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Abstract
The prevalence of pathological gastro-oesophageal reflux in children and adolescents with asthma was studied by 24 hour two level oesophageal pH monitoring in 42 subjects aged 9–20 years with moderate or severe bronchial asthma. The importance of oesophagobronchial nerve reflexes and of aspiration of gastric acid as triggers in asthma was assessed by studying whether episodes of reflux into the distal and into the proximal oesophagus were followed by asthma attacks. Twenty one subjects (50%) had a pathological total reflux time in the distal oesophagus and six (16%) in the proximal oesophagus. Nine patients had pathological gastro-oesophageal reflux into the distal oesophagus together with symptoms of asthma during the day on which the recording took place. In three of them the episodes of asthma symptoms were significantly correlated with preceding episodes of reflux into the distal oesophagus, and in one subject to reflux into the proximal oesophagus.

We conclude that pathological gastro-oesophageal reflux is common in children and adolescents with asthma, but it seems to provoke symptoms of asthma in only a few. Symptoms of asthma were more often elicited by exposure of the distal oesophagus to gastric acid, possibly by a vagal reflex, than by aspiration of gastric juice.

Several studies have indicated that there is an association between gastro-oesophageal reflux and bronchial asthma in adults and in children. Oesophageal dysfunction is common in asthmatic children and adolescents, but the prevalence of pathological gastro-oesophageal reflux is unknown.

Antireflux operations have been reported to improve asthma in subjects with severe gastro-oesophageal reflux. Aspiration of large amounts of gastric contents can provoke severe asthma attacks, but this is probably rare. Microaspiration of gastric juice has been suggested as a more frequent trigger in asthma. Bronchospasm might also be caused by an oesophagobronchial nerve reflex that is elicited when the distal oesophagus is exposed to gastric acid. The aspiration hypothesis would be supported if reflux into the proximal part of the oesophagus is shown to be followed by an attack of asthma.

Long term pH recording in the oesophagus is the most valid method of studying gastro-oesophageal reflux. The aims of this investigation were therefore to find out the prevalence of pathological gastro-oesophageal reflux in children and adolescents with asthma by 24-hour oesophageal pH monitoring, and to study the correlation between symptoms of asthma and reflux into the distal and proximal oesophagus.

Patients and methods
Forty two children and adolescents (25 boys and 17 girls with a mean age of 13·7 years, range 9-0–20-0) with moderate or severe asthma attending the paediatric allergy clinic were investigated by 24 hour two level pH monitoring in the oesophagus. They had all previously undergone oesophageal manometry. All patients had bronchial asthma severe enough to restrict daily activities for a total of at least 10 days during the previous year despite treatment. Eighteen patients had had symptoms of asthma during at least 100 nights or mornings during the previous 12 months.

Thirty five of the 42 subjects had atopic asthma—that is, an allergy mediated by IgE—according to the history and previous medical records. Twenty five participants (60%) had a diagnosis of oesophageal dysfunction made on manometric findings that were reported in a previous study. Fourteen patients (33%) had a history of oesophageal symptoms; 13 reported acid regurgitation, seven had heartburn, and two dysphagia. None had oesophageal symptoms that required regular or current antireflux treatment.

All subjects were receiving regular treatment for their asthma. At the time of investigation oral theophylline was being taken by 23, oral β₂ adrenoreceptor stimulants by 20, inhaled β₂ adrenoreceptor stimulants by 39, sodium cromoglycate by 19, inhaled corticosteroids by 12, and oral corticosteroids by two.

24 HOUR pH TEST
For the 24 hour pH test a catheter assembly with two antimony pH electrodes was positioned with the electrodes 5 and 15 cm above the lower oesophageal sphincter. Perfused catheters with outlets 1 cm above each electrode and connected to pressure transducers permitted exact localisation of the pH probe by manometry. The catheter outlets also allowed calibration of the pH electrodes when they were in place. The overall diameter of the assembly was 3 mm. The catheter assembly was passed through the nose into the stomach. The probe was then withdrawn into the distal oesophagus.
and the electrodes were positioned with the participant supine. A reference electrode was attached to the chest. The pH electrodes and the reference electrode were connected to two battery operated pH meters, one for each level, and the pH signals were recorded on a portable four channel tape recorder (Oxford Medilog). The equipment was placed in a small shoulder bag so that the patients could walk freely about the ward. The electrodes were calibrated with solutions of pH 7.0 and 1.6 four times during the 24 hour period. The participants were told not to lie down during the day. During the monitoring period the subjects stayed on the paediatric ward and had a special acid free diet (pH>5) consisting of four meals. The only intake allowed between meals was medication and water. Coffee and acid drinks were not allowed. The patients took their normal treatment for asthma on the day of recording and inhaled β2 adrenoceptor stimulants when required.

The pH recordings were played back on a strip chart. A drop in pH to 4 or below was regarded as evidence of reflux. The percentage reflux time, the number of reflux episodes/hour, and the duration of the longest reflux episode were calculated.

In a previous study on healthy children and adolescents (14 boys and 13 girls 9-3 to 17-3 years of age, mean age 13.1 years) normal values of gastro-oesophageal reflux were evaluated by the same technique and strict standardisation as in the present investigation.20 The upper limits of the reference ranges were defined as the upper 95th centile of percentage reflux time. Normal upper limits in the distal oesophagus were 1.0% (total reflux time), 2.0% (upright reflux time), and 0.9% (supine reflux time). In the proximal oesophagus the limits were 0.6% (total reflux time), 0.7% (upright reflux time), and 0.8% (supine reflux time).20

Because of disconnection of the electric cable from the proximal pH electrodes, recordings from the proximal oesophagus were not obtained in five cases.

Peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR) was checked with a Mini Wright Peak Flow Meter every hour while the patients were awake. The highest value of three was recorded on each occasion and related to the predicted PEFR.21 The patients were given a form on which they recorded symptoms of asthma (breathlessness, wheezing, or attacks of cough), and symptoms of reflux (acid regurgitation and heartburn) and the drugs they were taking. 'Morning dipping' was defined as a decrease in the morning PEFR value by more than 20% of the mean of the other PEFR recordings.

When the association between reflux and symptoms of asthma was analysed, only reflux episodes in the distal oesophagus that lasted for at least one minute, and any episode in the proximal oesophagus that occurred within 30 minutes before the respiratory event, were considered.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

For statistical analysis the two tailed Mann-Whitney U test, the Fisher's exact test, the χ² test, and regression analysis were used. A p value of <0.05 was regarded as significant.

**ETHICS**

The study was approved by the ethics committee for human research at the University Hospital, Linköping, and written consent was obtained from the participants or their parents.

**RESULTS**

The total reflux time was significantly longer in the patients with asthma than in control subjects (p<0.001, table 1). Twenty one of the 42 asthmatic children and adolescents (50%) had a pathological total reflux time (>1.0%) in the distal oesophagus. Twenty five patients (60%) had pathological reflux into the distal oesophagus either throughout the 24 hour period (n=21), or in the upright (n=15), or the supine position (n=18). Altogether 16% of the patients had pathological reflux into the proximal oesophagus either throughout the period (n=3), or in the upright (n=3), or the supine position (n=2). A total of 37 of the 42 asthmatic patients had pathological reflux into the esophagus.

---

**Table 1** Twenty four hour two level pH monitoring in the oesophagus in 42 patients with asthma and 27 control subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protection</th>
<th>Percentage reflux time</th>
<th>No of episodes of reflux/hour</th>
<th>Duration of longest episode of reflux (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asthmatic</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Asthmatic</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>patients</td>
<td>subjects</td>
<td>patients</td>
<td>subjects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal oesophagus:</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.07)***</td>
<td>0.03 (0.09)***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00 (0.00)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal oesophagus:</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>0.08 (0.08)***</td>
<td>0.05 (0.08)***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00 (0.00)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upright position</td>
<td>Distal oesophagus:</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.04)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal oesophagus:</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>0.08 (0.08)***</td>
<td>0.05 (0.08)***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00 (0.00)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05; **p<0.001; ***p<0.001 (Mann-Whitney U test).

Recordings in the proximal oesophagus were completed in only 37 of the 42 asthmatic patients.
Table 2  Details of nine patients with gastro-oesophageal reflux together with symptoms of asthma during the period of recording

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distal oesophagus</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total No of episodes of asthma</td>
<td>10 (21)</td>
<td>10 (22)</td>
<td>10 (22)</td>
<td>14 (32)</td>
<td>6 (13)</td>
<td>7 (15)</td>
<td>11 (24)</td>
<td>5 (11)</td>
<td>7 (17)</td>
<td>80 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal oesophagus</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total No of episodes of asthma</td>
<td>4 (100)</td>
<td>3 (50)</td>
<td>5 (83)</td>
<td>5 (100)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (33)</td>
<td>1 (50)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (67)</td>
<td>21 (68)</td>
</tr>
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| Percentage total reflux time in distal oesophagus | 2-4 | 2-2 | 5-1 | 4-0 | 2-1 | 2-6 | 4-4 | 1-2 | 1-4 | 2-8 |

*p<0.05;  **p<0.01; ***p<0.001.
†Over-representation of asthmatic episodes preceded by reflux were compared by Fisher’s exact test within subjects and by χ2 test for whole group.

logical total reflux times in the proximal oesopha- gus. Thirteen of the 14 patients who had histories of oesophageal symptoms had pathological gastro-oesophageal reflux.

Fifteen patients had symptoms of asthma during the day of recording. Six of these, who had 14 epi- sodes of asthma altogether, had a normal total reflux time in the distal oesophagus. In the nine patients with both symptoms of asthma and pathological gastro-oesophageal reflux into the distal oesophagus during the recording period, there was a significant over-representation of episodes of asthmatic symptoms that were preceded by reflux into the distal oesophagus—represented by the second proportion divided by the first proportion (χ2=46-1, df=1, p<0.001), as well as into the proximal oesophagus (χ2=20-7, df=1, p<0.001; table 2). When the subjects were tested individually, three had significant correlations between episodes of reflux into the distal oesopha- gus and asthmatic symptoms and one between proximal reflux and asthma (table 2).

Fourteen of the 21 episodes of asthma that were preceded by reflux into the distal oesophagus, and eight of the 11 episodes that were preceded by reflux into the proximal oesophagus, were recorded when the patients were recumbent. The patients did not record any symptoms of reflux before the attacks of asthma.

Regression analysis did not show any correlation between the severity or duration of the asthma and pathological gastro-oesophageal reflux into either the distal or the proximal oesophagus, nor between the age of the patients and the severity of patho- logical gastro-oesophageal reflux. There was no correlation between mean or morning PEFR as a percentage of the predicted value and pathological gastro-oesophageal reflux at either level of the oesophagus. There were four ‘morning dippers’, two of whom had completely normal 24 hour pH tests. Severity of reflux did not differ significantly between the subjects currently taking oral βadrenergic agonists or oral theophylline, and those not taking these drugs.

Discussion

In this study we have shown that pathological gastro-oesophageal reflux was common in children and adolescents with bronchial asthma, and in a few patients gastro-oesophageal reflux seemed to provoke symptoms of asthma. The pathological reflux was moderately severe, and the findings could be regarded as normal if compared with the reference limits of other studies on pH moni- toring. Some of these studies can, however, be criticised for lack of standardisation, and for the inclusion of subjects who were not in good health. Furthermore, the asthmatic patients in the present study and the control sub- jects were all investigated in the same laboratory. In healthy adults investigated in this laboratory the normal upper limit of the reference range for per- centage total reflux time in the distal oesophagus was the same as in children—that is, 1-0%. A high incidence of pathological gastro-oesophageal reflux was also found by Ekström in asthmatic adults. In his study, 60% of the patients had a pathological total reflux time (>1% of recording). Reflux and asthma were, however, more severe and reflux symptoms were more common in the asthmatic adults than in the patients in the present study. On the other hand, only 10% of the adult asthmatic patients with pathological gastro-oesophageal reflux had attacks of asthma associated with reflux, which is consistent with our findings.

The correlation between attacks of asthma and reflux into the distal oesophagus was stronger than that between asthma and reflux into the proximal oesophagus. This suggests that aspiration may be a less frequent trigger of asthma than oesophago- bronchial nerve reflexes in the patients investi- gated. The significant correlation between reflux and episodes of asthma in the present study should be viewed with some caution. Two unrelated phenomena can have a similar pattern of occur- rence, due, for instance, to a tendency to cluster- ing in time or in periods.

The 42 subjects in the present study were recruited from a group of 55 unselected patients with moderate or severe asthma. They had pre- viously undergone oesophageal manometry in a
study aimed at investigating the incidence of oeso-
phageal dysfunction among asthmatic children and adolescents. 7 The patients who declined to under-
go 24 hour pH monitoring did not differ from the participants in the severity of their asthma, the findings at manometry, or the presence of oesophageal symptoms.

The coincidence of gastro-oesophageal reflux and asthma may have at least three explanations. Common aetiological denominators for asthma and gastro-oesophageal reflux—for example, autono-
mic disturbances and allergic reactions—could be one explanation. There is a high incidence of autono-
mic nervous system abnormalities among asthmatic subjects. 25 Furthermore, most children with asthma have some IgE-mediated allergy. As inhaled antihistamine drugs do, to a large extent (90%), reach the gastrointestinal tract it is reason-
able to believe that airborne allergens also reach the oesophagus and stomach. 30 If allergic reactions take place in the upper gastrointestinal tract they might induce hypersecretion of gastric acid or dys-
function of the oesophagus and stomach, which in turn facilitate gastro-oesophageal reflux.

A further possible explanation is that asthma or drugs for its treatment cause pathological gastro-
oesophageal reflux. Ekström and Tibbling reported that reflux did not increase when bron-
chospasm was provoked in patients with asthma and gastro-oesophageal reflux disease; 31 on the other hand, oral theophylline increased gastro-
oesophageal reflux in the same patients. 32 In the present study reflux did not differ between those who did, and those who did not, take oral treatment for their asthma.

The third explanation is that gastro-oesophageal reflux may trigger asthmatic attacks. In spite of a high incidence of pathological gastro-oesophageal reflux in asthmatic subjects, however, reflux seems to provoke asthmatic symptoms in only a few of them. Wilson et al have reported that stimulation of the oesophagus by oral intake of cold or acid drinks can temporarily increase bronchial re-
activity in asthmatic patients. 34 In a similar fash-
ion, asthmatic attacks might be more easily provoked by various extraoesophageal trigger factors as a result of increased bronchial reactivity when the oesophagus is exposed to gastric juice. Additional modulating factors like recumbency and sleep might further facilitate asthmatic attacks. Hence, oesophagobronchial reflux mecha-

isms may be more important in asthma than has been shown in the present study.

In conclusion, moderately severe pathological gastro-oesophageal reflux is a common finding in children and adolescents with asthma. In a few of them gastro-oesophageal reflux seems to provoke episodes of asthma, probably more often through oesophagobronchial nerve reflexes induced by the exposure of the distal oesophagus to gastric acid, than by aspiration.
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