
Dr Rascher and Linderkamp comment:
Dr Anthony and Professor Levene have raised important questions concerning the blood volume method used in our study. They point to the following possible pitfalls: (1) the method of red cell mass measurement may not be reliable. We used a method described by Phillips et al (that is, dilution of fetal haemoglobin by transfused adult red blood cells). 1 Phillips et al report a maximum coefficient of variation of less than 3–6%. In 20 double measurements of fetal haemoglobin we found a mean variability (V = [A–B]–[A–B]2/3 of 2% and it may have caused mean error of a single red cell mass determination of 7%. This is in the range of other red cell mass methods. 3 The variability of fetal haemoglobin measurement and thus the errors caused by differences in the ratio of fetal to adult haemoglobin. (2) The microprobe packed cell volume has to be corrected for trapped plasma. Trapped plasma is about 2% for neonatal and adult red cells 4 and can thus be neglected. (3) The whole body packed cell volume differs from the venous (central) packed cell volume. In adults, the body venous packed cell volume ratio is about 0.97. In infants, neonatal ratios of 0.97–0.99 2 have been reported, and in severely ill preterm infants ratios of 0.82 to 0.89 have been determined. 5 The use of a mean body venous packed cell volume ratio derived from another study merely changes the blood volumes by a constant factor. The maximum error for blood volume calculation from red cell mass and packed cell volume using a constant of 0.97 will be about 3% in infants and 4%.

As plasma volume measurements may be associated with an error of 6%, a double label technique using a red cell and a plasma indicator does not appreciably increase the reliability of whole blood volume estimation. On the other hand, we agree with Dr Anthony and Professor Levene that the use of a plasma protein label might be more reliable for plasma volume measurement. However, determination of plasma volume was not the primary purpose of our study. Moreover, Evans blue is no longer commercially available and radioactively labelled proteins are not used any more in newborn infants for ethical reasons.

We conclude that the fetal haemoglobin dilution technique is a reliable method for red cell mass measurement and whole blood volume estimation. As blood volume did not change during transfusion, the increase in red cell mass was certainly associated with a decrease in plasma volume. A simple measurement of a change in packed cell volume would not have been as informative as the estimation of blood volume from red cell mass and packed cell volume.


Non-invasive assessment of pulmonary arterial pressure in healthy neonates
Sir—We read with interest the study by Skinner et al. 1 We would however, take issue with the statement that we used in our study to assess pulmonary arterial pressure, 2 the inverse relationship with the ratio of the time to peak velocity (TPV) of pulmonary arterial ejection and tricuspid regurgitation (TPV:RVET), is less suitable for study of the newborn. The advantage of TPV:RVET ratio as a means of studying physiological changes is that we obtained quantitative data in 100% of cases with serially measured tricuspid regurgitation, Dr Skinner et al obtained quantitative data in only 22% of term studies and 45% of preterm studies.

Our data suggests that pulmonary artery pressure falls significantly more slowly in healthy preterm than in term infants. 3 Dr Skinner et al did not find this difference and suggested that factors other than pulmonary arterial pressure which can affect TPV:RVET might have influenced our results. We do not believe that to be the case for the following reasons, taking each of these factors in turn. Firstly, that the positioning of the pulsed Doppler sample is critical. We used a constant site in the centre of the arterial duct to the pulmonary valve, not technically difficult in early life. Secondly, myocardial function, which if poor will prolong TPV. All infants in the study referred to were healthy and had good myocardial function. Any reduction in contractility resulting from lower gestational age would cause the difference which we observed to decline in the first week, and to be an underestimate. Thirdly, tricuspid regurgitation, here Dr Skinner et al have misread the reference cited. Kitabatake et al could find no statistically significant effect of tricuspid regurgitation on the relationship between TPV and pulmonary artery pressure. 4 Finally, heart rate, which will slightly reduce TPV:RVET as it increases. 5

The term babies in our study had a mean heart rate of 129 compared with 143 in those preterm. From the regression analysis provided by the data of Akiba et al, 6 this difference would cause a change in TPV:RVET of 0.005, not likely to affect the significance of our data. For example, between 25 and 36 hours after birth mean TPV:RVET was 0.36 in the term infants compared with 0.31 in the preterm. In addition the difference in heart rate between the two groups remained constant through the study period while the differences in TPV:RVET did not.

Tricuspid regurgitation is a good method for estimating pulmonary arterial pressure, so why did Skinner et al not find the same differences? While lack of longitudinal data may be part of the problem, the important information missing from this paper is the distribution of gestation within the wide range quoted (28 to 35 weeks) and even more importantly the gestation of the seven infants in whom longitudinal quantitative analysis was possible. Healthy infants of less than 31 weeks are common, this tends to bias the selection of infants in this type of study towards higher gestations. Thirty seven percent of the preterm infants in our study were of less than 28 weeks' gestation. The reason why we were able to demonstrate a difference, it is possible to develop methodologies for non-invasively assessing pulmonary arterial pressure. Like any Doppler method, there are limitations. Within the practice of paediatric cardiology, where both methods were developed and validated, tricuspid regurgitation has advantages particularly in children with large intracardiac left to right shunts. However, we would argue that a method which allows data collection in only a minority of normal subjects may not be the best for describing physiological changes.
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Dr Skinner et al comment:
We thank Drs Evans and Archer for their comments. There are now three Doppler techniques for assessing neonatal pulmonary arterial pressure: the TPV:RVET ratio, regurgitant tricuspid flow, and the analysis of ducial flow. 1 The TPV:RVET ratio can be measured serially in all infants, but is as accurate a measure as that provided by tricuspid regurgitation, when present and measurable. 2,3 TPV:RVET ratio gives a measure of pulmonary arterial pressure only and it is valid to compare subjects of different size, absolute values can be obtained by imposing Evans' 4 corrected (on Kitabatake's) regression from adult data which correlates TPV:RVET with pulmonary arterial pressure. However, Evans' 4 ratio of 0.21 in preterm infants at six hours implies a mean arterial pressure of 100 mmHg, almost three times average systemic pressure! Similarly, the lower ratios seen in the preterm babies imply higher pressures than in term babies.

Echocardiographic TPV is shortened by mitral regurgitation, 5 Kitabatake postulated that pulmonary TPV might be shortened by tricuspid regurgitation, and found none of 11 adult patients with tricuspid regurgitation had TPV values below the gestational time for the whole group. Although the regression for patients with tricuspid regurgitation did not differ significantly from this regression, a third of the whole group had tricuspid regurgitation. No comparison was reported between those with and without tricuspid regurgitation.

Serial measurement is clearly the best way to assess the pressure changes in pulmonary arterial pressure falls, and we presented our serial data on seven preterm babies graphically. They were the same mean gestational age.