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Abstract

Experience with an indwelling subcutaneous Teflon cannula for insulin delivery to 10 children with diabetes mellitus is described. There were no significant complications during a one year trial period. The device may particularly benefit children during the early phase after diagnosis and for those with true needle phobia.

Multiple insulin injections may lead to distress and poor compliance in diabetic children particularly those of preschool age. We report the use of an indwelling, subcutaneous Teflon cannula (Insufion, Vigo*) to give insulin to newly diagnosed diabetics and children who require a brief period of frequent insulin injections to regain adequate metabolic control.

Patients and methods

METHODS

The 24 gauge Teflon cannula with an inner lumen diameter of 0.4 mm and an external diameter of 0.6 mm is similar in design to conventional cannulae used for intravenous treatment in neonatal units (figure A). The external end was sealed by a rubber membrane which covers a conical injection port. The total dead space of injection port and cannula is 0.0075 ml; this is equivalent to 0.75 units of insulin (100 units/ml). A topical anaesthetic cream containing lignocaine and prilocaine (EMLA, Astra) was applied to the skin one hour before inserting the cannula. After cleansing with alcohol, a roll of skin on the abdomen was lifted to insert the cannula subcutaneously at an oblique angle using a 0.4 mm steel stylet which was then removed. The device was secured with an adhesive patch (figure B). The cannula patency was maintained by the residual volume of insulin in the dead space.

PATIENTS

Ten children aged 4 to 13 years were studied. Five children had newly presented with diabetes, of whom three had severe ketoacidosis and required intravenous fluids and insulin. The subcutaneous cannula was inserted later to give short acting insulin every six hours. The other two newly diagnosed diabetics were given multiple insulin injections for 48 hours via the cannula and then changed to twice daily injections.

Two newly diagnosed diabetics were referred because they had refused twice daily injections of insulin. A cannula was inserted in both children without difficulty and they quickly adjusted to having regular injections.

The cannula was used in a further three
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(A) Cross sectional diagram through cannula showing tip of needle in injection port. (B) Photograph of Insuflon in situ showing circular adhesive patch (tip of cannula to left of picture).

patients who needed a period of intensive insulin treatment during an intercurrent illness.

Results
The cannula was changed five days after discharge from hospital by a health visitor who was a specialist in diabetes. The parents continued giving insulin through the device twice daily and the period between change of site was adjusted for each patient. The mean duration in any one site was seven days, with a range of four to 10 days. All parents were able to replace the cannula themselves within two months of using this system.

Five patients continued to use the device at home for routine twice daily insulin injections over a period of three months to one year. Blood glucose profiles were comparable with other newly diagnosed diabetics and concentrations of glycated haemoglobin after three months, and in two cases, after six and nine months usage, were similar to those of other new diabetics attending our clinic.

At the end of the study period, two patients continued to use the device regularly, three patients having adapted to twice daily insulin injections without problem.

Complications
The only practical difficulty with use of the device was failure of the adhesive patch. Careful drying of the skin before application ensured that bathing, showering, swimming, and participation in energetic sports could be performed without loss of adhesion.

Minor complications included transient eczematosus eruptions at the fixation site in two patients and the development of a small pustule at the insertion site in another patient. This followed prolonged use of the same site, the infection clearing once the cannula was resited.

Lipohypertrophy occurred in one patient who persistently used the same injection site. The problem quickly resolved when the cannula site was changed.

Discussion
Good metabolic control of diabetes leads to delayed onset, if not a lower incidence, of microvascular complications.1 These may also be delayed by good habits being established soon after diagnosis.2

Most diabetics will continue to require regular, injected insulin for the foreseeable future. Devices that make this less unpleasant are likely to improve compliance and discourage resistance to multiple injection treatment. True needle phobia is most common during adolescence3 and may be the result of unpleasant experiences in earlier life.4

Studies in children5 and adults6 have shown that the subcutaneous cannula is well tolerated by diabetics of all ages. Using the device for multiple insulin injections leads to better compliance and improved metabolic control. Minor complications were similar to those reported in this study and generally resolved when the cannula was resited.

While the subcutaneous indwelling cannula was well tolerated and liked by patients and parents for ease of use and convenience, the relatively high unit cost means that this mode of treatment is probably not appropriate for routine general use in the child with uncomplicated diabetes. Those with true needle phobia or persistent abuse of one injection site, however, would benefit from the Insuflon device. A period of pain free injections may provide an easier phase of adaptation for newly diagnosed children and their parents.