other two required surgical decompression with either an antegrade or retrograde ureteric irrigation. We would therefore advise that failure to respond to conservative management within 24 hours, or the development of hypertension, should lead to more direct attempts to relieve obstruction.

Finally we recommended that after recovery, all of these children should be investigated for the possibility of an underlying crystalluria.


Pain and measles, mumps, and rubella vaccination

Ronan Lyons, Fenton Howell

Abstract

Seventy seven children (mean age 44-2 months) were entered in a randomised double blind study to find out if two commonly used measles, mumps, and rubella (MMR) vaccines (Pluserix and MMR II) caused pain on injection. Pain was judged by the amount of crying. Infants given MMR II were 2-31 times more likely to cry than those given Pluserix.

A vaccination programme to eliminate measles, mumps, and rubella (MMR) from the British Isles was introduced in October 1988. Two vaccines, Pluserix (Smith Kline French) and MMR II (Wellcome), have been used extensively in the campaign and they have comparable immunogenicity and reactogenicity. Since the start of the campaign some family doctors in Ireland reported that the MMR II vaccine caused more distress to children than the Pluserix vaccine. Similar reports came from the United Kingdom. Although the data sheet for MMR II states that the vaccine may cause burning or stinging at the injection site for a short time because the reconstituted vaccine is slightly acid (pH 6-2-6-6), we know of no published evidence to suggest that immediate pain at the injection site is a problem. We decided to investigate the matter further.

Subjects and methods

An inner city coeducational preschool population from a socially deprived area with a poor uptake of the MMR vaccine was chosen for the study. All children who had not been vaccinated were invited to attend for vaccination on one of two consecutive days. All children being vaccinated were accompanied by someone they knew well. Each child received 0-5 ml of vaccine subcutaneously through a 25 gauge needle into the deltoid region of the left arm. All vaccines were given by a single experienced doctor (RL). Crying after the injection was used as a measure of pain, and was assessed by the other doctor (FH). A randomised double blind study design was used, in which each vaccine was randomly selected from a previously coded lot and neither the doctors nor the recipients were aware of which vaccine had been used until the trial had been completed. Vaccinated children were kept separate from unvaccinated ones to avoid 'contamination'. Data were analysed by $\chi^2$ test, Fisher's exact test, or Student's t test.

Results

Of the 97 children presenting, 20 cried before vaccination. Of the remaining 77 (37 boys and 40 girls), 37 (mean (SD) age 43-4 (11-1) months) received Pluserix and 40 (mean (SD) age 45-2 (10-7) months) received MMR II. There were no differences in the age between the groups or sex of the children.

The table shows the number that cried after vaccination. Children who received MMR II were 2-31 times more likely to cry than those who received Pluserix (95% confidence interval 1-16 to 4-60). Neither age nor sex were significantly associated with crying. Of the 28 children who cried after vaccination, none cried for longer than a minute.

Discussion

The results of this study suggest that pain is a real and unnecessary side effect of some MMR vaccines. Though we recognise that there are many difficulties in measuring pain, we feel that crying after vaccination is a reasonable measure of distress.

Incidence of crying after the two vaccines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaccine</th>
<th>No who cried</th>
<th>No who did not cry</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pluserix</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMR II</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tuberculosis in a contact

Andrew Bush, J O Warner

Abstract
A 12 year old girl developed a large tuberculous pleural effusion. She was a contact of an adult with pulmonary tuberculosis who was positive on smear testing, and she had been managed in accordance with current British Thoracic Society recommendations.

The British Thoracic Society have recently published guidelines on the management of contacts of pulmonary tuberculosis.1 We recently treated a child who developed tuberculosis from a known index case, despite being treated apparently in accord with these guidelines.

Case report
A 12 year old Asian girl was referred for investigation of a pleural effusion. She had been well until two days previously, when she became breathless during a physical education lesson, and was sent to the school doctor. A chest infection was diagnosed, but a chest radiograph showed a complete whiteout of the left hemithorax, and she was referred to hospital. On direct questioning she admitted to a two week history of a dry cough. She was an adopted child, and her adoptive mother (a doctor) was confident that she had had BCG vaccination at birth. Six months previously she had been seen in a chest clinic because the Au pair girl, who had been with the family for two months, was found to have pulmonary tuberculosis that was positive on smear testing. Six weeks after the last contact with the index case the Heaf test was grade two positive, with a normal chest radiograph, and therefore no action was taken and no follow up appointment given. On examination her temperature was 37.6°C and she looked unwell. The only other physical signs were those of a large left pleural effusion. There was no scar of a previous BCG vaccination visible.

Investigations showed her haemoglobin concentration to be 124 g/l, white cell count 5.7×10⁹/l, platelet count 324×10⁹/l, and erythrocyte sedimentation rate 38 mm in the first hour. She had normal urea and electrolyte, calcium, and phosphate concentrations and normal results on liver function tests. A Mantoux with 0.1 ml of 1 in 10 000 old tuberculin intradermally showed 15 mm of induration. A chest drain was inserted, and a total of 2.5 litres of straw coloured fluid was drained from the left chest. The pleural biopsy specimen showed necrotising granulomatous inflammation with Langerhans giant cells. Bronchoscopy was unremarkable. Pleural fluid and bronchial washings were culture negative for tuberculosis. She was started on pyrazinamide, rifampicin, and ethambutol because the organism in the index case was resistant to isoniazid, and she made a rapid recovery. Her mother subsequently rechecked the adoption papers, and found that the girl had not after all had a BCG vaccination.

Discussion
The development of a tuberculous pleural effusion in this child represents a failure of follow up. At the initial screening, there was a