LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Providing medical care for children

Sir,—David Hull has produced a masterly overview of the problems facing the medical services for children in this country.1 Throughout his article he clearly promotes the principles of partnership with parents and cooperation between professionals involved in the care of children who underpin the Children Act 1989. We are therefore concerned that his comments concerning the concept of 'children in need' as found in the Children Act might lead to some misunderstanding among paediatricians. The act states 'a child shall be taken to be in need if: (a) he is unlikely to achieve or maintain . . . a reasonable standard of health or development without the provision for him of services by a local authority, (b) his health or development is likely to be significantly impaired . . . without such services OR (not and) (c) he is disabled'.

Thus all disabled children are by definition children in need within the terms of the act, whatever the ability of their parents and entitled to the services provided under part III and schedule 2. All will be eligible for inclusion on the register of disabled children that the local authority will be obliged to set up.

Assessment of all children in need will turn on the child’s health, development or disability, and need for services and not on a professional judgment of their parents’ abilities, although in many cases they may be a factor. One of the many challenges of the Children Act will be to avoid stigmatisation of families with a child in need who use local authority services. Paediatricians and other child care professionals, if they are effectively to help local authorities identify children in need and encourage the use of services, must have a clear understanding of the concepts within the Children Act. This understanding will also empower professionals in partnership with parents to campaign for the resources local authorities will need if they are to fulfil successfully their obligations under the act to children in need.

MARGARET A LYNCH
Newcomen Centre, Guy’s Hospital
London SE1 9RT

RICHARD WHITE
Solictor, 42 High Street,
Croydon CR0 1YB


Life threatening ‘epilepsy’

Sir,—In an earlier issue of the journal, Drs Brown and Godman reported a 5 year old girl with spells of unconsciousness which later proved to be caused by ventricular tachycardia and fibrillation.1 Confusion in diagnosis occurred at first, leading to the presumption of epilepsy as the cause of the ‘spells’. This misdiagnosis was based on several medical history items: (i) possible frontal distress preceding the child’s birth, (ii) questionable delayed motor development and hypotonia, and (iii) a family history of a cousin with spells caused by ketotic hypoglycaemia. These historical factors suggested that the patient’s spells were likely epileptiform. Later complete cardiological evaluation proved that the loss of consciousness was not epileptiform, but rather cardiogenic in origin.

I would like to illustrate further the diagnostic difficulty which can be encountered with long Q-T syndrome in paediatric patients. I cared for an adolescent girl with spells. When she came to see me she had been tentatively diagnosed as having epilepsy and was already receiving phenytoin for that diagnosis. Her spells disappeared during phenytoin treatment. For a variety of reasons I chose to wean and then discontinue the phenytoin. After this had been completed the girl began having more spells of loss of consciousness. At that point I obtained an electrocardiogram (ECG) which demonstrated a long Q-T interval. Holter monitoring confirmed ventricular ectopy, consistent with the diagnosis of long Q-T syndrome.

Presumably phenytoin, a class 1b antiarhythmic agent, and the epileptogenic agent, was therapeutic for the patient’s problems, despite the fact that the diagnosis under consideration was in error.

The vast number of spells in the paediatric age group are probably benign and due to either breath holding in infancy or situational causes of syncope or epilepsy. Despite this, the relatively rare child with a cardiac conduction defect accounting for her spells certainly exists and her spells are certainly life threatening. Their diagnosis often depends on the consultant first seeing them. If the child described above had first seen a paediatric cardiologist, I am quite sure her long Q-T syndrome would have been promptly diagnosed. On the other hand, as a paediatric neurologist first seeing her, I reasonably first considered a diagnosis of seizure disorder.

I do not agree with Garson who in effect states that all new presumed epilepsy patients should have an ECG because of the risk of the spell being of cardiac origin.2 In fact, I do not think that every new presumed epilepsy patient needs an ECG. Patients presenting with spells are best benefited by the physician thoughtfully considering the broad diagnostic differential of the common paediatric complaint of spells, whether they be due to neurological, cardiac, psychiatric, or metabolic causes.

Neither the patient of Brown and Godman nor my patient was harmed by delayed diagnosis. I wish only to add yet one more confounding factor which may possibly be encountered in the care of children with spells.

ROBERT C WOODY
PO Box 502,
Johns Hopkins University,
School of Hygiene and Public Health,
605 N Wolfe Street,
Baltimore, MD 21205,
USA


Accidents on hospital wards

Sir,—Levene and Bonfield have emphasised the problem of accidents occurring to children on hospital wards and have also demonstrated how information, which previously had been collected solely for medicolegal purposes, could be used for preventative work.3 A similar case could be made for studying accidents to children in health centres and baby clinics.

By inspecting incident log books maintained by our community unit, it was discovered that for the period 1989-90 inclusive, 17 preschool children in this district were reported as having had accidents while on community health premises.

The commonest reported incident was head injury sustained as a result of a fall; four infants fell from changing mats during weighing sessions and 10 children fell while playing in waiting rooms. Two children had hand injuries due to trapped fingers in swinging doors and one child ingested the boric acid crystals contained in a urine container.

Although none of these incidents resulted in serious injury, the potential for more serious outcome was present. In particular, the importance of injuries to the head as a major cause of childhood mortality and morbidity should be remembered.4 In retrospect many of the accidents could have been avoided by greater supervision and some modification of clinical premises. In some respects, health centres may be more hazardous to children than hospital wards as they are busy places and supervision of young children is not easy especially in overcrowded waiting rooms. Nevertheless, after our investigation we have endeavoured to increase awareness of child safety among clinic staff and have urged particular caution with regard to the supervision of babies while they are on changing mats.

Child accident prevention should be a key issue for every health authority. By ensuring that children are as safe as is reasonably possible while on health authority premises, we are setting a good example for parents and ensuring that opportunities for health promotion are not missed.

In addition, it should be remembered that children also have accidents on premises maintained by social services, local education authorities, and borough councils. Perhaps collation of accident data kept by these authorities with appropriate intervention should also form part of every district’s child accident prevention strategy.

M J BANNON
Child Accident Prevention Group,
North Staffordshire Health Authority,
79 London Road,
Stoke-on-Trent ST4 7PZ


3 Hazard from the exposed terminals of a battery driven transport incubator

Sir,—In common with most regional intensive care units we offer a retrieval service, collecting...