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Abstract
The metacarpal index (MCI) in 54 children with constitutional tall stature was mean (SD) 8·65 (0·8) and in 55 with Marfan’s syndrome 9·15 (0·9). Indices in both groups showed arachnodactyly and differed from those found in normal individuals (<7·9). Because the MCI is a poor discriminator patients with tall stature or clinical signs of arachnodactyly should be examined for additional signs of Marfan’s syndrome or other hereditary disorders of connective tissue.

(Arch Dis Child 1994; 70: 149–150)

Marfan’s syndrome is an autosomal inherited disorder of the connective tissue. Stigmata of Marfan’s syndrome are associated with the skeleton, eyes, and cardiovascular system.1 A number of signs and tests have been described to help establish skeletal abnormalities in patients with this syndrome. Sinclair et al introduced the metacarpal index (MCI) based on the fact that bones of the extremities in patients with Marfan’s syndrome tend to be longer and thinner than normal.2 The index represents the ratio of the mean length to the mean width of the second to fifth metacarpal bones, measured at their midpoints, visualised by radiography. In healthy individuals the index is less than 7·9. Arachnodactyly is defined as an index greater than 8·5.3,4 Typical features of arachnodactyly have been reported to occur in association with osteogenesis imperfecta, dystrophy myotonica, homocystinuria and Ehlers-Danlos syndrome, but no data exist in patients with constitutional tall stature.

The aim of our study was to compare the MCI of children with Marfan’s syndrome and constitutional tall stature and to find out whether this index discriminates between the two patient groups and verifies the clinical impression of arachnodactyly in children with constitutional tall stature.

Patients and methods
PATIENTS
Fifty four children (22 girls and 32 boys) with constitutional tall stature (age 13-5 (2-0) years; range 7-3–16-2) and 55 children (35 girls and 20 boys) with Marfan’s syndrome (age 10-7 (4-0) years; range 2-3–19-7) were examined for radiographic signs of arachnodactyly.

METHODS
In a posterior-anterior radiograph of the left hand of all patients the MCI was evaluated as the ratio of the mean axial length of the second, third, fourth, and fifth metacarpals to the width of the same metacarpals at their midpoints. An index of greater than 8·5 was considered as abnormal and indicated arachnodactyly.3 A diagnosis of constitutional tall stature was established when the length of the children was above the 97% centile for age and other causes were ruled out. Patients with signs of other disorders (that is hyperthyroidism, chromosome aberration, dysmorphic syndromes) were excluded from the study.

Both groups were carefully examined for signs of Marfan’s syndrome. The diagnostic work up in patients with suspected Marfan’s syndrome included clinical and anthropometrical examination, family history, one and two dimensional echocardiography, and slit lamp examination.1

STATISTICAL ANALYSES
Data are presented as mean SD. Statistical comparisons were made by unpaired t test. Correlation coefficients (r) were calculated using Stat View on a Macintosh personal computer.

Results
The MCI in the group with constitutional tall stature was 8·65 (0·8) (range 6·87–10·58) and in children with Marfan’s syndrome 9·15 (0·9) (range 7·5–11·4), showing a significant difference (p<0·003; see figure). An index greater than 8·5 was found in 56% of patients with the
Comparisons of mean (SD) MCI in patients with constitutional tall stature and Marfan’s syndrome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Constitutional tall stature (n=54)</th>
<th>Marfan’s syndrome (n=55)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>8.65 (0.8)</td>
<td>9.15 (0.9)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>8.97 (0.7)</td>
<td>9.29 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>8.42 (0.8)</td>
<td>8.90 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.003.

constitutional tall stature and in 79% of the patients with Marfan’s syndrome. In patients with Marfan’s syndrome the MCI tended to increase with age (r=0.47), whereas the MCI of children with constitutional tall stature was age independent (r=0.07).

Girls of both groups exhibited a higher MCI (9.17 (0.8); range 7.5–11.4) than boys (8.61 (0.8); range 6.9–11.1; p<0.001). Furthermore, in girls with constitutional tall stature the MCI (8.97 (0.7); range 7.75–10.6) was higher than in boys (8.42 (0.8); range 6.9–9.9; p<0.01); in comparison the MCI in the group with Marfan’s syndrome was not sex dependent (see table).

Discussion

Our study shows that the clinical impression of arachnodactyly in children with constitutional tall stature corresponds to a greater MCI. A significant difference of the indices between patients with Marfan’s syndrome and those with constitutional tall stature was found, but indices in both groups were higher than normal.

Sinclair et al examined 20 adult patients with Marfan’s syndrome and compared them with 100 healthy adults: they reported a greater MCI in those with Marfan’s syndrome.2 However, the validity of the test was questioned by other authors.3 Joseph and Meadow first applied the MCI to children and found out that it can be used for diagnosis of arachnodactyly in children with Marfan’s syndrome.5

A significantly higher MCI in girls compared with boys was found by Joseph and Meadow and Parish,5 6 presumably caused by earlier skeletal maturation in girls. In our study there was a significant difference between the MCI of boys and girls of both patient groups: this sex difference of the MCI was also observed in children with constitutional tall stature, but not in children with Marfan’s syndrome. The MCI of girls with Marfan’s syndrome correlates with chronological age; this correlation was not found in the other groups. However, it remains speculative as to whether earlier onset of pubertal development and skeletal maturation in girls contribute to a higher MCI. As we performed a retrospective study, the patient groups were not age and sex matched and no data on bone age and stage of sexual development were available for analysis. Future investigations have to focus on the relation of bone age rather than chronological age and stage of puberty to the MCI in order to establish age and sex dependency of the MCI. The diagnosis of Marfan’s syndrome is complicated by phenotypic pleiotropy and variability resulting in clinical heterogeneity. Neither a clinical test nor a biochemical test is available to establish the diagnosis of Marfan’s syndrome. Diagnosis and management has to consider the variable spectrum of cardiac, orthopaedic, and anthropometric aspects and requires positive findings in at least two of the four criteria.1 Arachnodactyly is only a minor sign of Marfan’s syndrome. The radiographic examination does not improve the diagnostic management. Simple clinical manoeuvres such as the thumb sign or wrist sign4 are helpful to assess arachnodactyly.

This study supports the clinical observation that arachnodactyly is a common feature in patients with constitutional tall stature. Even though a significant difference in MCI of patients with Marfan’s syndrome and constitutional tall stature was found, a considerable overlap exists, making the MCI a poor discriminator between these two patient groups.

Therefore, patients with tall stature and the clinical aspect of arachnodactyly should be carefully examined for signs of Marfan’s syndrome or other hereditary disorders of the connective tissue.