: 577-84.

Guidelines for ethical conduct of medical research in children

EDITOR.—In the research report and commentaries on venepuncture several references were made to the BPA *Guidelines for the Ethical Conduct of Medical Research Involving Children* 1992.¹ The guidelines were quoted as classifying venepuncture as low, not minimal, risk. However, the guidelines actually say: 'Many children fear needles and for them low rather than minimal risks are often incurred by injections and venepuncture' (emphasis added).

Although risk probability has objective measures, the severity of any harms which are risked is partly a subjective estimation. The guidelines allow for possible differences in risk assessment by the doctor, parents and child, and among various groups of children. Clear explanations, expert phlebotomists, and the offer of EMLA cream can all help each child to decide whether the risks associated with venepuncture are worth undertaking. The research reported in this journal suggests that most healthy children are willing to consent to a single research venepuncture.

However, a minority of children deeply fears needles. In a recent multicentre study we interviewed 120 young people aged 8 to 15 years having orthopaedic surgery.² They have

chronic conditions and on average have had four previous operations. They were asked what they (a) most and (b) least looked forward to about being in hospital. In answer to question (b) 59 said 'needles', 34 gave two or more examples which mainly included 'needles' and 'having stitches taken out', 14 said 'nothing particular', and 13 said 'the operation'. They were all having major surgery, nearly half were waiting for spinal surgery; 15 year olds were as likely as 8 year olds to object to needles.

'In all but the most life threatening circumstances it amounts to an abuse of a child's rights as members of society to disregard a refusal to consent to treatment if the child seems to have made a fully informed and considered decision.'³ How much more does this standard apply to children taking part in research which is not of direct benefit to them?

PRISCILLA ALDERSON
Social Science Research Unit,
Institute of Education,
University of London,
18 Woburn Square,
London WC1H 0NS

JILL SIDDLE
Royal Liverpool Children's Hospital Trust,
Alder Hey, Liverpool L12 2AP

- Hammond J, Chinn S, Richardson H, Rona R. Response to venepuncture for monitoring in primary schools. *Arch Dis Child* 1994; 70: 367-72.
- Alderson P. *Children's consent to surgery*. Buckingham: Open University Press, 1993.
- Shield J, Baum J. Children's consent to treatment. *BMJ* 1994; 308: 1182-3.

Use of enteric coated prednisolone in Crohn's disease

EDITOR.—A new patient, aged 13 years, presented to our paediatric inflammatory bowel clinic with abdominal pain, weight loss, and bloody diarrhoea. Full investigation including barium meal and follow through, colonoscopy and biopsy demonstrated active Crohn's disease of the terminal ileum and colon. He was treated with prednisolone initially at 40 mg/day with good relief of his symptoms.

As an outpatient he was prescribed enteric coated prednisolone by his general practitioner instead of the non-enteric type prescribed in our clinic. On the same dose as before his symptoms (abdominal pain and diarrhoea) recurred. Non-enteric coated prednisolone was prescribed and he improved.

This is not an isolated occurrence in our unit. We frequently hear from parents that their children have deteriorated with a switch from non-enteric coated to enteric coated prednisolone.

The pharmacokinetics of enteric coated and non-enteric coated prednisolone have been studied in normal subjects. The bioavailability is similar but peak plasma concentrations are reached after approximately two hours using non-enteric coated formulations and at around four hours using enteric coated ones.^{1,2} The absorption of drugs in Crohn's disease is known to be erratic associated with local inflammation, rapid transit time, and diarrhoea³ and enteric coated prednisolone is likely to be less well absorbed. There are other reports in the literature of adults with Crohn's disease who have failed to respond to enteric coated prednisolone and responded to the non-enteric coated formulation.⁴ A recent review suggested that the advantages of enteric

coated prednisolone in the prevention of duodenal ulceration are only theoretical.⁵

We advocate the use of non-enteric coated prednisolone in the treatment of Crohn's disease and suggest that in any condition where there is a rapid transit time or diarrhoea enteric coated prednisolone should be used with caution.

R M BEATTIE
J A WALKER-SMITH
Paediatric Inflammatory Bowel Disease Clinic,
Academic Department of Paediatric
Gastroenterology,
St Bartholomew's Hospital,
London EC1A 7BE

- Hulme B, James VH, Rault R. Absorption of enteric coated and non-enteric coated prednisolone tablets. *J Clin Pharmacol* 1975; 2: 317-20.
- Wilson CG, May CS, Paterson JW. Plasma prednisolone levels in man following administration in plain and enteric coated forms. *Br J Pharmacol* 1977; 4: 351-5.
- Shaffer JA, Williams SE, Turnberg LA, Houston JB, Rowland M. Absorption of prednisolone in patients with Crohn's disease. *Gut* 1983; 24: 182-6.
- Al-Habet S, Kinsella HC, Rogers HJ, Trounce JR. Malabsorption of prednisolone from enteric coated tablets after ileostomy. *BMJ* 1985; 281: 843-4.
- Anonymous. Do corticosteroids cause peptic ulcers? *Drug Ther Bull* 1987; 25: 41-3.

Symptoms of diabetes insipidus

EDITOR.—It is well recognised that in children presenting with symptoms of diabetes insipidus the investigations should include a brain scan to exclude a hypothalamic tumour. It has been our policy to perform a second brain scan six months later if the first is negative to ensure that a small tumour has not been missed. We have recently seen a child where this strategy failed.

The child, a girl aged 9 years, presented with a short history of enuresis and was found to have diabetes insipidus. She was also of short stature and investigations confirmed that she had not only diabetes insipidus but growth hormone deficiency. There was a hypothalamic thyrotrophin stimulating hormone response to thyroid releasing hormone but she was euthyroid and cortisol concentrations were normal. Two brain scans, the second with contrast and performed six months later, were normal. She was started on desmopressin and growth hormone with excellent response. Four years later she presented with vague symptoms of tearfulness, lethargy, and tiredness after a series of winter respiratory illnesses. Examination at that time was normal, her growth was excellent and it was thought that her symptoms were related to a variety of illnesses during the winter months in association with family upheaval. She was referred to a child psychiatrist. Within a few weeks, however, she had deteriorated and was found to have a decrease in her visual fields though there were no hard neurological signs. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) confirmed the presence of a hypothalamic tumour which proved to be a dysgerminoma.

This tumour must have been present when the child was first seen and it is possible that MRI then rather than computed tomography may have confirmed its presence. There must be a number of children nationally with diabetes insipidus who have had computed tomography only and we would recommend that they have MRI as soon as possible. We

plan that children presenting with diabetes insipidus should now have MRI performed with gadolinium enhancement annually for three years.

D C L SAVAGE
M T BREDOW
A W DUNCAN

Bristol Royal Hospital for Sick Children,
St Michael's Hill,
Bristol BS2 8B7

Cough but is it asthma?

EDITOR,—Dr McKenzie is concerned that children who cough may be inappropriately labelled and treated as having asthma.¹ Modern definitions of asthma emphasise the importance of inflammatory mechanisms underlying the variety of symptoms seen in the disease.² As Simon Godfrey has pointed out the definition and diagnosis in childhood is to a large extent empirical depending on the demonstration of 'airflow obstruction and clinical symptoms which are largely or completely reversed by treatment with bronchodilator drugs or steroids'.³ Dr McKenzie places too much emphasis on the use of lung function testing and response to β -agonists to establish the diagnosis. The bulk of the paediatric asthma population is under 5 years of age, a group too young to undergo any practical form of lung function testing. Even in those capable of performing lung function tests, no one test is totally sensitive and specific. Improvement in lung function may require a period of anti-inflammatory treatment. Such is the case, of course, in acute severe asthma where initial response to an inhaled β -agonist may be very poor. In this situation airway reversibility and clinical improvement usually requires the use of oral or intravenous steroids. In the event, therefore, of symptoms being atypical, such as

cough without wheeze, the only way the diagnosis can be established is by excluding other causes of cough and embarking on an empirical trial of anti-inflammatory treatment with bronchodilator for relief. In this respect we would depart from the British Thoracic Society guidelines for the management of chronic asthma in children and suggest optimising an initial dose of inhaled steroid for a trial period of two months.² In the presence of a response a step-down approach to the minimum dose necessary to alleviate symptoms could be advised. A lack of response would make the diagnosis less likely.

R M BUCHDAHL
P F MUNYARD
A BUSH
Department of Paediatrics,
Royal Brompton Hospital,
Sydney Street,
London SW3 6NP

1 McKenzie S. Cough - but is it asthma? *Arch Dis Child* 1994; 70: 1-2.

2 British Thoracic Society. Guidelines on the management of asthma. *Thorax* 1993; 48: S1-24.

3 Godfrey S. What is asthma? *Arch Dis Child* 1985; 60: 997-1000.

Dr McKenzie comments:

There is no proof that corticosteroids are useful antitussives. Their place in the management of children who cough with no other symptoms has yet to be described.

Renal scarring after acute pyelonephritis

EDITOR,—Jakobsson and colleagues are to be congratulated on their study which further weakens the evidence for causality in the association of urinary tract infection, vesicoureteric reflux, and renal scarring.¹

The authors do not seem to have considered the possibility that the DMSA scan abnormalities in the 37 kidneys scarred two years after the pyelonephretic episode might have been present *before* that episode.

T L CHAMBERS
2 Clifton Park,
Bristol BS8 3BS

1 Jakobsson B, Berg U, Svensson L. Renal scarring after acute pyelonephritis. *Arch Dis Child* 1994; 70: 111-5.

Dr Jakobsson and colleagues comment:

The question asked by Dr Chambers is briefly addressed in the discussion of our paper. It is difficult to be certain that the kidneys have not been damaged before a pyelonephretic episode. This is not a specific problem in studies using DMSA scans as renal scarring is most often detected at the primary investigation after acute pyelonephritis even when other imaging methods are used.¹ The median age of the children in our study was low, and the children were studied with DMSA scans on three occasions, allowing us to follow the development of renal changes. Moreover, the previous medical history was thoroughly ascertained. We therefore feel, with a possible exception of the four older girls mentioned in our discussion, that this circumstantial evidence strongly argues against Dr Chambers' concern. More importantly, we do not think that the question affects the main conclusions of our study.

1 Smellie JM, Ransley PG, Normand ICI, Prescod N, Edwards D. Development of new renal scars: a collaborative study. *BMJ* 1985; 290: 1957-60.