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Abstract
To determine the value of a detailed family history for the assessment of the risk of recurrence of febrile seizures, 115 children who visited the emergency room of an academic children's hospital were studied prospectively. The recurrence risk of febrile seizures was analysed in relation to the child's family history and the proportion of relatives affected by febrile seizures using Kaplan-Meier estimates and Cox proportional hazard models. A first degree family history positive for febrile seizures (parents or siblings affected by febrile seizures) increased a child's two year recurrence risk from 27 to 52%. No significant increase of recurrence risk for febrile seizures was found in children with second degree relatives (grandparents and uncles/aunts) or cousins only affected by febrile seizures. Recurrence risk was significantly correlated with the proportion of first degree relatives affected by febrile seizures: risks were 27, 40, and 83% in children whose proportion was 0, 0–0.5, and >0.5 respectively. Analysis of the recurrence risk in relation to a weighted proportion, adjusted for the attained age and sex of first degree relatives, showed similar results. It is concluded that the application of the proportion of first degree relatives affected by febrile seizures generates a more differentiated assessment of the recurrence risk of febrile seizures.

The cumulative incidence of febrile seizures in children in Europe is between 2 and 5%.1–3 On average, 30% of children have a second febrile seizure and 15% have two or more recurrences after their initial febrile seizure.3–7 Although many workers advocate prophylaxis for the recurrence of febrile seizures, controversy exists about the treatment of choice.8–12 Moreover, it is still not possible to discriminate between children who will and children who will not have a recurrence. Further improvements in the ability to predict the recurrence of a febrile seizure will aid doctors in choosing the appropriate prophylactic treatment, if any.

A first degree family history positive for febrile seizures has been shown to be a major risk factor for the recurrence of a febrile seizure in several studies; other risk factors are young age at onset, multiple initial seizures, and relatively low temperature at the initial seizure.4–7 13 The recurrence risks for febrile seizures have been reported in relation to the presence of first degree relatives (parents and siblings) affected by febrile seizures or in relation to the presence of affected relatives of any degree.5 14 15 The predictive value of the presence of affected second and third degree relatives on the recurrence risk of febrile seizures is unknown. Also, the number of a child's relatives has not so far been taken into account. In general, a child's chance of having a family history positive for febrile seizures will be proportional to the number of relatives. Thus children with larger families will be more likely to have a positive family history.16 An incorporation of the number of relatives in the family history of febrile seizures may yield a more accurate assessment of a child's recurrence risk.

We investigated the association between the recurrence of febrile seizures and the presence of affected first degree relatives and the presence of affected second (grandparents, uncles/aunts) or third degree (cousins) relatives separately. We also investigated the recurrence of febrile seizures in children in relation to the proportion of first degree relatives affected.

Patients and methods
In an ongoing prospective clinic based follow up study 142 consecutive children with an initial febrile seizure at between 6 months and 6 years of age were included. They attended the emergency room of the Sophia Children's Hospital/Academic Hospital of Rotterdam between February 1988 and February 1990. Febrile seizures were defined in accordance with the National Institute of Health consensus statement.17 Fever had to be validated at home or in the hospital as a rectal temperature of 38·5°C or greater within a period of two hours before until two hours after seizure occurrence. A recurrence of febrile seizure was defined as a subsequent febrile seizure during a new febrile period. Age at onset, gender, parental country of origin, seizure type (duration, generalisation, multiplicity), temperature at onset, and first degree family history of febrile seizures were recorded on standard forms at the first visit. Children with remaining neurological damage or subsequent afebrile seizures (three children) and children given continuous prophylaxis (phenobarbitone or sodium valproate) for more than three months (14 children) were excluded, leaving a study group of 125.

Parents were asked to report the recurrences of febrile seizures to the investigators. Recurrence histories were ascertained at follow up visits to the clinic by one of the authors (MO). Recurrence dates and characteristics...
were recorded on standard forms. Subsequent to a mailed announcement two years after the initial febrile seizure, one investigator (AvE) contacted the parents by phone to obtain complete recurrence ascertainment. The parents of 10 children could not be contacted. Of the remaining 115 children, a detailed history of all first degree relatives (parents and siblings) – that is, birth date, sex, and seizure history (febrile or not, date, cause) – was obtained from the parents. In West European children a detailed history was also obtained of all second degree relatives (grandparents and uncles/aunts) and part of third degree relatives (cousins). In non-West European children a detailed history was obtained of all first degree relatives, but only of those second and third degree relatives who were affected by febrile seizures. Detailed family history data were recorded on standard forms. Seizures in relatives which occurred after the initial seizure of the index child were not taken into account. Where there was uncertainty about a relative’s history, parents were asked to collect additional information and were contacted once more at a later date. Relatives whose febrile seizure history remained unknown were not taken into account in the analysis.

Two year cumulative risks of one and two recurrences of febrile seizures were estimated with Kaplan-Meier survival analysis. Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazard regression models were used to examine the effect of risk factors on the probability of subsequent febrile seizures. Hazard ratios with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were computed to compare risks of different patient subgroups. The hazard ratio may be interpreted as a relative risk of recurrence.

Firstly, recurrence risks for febrile seizures were analysed in relation to the presence of first degree relatives affected by febrile seizures and in relation to the presence of affected second degree relatives or cousins. Differences in the presence of relatives affected by febrile seizures between children were analysed with Pearson’s $\chi^2$ test.

Secondly, recurrence risks were analysed in relation to the proportion of first degree relatives affected by febrile seizures, excluding the index child. This proportion was called the crude proportion of relatives affected by febrile seizures and can be expressed as

$$N_{\text{affected}} / N_{\text{total}}$$

For example, the crude proportion would be 0.33 if a child had one unaffected sibling and two parents, one of whom was affected.

Finally, risks were analysed in relation to a weighted proportion. This weighted proportion adjusts for the lower probability of a positive seizure history in young siblings. Weights for relatives were estimated from the cumulative probability distribution of age of onset in children with febrile seizures in a population based study (fig 1) – for example, a boy 20 months of age was assigned a weight of 0.59. All parents and children of 6 years of age or older were assigned a weight of unity. The denominator of the weighted proportion constitutes the summed weights (W) of all first degree relatives ($n_i$), whereas the numerator constitutes the number of positive relatives ($N_{\text{affected}}$), as it is in the crude proportion. Thus the weighted proportion of relatives affected by febrile seizures can be expressed as

$$N_{\text{affected}} / \sum W_i = n_i W_i$$

A multivariate Cox proportional hazards model was used to examine the effect of family history, the crude proportion, and the weighted proportion of relatives affected by febrile seizures on the probability of the recurrence of febrile seizures, adjusting for other published risk factors for the recurrence of febrile seizures. These factors were age at onset (divided in three subgroups: <1, 1–2.5, and >2.5 years), seizure type (simple or multiple), and temperature at the time of the first seizure (more or less than 40°C). The effect of age on recurrence risk was analysed with the log rank test for trend.

Results

One hundred and fifteen children were included. Sixty five were of West European origin (mainly Dutch) and 50 were of non-West European origin (mainly Mediterranean and Caribbean). The mean age at the first febrile seizure was 1.7 years. The median follow up of children without recurrences was 2.1 years; 81 (70%) were followed for more than two years. Thirty six (31%) children had one recurrence and 18 had two recurrences; the two year risks for one and two recurrences were 31 and 16% respectively (fig 2). Table 1 gives the clinical characteristics of the 115 children with the number, percentage, and hazard ratios of children with recurrences. Recurrence risks were significantly increased in children with multiple initial seizures (hazard
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Seizure type

Feature (n=at onset of seizure)

Family history

European

22

22

Non-West

Female

71

23 (35)

Male

44

13 (27)

Origin of parents

West European

65

18 (27)

Non-West European

50

18 (37)

Seizure type

Multiple

29

14 (49)

Simple

86

22 (26)

Generalised

108

34 (32)

Focal

7

2 (29)

>15 minutes

16

8 (53)

<15 minutes

99

28 (26)

Temperature at onset

>40°C

59

13 (23)

≤40°C

56

23 (41)

Table 1 Recurrence risks in relation to clinical characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>No at risk (n=115)</th>
<th>No with seizure recurrence (%)</th>
<th>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at onset (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>11 (41)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.7 to 2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2.5</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>23 (32)</td>
<td>rec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2.5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2 (13)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.1 to 1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>23 (35)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.5 to 2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>13 (27)</td>
<td>rec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origin of parents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West European</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>18 (27)</td>
<td>rec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-West European</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>18 (37)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.7 to 2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seizure type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>14 (49)</td>
<td>2.3 (1.2 to 4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>22 (26)</td>
<td>rec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalised</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>34 (32)</td>
<td>rec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2 (29)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.2 to 3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;15 minutes</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8 (53)</td>
<td>2.3 (1.0 to 5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;15 minutes</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>28 (26)</td>
<td>rec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature at onset</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;40°C</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>13 (23)</td>
<td>rec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤40°C</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>23 (41)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.1 to 4.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Kaplan-Meier estimates (%) of two year cumulative incidence.
† Univariate hazard ratios with 95% CI compared with reference category (rec).

FAMILY HISTORY OF FEBRILE SEIZURES

A detailed febrile seizure history of 227 (total 230) parents and 121 (total 122) siblings could be obtained. Thirteen (6%) parents and 12 (10%) siblings had had a febrile seizure. Seventeen (2.8%) of 610 recorded second degree relatives and 12 (2.4%) of 493 recorded third degree relatives of children of West European origin had had a febrile seizure. In total, 24 second degree relatives and 20 cousins had had a febrile seizure.

Twenty one (18%) children had affected first degree relatives (table 2). Twenty five (22%) children had affected grandparents or uncles/aunts (second degree relatives) or cousins (part of third degree relatives). Risks of one and two recurrences in children with affected second degree relatives or cousins were similar to the risks of children without any affected relative. Risks of one recurrence in children with affected first degree relatives, however, were significantly increased (univariate hazard ratio 2.5; multivariate hazard ratio 3.2). Risks of two recurrences showed a similar result (table 2).

Recurrence risks were studied in relation to the presence of affected parents or the presence of affected siblings separately. Table 3 shows that the recurrence risk was increased from 28 to 62% when the child had an affected parent (hazard ratio 3.1; CI 1.4 to 6.7). When a sibling affected by febrile seizures was present the recurrence risk was increased from 29 to 55% (hazard ratio 2.4; CI 1.0 to 5.8).

Risks of two recurrences were increased from 14 to 31% (hazard ratio 2.7; CI 0.9 to 8.1) and from 15 to 27% (hazard ratio 2.2; CI 0.6 to 7.7) respectively. Thus the presence of parents affected by febrile seizures and of siblings affected by febrile seizures had similar effects on the recurrence risk.

Recurrence risks of West European and non-West European children were studied separately because the percentage of children with first degree relatives affected by febrile seizures in non-West European children (10%) was lower than in West European children (25%; p=0.04). In West European children recurrence risks were 19% in those without any affected first degree relatives and 50% in those with affected first degree relatives. In non-West European children, recurrence risks were 35 and 60% respectively. Univariate hazard ratios in children with affected first degree relatives were similar— that is, 2-8 (CI 1.1 to 7.1) in West European children and 3-5 (CI 0.9 to 11.6) in non-West European children.

CRUDE PROPORTION OF RELATIVES AFFECTED BY FEBRILE SEIZURES

Analysis of recurrences in relation to the crude proportion of affected relatives showed that the risk of one recurrence increased when the proportion increased (see fig 3 and table 4). The risk of two or more recurrences was only increased in children with a proportion greater than or equal to 0.5 (table 4), however. Univariate hazard ratios in this group were 6-3 for one and 5-2 for two recurrences respectively; multivariate hazard ratios were 6-8 and 5-7.

WEIGHTED PROPORTION OF RELATIVES AFFECTED BY FEBRILE SEIZURES

Finally, recurrence risks were analysed in relation to the weighted proportion of affected relatives, which is adjusted for the attained age and sex of the relatives (table 4). Although risks and hazard ratios were generally lower, the same pattern was observed as described in the crude proportion of relatives affected by febrile seizures.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to determine the value of a detailed family history for the prediction of the recurrence of febrile seizures. In
Table 2  Number of recurrences of febrile seizures, risks and hazard ratios in relation to family history of febrile seizure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family history of febrile seizures</th>
<th>No at risk</th>
<th>No with recurrence (risk%)</th>
<th>Hazard ratio (CI)†</th>
<th>No at risk</th>
<th>No with recurrence (risk%)</th>
<th>Hazard ratio (CI)†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Univariate</td>
<td>Multivariate</td>
<td>Univariate</td>
<td>Multivariate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>19 (27)</td>
<td>rc</td>
<td>rc</td>
<td>10 (15)</td>
<td>rc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second degree/cousins</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6 (24)</td>
<td>rc</td>
<td>rc</td>
<td>3 (12)</td>
<td>rc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First degree relatives</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>11 (52)</td>
<td>2-5 (1-2 to 5-1)</td>
<td>3-2 (1-6 to 6-6)</td>
<td>5 (23)</td>
<td>2-0 (0-7 to 5-5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>36 (31)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18 (16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Kaplan-Meier estimates (%) of two year cumulative incidence.
†Hazard ratios with 95% CI compared with reference category (rc).

This prospective follow up study the recurrence risks were studied in relation to the presence of relatives affected by febrile seizures among first, second, and a part of third degree relatives, and in relation to the proportion of relatives affected by febrile seizures.

Detailed family history data were obtained through interviews by phone. This method has been shown to be almost as accurate as direct interviews.20 Therefore we assume the accuracy of our interviews to be equivalent to that of a paediatrician’s or general practitioner’s interview. History data for febrile seizures of second degree relatives and cousins were obtained up to two years after the initial seizure in some children, possibly introducing some recall bias. In our experience, however, very little additional information on family history becomes available after seizure recurrences. Most family information is gathered by the parents after the occurrence of their child’s initial seizure. Thus the accuracy of data on the history of febrile seizures in first degree relatives will only slightly differ between children with and without recurrences.

Previous studies have shown that 90% of children’s first recurrences will occur within two years of the initial seizure.4-7 In this study 35 (97%) of 36 children had their first recurrence within two years. Seventy per cent of the children without recurrences were followed for more than two years.

Overall risks of one and two recurrences in this study were 31% and 15% and are similar to recurrence risks in earlier studies.3-7 A twofold increase in the risk of one recurrence in children with a first degree relative affected by febrile seizures was also found in other studies.1,5-7

In previous prospective clinic based studies 25% of all children with a first febrile seizure had a first degree relative affected by febrile seizures.6,21 In this study affected first degree relatives were present in 18% of cases. The percentage of children with affected first degree relatives in non-West European children (10%) was significantly lower than the percentage in West European children (25%). There is no reason to assume a lower incidence of febrile seizures in Mediterranean or Caribbean children than in West European children. More likely there has been underreporting of affected first degree relatives, possibly caused by reluctance to reveal the occurrence of febrile seizures to the investigator or by hampered access to parents living abroad. The presence of affected first degree relatives yields similar recurrence hazards in West European and in non-West European children, which is indicative of non-selective underreporting of relatives affected by febrile seizures.

No significant increase in febrile seizure recurrence was found in children with affected second degree relatives or cousins only; the history of febrile seizures in second degree relatives and cousins therefore appears to have little value in estimating a child’s risk of recurrence.

The crude proportion of affected relatives—that is, the proportion of first degree relatives affected by febrile seizures—yielded much more discrimination of recurrence risks of febrile seizures than common family history of febrile seizures. With the use of this crude proportion children with a sixfold increased risk of one and fivefold increased risk of two recurrences could be identified—that is, those children of whom 50% or more of the first degree relatives were affected. Risks of

Table 3  Recurrence risks in relation to febrile seizure history of relatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>No at risk (n=115)</th>
<th>No with recurrence (risk%)</th>
<th>Hazard ratio (CI)†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No of siblings with febrile seizures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>30 (29)</td>
<td>rc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6 (55)</td>
<td>2-4 (1-0 to 5-8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of parents with febrile seizures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>28 (28)</td>
<td>rc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8 (62)</td>
<td>3-1 (1-4 to 6-7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of grandparents and uncles/aunts with febrile seizures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>31 (32)</td>
<td>rc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5 (26)</td>
<td>0-8 (0-3 to 2-0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of cousins with febrile seizures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>31 (30)</td>
<td>rc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5 (38)</td>
<td>1-4 (0-5 to 3-5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Kaplan-Meier estimates (%) of two year cumulative incidence.
†Univariate hazard ratios with 95% CI compared with reference category (rc).

Figure 3  Probability of recurrence in relation to the proportion of relatives affected by febrile seizures.
two recurrences in these children were also significantly increased.

Although expected in theory, no improvement in the risk assessment of the recurrence of febrile seizures was achieved by use of the weighted proportion of affected relatives, with adjustment for the attained age and sex of the relatives. This may be due to the fact that two thirds (227 of 348) of first degree relatives were parents. Thus most relatives were no longer at risk of a febrile seizure at the initial seizure of the index children.

Both genetic and environmental mechanisms have been suggested for the susceptibility to an increased risk of the recurrence of febrile seizures.\(^5\)\(^ {15}\)\(^ {22-25}\) In our study recurrence risk for febrile seizures in children with affected siblings were similar to recurrence risks in children with affected parents. These findings support a mainly genetic mechanism because environmental risk factors would have induced a larger effect on the recurrence risk of siblings than of parents affected by febrile seizures. An autosomal dominant mode of transmission can be assumed in children with proportion values of 0.5 or more. Rich et al postulated an autosomal dominant mode of inheritance in children with frequent recurrences.\(^26\)

We conclude that a first degree family history is of major importance in the assessment of the recurrence risk for febrile seizures; second and third degree family histories appear to be of minor importance. The proportion of affected first degree relatives yields the highest differentiation of the recurrence risk for febrile seizures. This proportion of relatives affected by febrile seizures may prove a useful tool to assess the recurrence risk of febrile seizures in daily paediatric practice because of the simple assessment and the uncomplicated calculation of the proportion.
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**Table 4 Recurrences risks for febrile seizures according to the proportion and the weighted proportion of first degree relatives affected by febrile seizures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proportion</th>
<th>No at risk</th>
<th>No (risk*)</th>
<th>Hazard ratio (CD)†</th>
<th>Hazard ratio (CD)†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Univariate</td>
<td>Multivariate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>25 (27)</td>
<td>rc</td>
<td>rc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0&lt;proportion&lt;0.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6 (60)</td>
<td>1-7 (0.7 to 4-1)</td>
<td>2-2 (0.9 to 5-6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5 (83)</td>
<td>6-3 (2-4 to 16-8)</td>
<td>6-8 (2-4 to 19-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>25 (27)</td>
<td>rc</td>
<td>rc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0&lt;proportion&lt;0.5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5 (58)</td>
<td>1-7 (0-6 to 4-4)</td>
<td>2-2 (0-8 to 6-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6 (75)</td>
<td>4-4 (1-8 to 10-8)</td>
<td>5-1 (2-0 to 12-8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>36 (31)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Kaplan-Meier estimates (%) of two year cumulative incidence.‡Hazard ratios with 95% CI compared with reference category (rc).