tracheal aspirate was sensitive to penicillin, erythromycin, and cefotaxime. Despite aggressive intensive care and adequate antimicrobial antibiotic treatment, toxin release may occur late in the illness and have a fatal outcome.

J EASON
Royal Alexandra Hospital, for Sick Children, Duke Road, Birmingham B11 2YN

I LEWIS
Crawley Hospital, West Green Drive, Crawley, West Sussex RH11 7DH

Gastrointestinal complications associated with dexamethasone treatment

SIR,—While the recent reports of gastrointestinal complications in preterm babies receiving dexamethasone for bronchopulmonary dysplasia emphasize the need to observe these babies with even greater vigilance,1 2 it is worrying to note that perforations can also occur silently and escape clinical recognition. Unlike most of the babies described in these reports, who rapidly became unwell and required vigorous resuscitation, we recently had a case who developed duodenal perforation eight days after dexamethasone treatment for chronic ventilatory dependency and yet the abdominal signs evolved insidiously over two days with remarkably little respiratory, haemodynamic, or metabolic embarrassment to the baby and the presence of free air in the peritoneum was detected only after a routine radiograph.

It is possible that this baby tolerated the perforation better because she was being ventilated, albeit with low rates and inspiratory pressure, and was therefore better able to cope with the splinting of the diaphragm by the pneumoperitoneum. At the same time, it is also possible that dexamethasone modified the abdominal signs, as has been described in adults.3 4 These observations merit attention especially as a number of studies designed to look into the efficacy of dexamethasone in neonatal respiratory distress syndrome are already on the horizon. We also feel that while the temporal relation between dexamethasone treatment in the above cases was more than coincidental, there are many other factors present in this subset of population known to predispose to gut ischaemia and perforations, which should be included in the analysis while calculating the benefit:risk ratio of steroid treatment.

HEATHER SMITH
SUNIL SINHA
Neonatal Unit, South Cleveland Hospital, Marton Road, Middlesbrough, Cleveland TS4 3HW

Misuse of the English language

SIR,—I have just perused your September issue, and with tongue only slightly in cheek, write to enlist your help in a campaign similar to our joint efforts to stamp out duplicate publication. I refer to the defence of our language, which we colonials inherited from you several centuries ago.

Although I really appreciated the papers on heart-lung transplantation, which seem to have been written more honestly than most articles on transplantation on this side of the Atlantic, I was distressed to note that every writer referred to the 'transplanted children', rather than 'the children with transplants'. As I am fond of saying, organs, not children, are transplanted. This error is being perpetuated in many journals; I hope that you will help us correct it.

My second concern has to do with the misuse of the word 'regime' when 'regimen' is intended. I found this at least twice in your September issue.

I often comment to our junior faculty members that the British are to be admired for their succinct style in writing for medical journals. Thus, I hope that you will join us as we stand, like Horatio, fighting overwhelming odds in the defence of our difficult language.

JOSEPH M GARFUNKEL
Journal of Pediatrics, CB No 7230, Medical School Wing C, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC27599-7230, USA

The technical editor comments:
Point(s) taken!

Sister journals

SIR,—In some quarters it will be seen as worthy of a good giggle, in others disingenuous of David Mellor to confess that his school French 'has not really been kept fresh...from occasional holidays in French gites...' and at the same time to complain of examples of poor translations (into English) of titles and summaries in Archives Françaises de Pédiatrie,5 and then to continue with 'Clearly (that journal’s) parochialism must be largely to do with the difficulty non-Francophones have in writing in that language'. As a fervent francophile and part-time resident in France, the parochialism does seem to be on the other foot when he suggests that all the other 'European medical journals should be encouraged to become fully bilingual (that is, national language plus English)? (my italics and exclamation mark).

He is right to say that highly skilled medical translators will be in great demand, as non-medical linguists are notoriously unreliable in the language of doctors. As one who has a vested interest in recreating the entente cordiale, however, and who sympathises with the widespread French resentment at British arrogance in insisting on our own language, I do hope colleagues will become more sensitive in their Archival writings by 1992!

Did Dr Mellor notice the full page advertisement for the Organ der Deutschen Gesellschaft für Kinderheilkunde, entirely in German, on the page immediately after his piece? Gott in...!

JOHN DOBBING
University of Manchester, Department of Child Health, The Medical School, Stopford Building, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PT


Dr Mellor comments:
La plume du professeur est plus puissante que l’épée.