Evaluation of two combined oxygen and carbon dioxide transcutaneous sensors
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SUMMARY Two combined oxygen and carbon dioxide electrodes were assessed in neonates, infants, and children up to 16 years. They were convenient to use and the measurement error for PtcCO₂ was acceptable. In both models, however, the PtcO₂ electrode had a reduced performance compared with a single electrode.

Transcutaneous measurements of oxygen and carbon dioxide (PtcO₂, PtcCO₂) are useful in the intensive care unit because they provide continuous and non-invasive monitoring of gas exchange. PtcO₂ underestimates arterial oxygen (PaO₂) because of the skin diffusion barrier and PtcCO₂ overestimates arterial carbon dioxide (PaCO₂) because of skin carbon dioxide production. Various methods of ‘arterialising’ PtcO₂ and PtcCO₂ by appropriate adjustment at calibration have been described.

Until recently, PtcO₂ and PtcCO₂ sensors consisted of two electrodes that were each calibrated and applied separately. The introduction of combined oxygen and carbon dioxide electrodes in a single probe has obvious advantages, and previous reports in newborn infants and adults have suggested an accuracy comparable with separate oxygen and carbon dioxide electrodes.

We therefore assessed two commercially available combined oxygen and carbon dioxide electrodes in infants and children beyond the neonatal period using calibration correction factors obtained from previous studies with single electrodes.
Methods

A total of 18 patients from the general intensive care unit were studied. Their median age was 1·4 years with a range from 2 weeks to 16·5 years. All patients had indwelling arterial catheters and were haemodynamically stable with satisfactory blood pressure and peripheral-core temperature gradients of less than 5°C. Informed consent was obtained from the parents of the children and the study had the approval of the hospital ethical committee.

The Kontron MicroGas 7640 with COMBI Sensor and the Radiometer TCM3 with E5277 sensor were used. The Kontron machine uses two gas calibrations (gas 1:20·6% oxygen, 5% carbon dioxide; gas 2:10·% carbon dioxide) while the Radiometer machine uses one gas only (20·9% oxygen, 5% carbon dioxide). Blood gas measurements were performed using an analyser (IL 1306, Instrumentation Laboratories) that was calibrated daily with reference solutions (Certain Corning).

During the course of the study reference solutions for oxygen and carbon dioxide recorded small negative biases (means -0·17 and -0·05 kPa respectively). The errors (SD) were also small, although larger for oxygen than for carbon dioxide; 0·38 and 0·06 respectively.

The following calibration technique was used to make the transcutaneous readings reflect the arterial gas values (based on previous studies)3,4: (1) PtcO2 was adjusted to read 25·6 kPa in air (a correction factor of 1·22). (2) PtcCO2 was adjusted to read 3·54 kPa in 5% carbon dioxide and 7·07 kPa in 10% carbon dioxide (a correction factor of 0·714).

The two different sensors were placed side by side on the upper anterior chest and the temperature set to 44°C. Transcutaneous readings and simultaneous arterial samples were taken at 30 minutes, 1½ hours, and 4½ hours after electrode placement avoiding periods of physiotherapy and nursing or medical procedures. The sensor sites were examined immediately after sensor removal and after 24

Figure. Scatter plots of transcutaneous against arterial values at 1½ hours after electrode placement. The lines of identity are drawn and both transcutaneous sensors were calibrated with appropriate correction factors.3,4 Note the larger scatter (error) for the Kontron machine for PtcO2 and the small positive biases for all transcutaneous measurements.
hours. The sensors were also checked for any drift in the calibration values at the end of the 4½ hour period of measurement.

Arterial values were subtracted from the corresponding transcutaneous values to obtain the errors of prediction of PaO₂ and PaCO₂. From these, the mean errors, the standard deviation, and the 95% confidence intervals of the errors were calculated.

Results

PaO₂ ranged from 6·5 kPa to 21·3 kPa. The mean error of PtcO₂ prediction of PaO₂ was small for both machines and ranged from +0·1 to +1·4 kPa but the scatter of data around the mean was large with 95% confidence intervals of PaO₂ prediction for both machines ranging from -5·59 kPa to 7·67 kPa (table).

PaCO₂ ranged from 2·8 kPa to 6·8 kPa. The mean error of prediction of PaCO₂ and the scatter were less than that for PaO₂ and both machines were of very similar performance (table).

With respect to PtcO₂, the drift of the calibration value after 4½ hours for the Kontron machine was +2·9 with a 95% confidence interval of -2·1 to 7·9 kPa. The corresponding mean (95% confidence interval) calibration drift for the Radiometer machine was at +1·0 (-2·1 to 4·1) kPa. The drifts for PtcCO₂ were small for both machines 0 (-0·4 to 0·4) kPa and -0·2 (-0·5 to 0·1) kPa for Kontron and Radiometer machines respectively. No burns were noted for either sensor and any erythema had disappeared within 24 hours. Both machines were easy to calibrate, although the calibration of the Radiometer was faster than for the Kontron machine as the former only required one point calibration.

Both sensors were easy to apply. The Radiometer sensor had one advantage in that it could be temporarily removed for nursing procedures and could then be reapplied by simply screwing it onto the adhesive ring which remained attached to the skin.

Discussion

The mean biases in PaO₂ and PaCO₂ prediction were generally small, which suggests that the use of 'correction factors' at calibration is valid. The biases were all positive, however, suggesting that previously derived correction factors may need further refinement for newer machines. This seems reasonable as one might expect small differences between machines of different type and design.

Although the mean data showed a small positive bias, what is more relevant for clinical use are the errors of measurement. For PtcO₂ prediction of PaO₂ these errors were large particularly for the Kontron machine (table). The measurement error of the blood gas machine was also larger for oxygen than for carbon dioxide as identified at daily calibration. These errors were very small at 95% confidence intervals of -0·46 to 0·30 kPa for oxygen and -0·04 to 0·08 kPa for carbon dioxide, however, and did not explain the much greater disparity between the performance of the transcutaneous sensors (table).

We also found large drifts in calibration values after 4½ hours with respect to PtcO₂ with the Kontron machine performing less well than the Radiometer. These drifts were larger than the values quoted in the specification of both models supplied by the manufacturers: Kontron, <3% 4 hours; Radiometer, <0·13 kPa/hour. The measurement errors and the drifts were larger than those found in previous studies using a single PtcO₂ electrode and must question the clinical usefulness of PtcO₂ from these combined electrodes.

For PtcCO₂, both machines showed acceptable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table Errors found on the two machines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time after placement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1½ hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4½ hours</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Errors in estimating PaO₂ from PtcO₂

Errors in estimating PaCO₂ from PtcCO₂

18                | +0·18                      | -0·50 to 0·86               | +0·50                       | -0·13 to 1·13               |
| 1½ hours           | 18                | +0·22                      | -0·33 to 0·77               | +0·30                       | -0·51 to 1·11               |
| 4½ hours           | 14                | +0·22                      | -0·51 to 0·95               | +0·07                       | -0·62 to 0·76               |
and comparable results. Although Kontron uses two point calibration, this did not show any advantage over the one point calibration used by Radiometer. The errors and drifts compared favourably with a previous study using a single Kontron PtcCO₂ electrode.³

In summary, the combined electrodes we assessed do offer the advantage of convenience of use, and the measurement error for PtcCO₂ is clinically acceptable. In both the models we assessed, however, miniaturisation of PtcO₂ electrode appears to have reduced its performance when compared with single electrodes. Improvements in performance in the oxygen sensor part of these combined probes would be desirable.
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