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Fluids available for intravenous volume replacement may be either crystalloid or colloid. The fundamental differences between these fluids are their effects on the Starling equation (table 1) which describes fluid flux between the intravascular and interstitial spaces. Starling stated that the rate of fluid movement into or out of a capillary is related to the net hydrostatic pressure minus the net colloid osmotic pressure. The Starling equation has been modified to include coefficients which represent the permeability of the capillary membrane to small solutes ($K_0$) and its ability to prevent large molecules such as plasma proteins from crossing it ($\alpha_c$). Colloids may be used to replenish the oncotic strength of the blood, thereby enhancing its water retaining capacity.

**Crystallloid versus colloid controversy**

Colloids are widely used in Europe for volume replacement, while crystalloids are the fluids of choice in many centres in the USA, but the relative merits of the two methods of management remain controversial.

Workers in favour of colloids insist that the intravascular colloid osmotic pressure must be kept either above the capillary hydrostatic pressure or at least greater than 10 mm Hg in critically ill patients to avoid a poor prognosis. Proponents of crystalloids maintain that colloids leak out of the capillaries, increasing interstitial colloid osmotic pressure which has a detrimental effect by increasing fluid flux out of the capillary. This is more likely to occur if $\alpha_c$ is reduced, as happens after burns, severe sepsis, and cardiopulmonary bypass.

Colloid solutions expand the intravascular space more effectively than crystalloids, with the same increase in cardiac output being achieved by smaller volumes and with less haemodilution. The crystalloid proponents argue that the interstitial space is depleted in conditions of hypovolaemia because of fluid shift into intravascular and intracellular compartments.

The interstitial space fills more readily after crystalloid resuscitation. Because of this, the volume of fluid required is two to three times greater than when using colloids, resulting in an increased risk of tissue oedema. Sponsors of the crystalloid school maintain that this is not harmful despite the fact that tissue oedema has been associated with tissue hypoxia and has been implicated in delayed healing of bowel anastomoses. Despite the increased volumes required, crystalloid resuscitation is cheaper than the colloid equivalent (table 2).

Velanovich analysed the mortality data from a number of clinical trials and concluded that after trauma, or in instances when the capillaries are likely to have increased permeability, resuscitation is best achieved with crystalloids. In other circumstances—such as during major elective surgery—mortality rates may be reduced by using colloids.

The most appropriate resuscitation regimens undoubtedly involve the use of both crystalloids and colloids. Criteria for volume administration include tachycardia, hypotension, low filling pressures, reduced urine output, metabolic acidosis and increasing core-peripheral temperature gradient, although it should be remembered that a child can maintain a normal heart rate and systemic blood pressure despite a 25% loss of circulating volume. Volume administration should not be based on reflex prescribing—'He looks volume depleted, therefore give 10 ml/kg of plasma'. An individual patient’s fluid requirements should be based on the aetiology of the volume depletion, and the most appropriate fluids should be used in adequate volumes.

**Intravenous fluids available**

**CRYSTALLOIDS**

(1) Dextrose

Because dextrose is rapidly metabolised after intravenous administration, 5% or 10% dextrose solutions act as free water, quickly equilibrating across the cell membranes with no osmotic gradient being generated. However, because of the insensible losses of fluid attributable to fluid containing 5% or 10% dextrose, it is essential to replace the physiological losses to maintain euolaemias. Small volumes of 5% or 10% dextrose solution may be used as a 'stopgap' fluid, particularly for anaphylaxis or other circumstances requiring a rapid increase in volume of distribution, e.g. septic shock and cardiogenic shock.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fluid</th>
<th>Cost in £ per 500 ml</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.5% Albumin</td>
<td>33.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20% Albumin (100 ml)</td>
<td>(37.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hespan</td>
<td>16.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentaspan</td>
<td>15.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelofusine</td>
<td>3.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemaccel</td>
<td>3.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheomacrodex</td>
<td>6.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrodex</td>
<td>4.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal saline</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartmann’s solution</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1 Starling equation**

| $J_c = K_0 [(P_c - P_t) - \alpha_c (\pi_c - \pi_t)]$ |
| Where $J_c =$ rate of fluid movement into or out of capillary |
| $K_0 =$ capillary filtration coefficient |
| $P_c =$ capillary hydrostatic pressure |
| $P_t =$ tissue fluid hydrostatic pressure |
| $\alpha_c =$ reflection coefficient |
| $\pi_c =$ capillary colloid osmotic pressure |
| $\pi_t =$ tissue colloid osmotic pressure |

**Table 2 Cost of volume replacement by various agents**

*Data for prices of intravenous fluids as at April 22, 1992.*
between the intracellular and extracellular fluid compartments. For every 100 ml infused, only 7-5 ml will remain in the intravascular space for a useful period of time, so dextrose solutions are inappropriate for intravascular fluid resuscitation.

(2) Isotonic crystalloid solutions

Isotonic crystalloids (for example, normal saline and Hartmann’s solution) equilibrate rapidly throughout both the interstitial and intravascular spaces, so approximately one quarter of the administered volume will remain within the intravascular space.

(3) Hypertonic saline solutions

These have shown a resurgence of popularity. Small volumes of 7-5% saline have successfully maintained the circulation after hypovolaemic shock. Their role in paediatrics has not yet been assessed, and hypertonic saline may prove inappropriate for neonates with immature sodium handling.

COLLOID SOLUTIONS

Colloid solutions, both natural (for example, human albumin solutions) and the synthetic macromolecules (for example, the gelatins, hydroxyethyl starches, and dextran), theoretically remain within the intravascular space. Thus, volume for volume, they provide a greater and more sustained haemodynamic response than crystalloids. In the UK, standard paediatric practice is to use natural colloids for resuscitation. Although synthetic colloids are used sporadically, there has been a reluctance to use them routinely because of a lack of clinical trials concerning their use in children.

BASIC STRUCTURE OF COLLOIDS

The chemical basis and source of the clinically important colloids are shown in table 3, and table 4 summarises the pharmacology. The two molecular weights quoted for synthetic colloids are defined in table 5. The weight average molecular weight (Mw) determines the viscosity, while the number average molecular weight (Mn) gives an indication of the osmotic pressure exerted by the fluid. Albumin is monodisperse—that is, all of the molecules within a solution are the same size and both Mw and Mn are 69 000. All of the synthetic colloids are polydisperse, and have different values for Mw and Mn (table 4).

PHARMACOLOGY OF INDIVIDUAL COLLOIDS

Natural colloids

(A) Fresh frozen plasma—fresh frozen plasma is extracted from donated blood and because it is unpasteurised it has the potential to transmit blood borne infections. The Consensus Conference held at the National Institutes of Health has laid down strict guidelines for the administration of fresh frozen plasma, concluding that there is no justification for its use as a volume expander.

(B) Albumin—Human albumin solution is derived from donated blood by fractionation and/or plasmapheresis. It is produced as a 4-5% solution (iso-oncotic with plasma) or as more concentrated (hyperoncotic) 10% or 20% solutions of ‘salt poor’ albumin. Although freely donated, the processing of human albumin is expensive (table 2).

Synthetic colloids

(A) Gelatins—The gelatins tend to be considered as a homogeneous group but, because of different manufacturing processes, the individual solutions have differing properties, particularly in the incidence of adverse reactions. The new
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Intravascular gelatins are widely used within Europe. In the UK the two most commonly used solutions are: (1) Gelofusine (B Braun) which is a 'modified fluid' or succinylated gelatin and (2) Haemaccel (Hoechst) which is a polyethylene, or urea-linked gelatin. For intravascular volume expansion, they produce an effect which is almost equivalent to albumin, with a duration of action of three to four hours. In vitro evidence suggests that in conditions associated with capillary leak, Gelofusine may be more efficacious than albumin.23

(B) Hydroxyethyl starches (HES)—HES are derived from amylopectin which is stabilised by hydroxyethylolation to prevent rapid hydrolysis by amylase. The HES solutions show a discrepancy between $M_w$ and $M_c$ (Table 4) because they contain molecules with a wide range of molecular weights, the smallest of which will be rapidly excreted by the kidneys, with the largest being taken up by macrophages of the reticuloendothelial system. The greater the degree of substitution by hydroxyethyl groups, the more resistant the molecule is to breakdown by amylase and, therefore, the longer the survival within the body and the circulation.

Of the available HES solutions, the highest degree of substitution (seven hydroxyethyl groups per 10 of glucose) is seen in hetastarch (Hespan, Du Pont). Its intravascular effect may last up to 24 hours and its survival within the body is between two and more than 60 days.18 24 25

Pentastarch (Pentaspan, Du Pont) has recently received a product licence in the UK. It has five groups per 10 of glucose. Although it still contains a large range of molecular sizes, it does not include molecules with a weight greater than a million daltons. This gives the compound the advantage of a shorter persistence within the body, but with a similar efficacy to hetastarch.26 27

By diafiltering pentastarch, all of the molecules with a $M_w$ of less than 100 000 daltons can be removed. This fluid (Pentafraction) is not commercially available, but preliminary animal work has suggested that its use may be associated with a reduction in capillary leak.5 28 29

(C) Dextran—Because of their high incidence of adverse effects, the dextrans are inappropriate for volume expansion in paediatrics. The two most commonly encountered dextrans are dextran 40 (for example, Rheomacrodex, Pharmacia) and dextran 70 (for example Macrodex, Pharmacia), the number representing the $M_w$.

ADVERSE EFFECTS OF COLLOIDS

(1) Anaphylactoid reactions

These have been reported with both natural and synthetic colloids. The reactions may be mild/moderate or severe as classified by Ring and Messmer,32 and the precise causes of the reactions remain unclear. Release of histamine by the gelatins has been suggested: firstly, because the incidence is higher with urea linked rather than succinylated gelatins (0·1% and 0·05% respectively) and the former has been associated with free di-isocyanate, which causes histamine release; and secondly, the incidence of allergic reactions can be reduced by pretreatment with $H_1$ and $H_2$ blockers.33

The overall incidence of anaphylactoid reactions to HES is quoted at 0·08%, the majority of which are mild, although severe reactions have been reported.34

The dextrans elicit the worst reactions, both in incidence and severity. These are mediated by dextran reactive antibodies which trigger the release of vasoactive mediators,35 and can be reduced by pretreatment with a hapten. The incidence of cardiac arrest associated with the dextrans, together with their adverse effects on haemostasis and interference with the cross matching of blood are the main factors in their unpopularity.

(2) Coagulation effects

Dilutional effects are seen with all the colloids (except fresh frozen plasma) but the polysaccharides have been associated with abnormalities of haemostasis which are more than simply dilutional. In particular, factor VIII concentrations may be appreciably reduced.36 Although in vitro tests may be altered by HES, the effects are rarely of clinical significance.37-39 unless massive volumes are infused.39 The coagulation effects of the dextrans are more pronounced, and the dextrans are therefore used to reduce the incidence of postoperative venous thrombosis and fatal pulmonary embolism.40 As these complications are exceedingly rare in general paediatric surgery, they do not provide an indication for the use of dextrans in infants and children, although dextran 40 is used in the postoperative period after orthotopic liver transplantation in an attempt to reduce thrombotic complications in the anastomosed vessels.41

(3) Risks of infection

The albumin solutions used in the UK are prepared from donated blood which is screened for antibodies to certain blood borne diseases. Because recently infected donors may carry a virus against which antibodies may not yet have been raised, the potential for infection (for example, with HIV or the hepatitis viruses) remains should the pasteurisation process fail.

(4) Interference with laboratory investigations

The polysaccharides may interfere with cross matching reactions and estimations of the erythrocyte sedimentation rate by 'coating' the red cells and causing their aggregation. The effects of HES can be reversed by washing the cells with saline. The effects of dextran are long lasting, which is a major disadvantage in patients requiring blood after dextran administration.

The dextrans have caused false positive glucose analysis results,42 and, together with Haemaccel, may interfere with the biuret determination of serum total protein.43 The gelatins
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There is a wealth of literature describing the use of synthetic colloids—colloidal resuscitation: a continuing controversy. Drug Intelligence and Clinical Pharmacy 1984;18:202-14.


Conclusions

Although there are certain indications for natural colloids—for example, after certain open heart operations when massive colloid infusion may be required, synthetic colloids could often be given in their place. Because of their safety, the gelatins (particularly the modified fluid gelatins) are the most appropriate choice but there are situations when the superior plasma expansion of hydroxyethyl starches may be required. Although studies with synthetic colloids in adult patients may be extrapolated to the paediatric population, there remains a need for an evaluation of synthetic colloids in paediatric practice.
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