

² Bland JM, Altman DG. Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical measurement. *Lancet* 1986;i:307-10.

S MCKENZIE
Rush Green Hospital,
Dagenham Road,
Romford,
Essex RM7 0YA

Hydrops fetalis due to abnormal lymphatics

Sir,

Windebank *et al* reported a case of hydrops fetalis due to abnormal lymphatics.¹ The baby, a girl, died at the age of 66 days. We are not aware of any other reports of this condition. Her parents have now had a second female child, with features similar to the first, born 16 months later. This baby died age 1 hour. We write to report her clinical history.

The parents are members of a travelling family. The mother's previous obstetric history was one pregnancy lasting 42 weeks and delivering a well male infant, weighing 3500 g, a miscarriage at 12 weeks, and the girl reported by Windebank *et al*. In the current pregnancy she first attended the antenatal clinic at 18 weeks, and serial ultrasound scans from 20 weeks showed fetal ascites and massive and increasing oedema. She went into spontaneous labour at 32 weeks. The membrane rupture delivery interval was 2 hours and 45 minutes, and she was delivered vaginally of a hydropic female infant whose weight was 3010 g, length 41 cm. As with the previous pregnancy the placenta was retained, requiring manual removal under general anaesthetic. It weighed 725 g and was pale and oedematous.

The baby's heart rate was 60/minute, but respirations were absent. She was intubated and ventilated. Intensive treatment, including external cardiac massage, failed to improve her condition, and she died at age 1 hour. Blood was obtained from an umbilical venous line. The baby's blood group was A positive, as was her mother's, haemoglobin concentration was 114 g/l and packed cell volume 42.0%; concentration of serum albumin was 18 g/l, serum sodium 130 mmol/l, serum urea 2.3 mmol/l, and serum calcium 2.31 mmol/l.

Postmortem examination showed massive ascites and large serous effusions in both pleural cavities with anatomically normal, but hypoplastic, lungs. Apart from oedema the urinary system appeared normal, as did the heart, the great vessels, the liver, and the spleen. Probably this baby had the same condition as her sister. It is of note that with each baby there was a retained placenta. This baby appears to have been the more severely affected.

Windebank *et al*, thought the parents not related.¹ Questioning of the family at the time of the birth of this child, however, established that the baby's paternal grandmother and maternal grandfather are first cousins. This was probably overlooked by Windebank *et al*, and

this cause of hydrops fetalis may represent a previously unreported autosomal recessive condition. Perhaps it should be looked for in travelling families.

Reference

¹ Windebank KP, Bridges NA, Ostman-Smith I, Stevens JE. Hydrops fetalis due to abnormal lymphatics. *Arch Dis Child* 1987;62:198-200.

P EHRHARDT,
D BYFIELD,
and M L SMITH
Paediatric Unit,
St Luke's Hospital,
Bradford,
West Yorkshire BD5 0NA

Categories of neonatal care and nurse staffing

Sir,

The English National Board (ENB) for Nursing, Midwifery and Health Visiting recently circulated guidelines for staffing of neonatal units.¹ Their document recommends staffing levels in relation to three clinical categories of newborn care over and above normal care—namely, special care, high dependency care, and intensive care. The document cites the 1984 British Paediatric Association/British Association for Perinatal Paediatrics (BPA/BAPP) statement² on this subject and indeed includes their categories as an appendix. Unfortunately, there has been a major inaccuracy in transcription to which we feel attention must be drawn before further confusion arises. The BPA/BAPP document describes eight groups of infants deemed to be in need of intensive care with appropriate nurse staffing. The ENB document, on the other hand, restricts intensive care to just the first two of these groups: infants receiving respiratory support and those receiving total parenteral nutrition. The remaining six groups are described as belonging to a new category of high dependency care with a reduced level of nurse staffing. This is not only likely to cause confusion but is, in our view, ill advised as many of the infants in groups three to eight of the BPA/BAPP document require as much or more nursing attention as those in groups one and two. For example, they include babies with unstable cardiorespiratory disease, babies in the first 24 hours after major surgery, those of less than 30 weeks' gestation during the first two days, babies who are convulsing, those being transported between units, and those undergoing major medical interventions such as peritoneal dialysis or exchange transfusion. We very much hope the ENB will reconsider their document and hopefully bring it into line with the paediatric recommendation. At least if they do not do so it is important that everyone should be aware of the transcription error that has been made.

References

¹ English National Board for Nursing, Midwifery and Health Visiting. *Guidelines to staffing of neonatal units*. London: