Reference ranges for IgG subclasses in preschool children
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SUMMARY A normal range for IgG1, IgG2, and IgG3 subclasses has been established for children aged 6 months to 5 years using commercially available monoclonal antisera. Of particular interest were the very low concentrations of IgG2 in some healthy children, a finding which casts doubt on the importance of IgG2 deficiency reported in some patients with otherwise unexplained infections. It was not possible to construct a normal range for IgG4 values probably because these segregate into two populations in normal subjects.

There are four known subclasses of IgG (assigned 1–4) which constitute approximately 61, 30, 5, and 4% of the total IgG respectively.1 Schur et al2 were the first to describe patients with recurrent infections who lacked some IgG subclasses while retaining others. This was an important observation because these patients improved on gammaglobulin replacement treatment. Oxelius3 described similar patients, and more recently the same group have drawn attention to an association between IgG2 deficiency and selective IgA deficiency,4 particularly in patients with ataxia telangiectasia.5 It is suggested that those patients with selective IgA deficiency who also lack IgG2 are particularly prone to respiratory infections, although there are exceptions.6

Population screening for IgG subclass deficiencies has been hampered by the scarcity of specific antisera. Monoclonal antisera are, however, now available, which should enable us to screen larger populations of patients and obtain a wider view of the importance of these abnormalities; but accurate normal values are needed before this can be achieved. Because children are most likely to present with recurrent infections due to IgG subclass deficiencies, we have produced a normal range for these proteins based on a large group of healthy children.

Materials and methods

Subjects. Two hundred and fifteen children between the age of 6 months and 6 years gave blood samples, when they attended local child welfare clinics. Informed consent was obtained from the parents. This work was part of a larger study to determine the range of immunoglobulin and anti-pertussis antibody values in healthy children.7

IgG subclass measurements. A Mancini technique using commercially available monoclonal IgG subclass antisera (Seward – BAM 09, BAM 10, BAM 08 for IgG 1–3 respectively; both BAM 16 and BAM 11 for IgG 4) was used. Briefly, a normal serum at six different dilutions and the test sera at a fixed dilution were applied to wells cut in agarose gel (1-4% in 0-1 M barbitone buffer pH 8-6) which contained 6% polyethylene glycol 3000 and monoclonal antisera. Two different monoclonal antisera were used to measure IgG 4 subclass values because a single antisera failed to give adequate precipitation. A pooled normal serum was used as a standard which was calibrated against the World Health Organisation (WHO) reference serum (67/97) by comparing four different dilutions (80, 60, 40, and 20%) of the latter with the same dilutions of the former. The error for each of these comparisons was within 5%. The concentration of subclasses in the WHO serum had previously been calibrated by Morell and Skvaril.8

The gels were left for three days at 4°C on a level plate before being photographed against a dark background. A standard curve was plotted on semilog paper of the diameter of the precipitin ring against serum dilution, and the unknowns read off and actual concentration calculated. Serum IgE was measured by radioimmunoassay9 in 58 sera taken at random.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis broadly followed the method described by Isaacs et al. Briefly,
the appropriate polynomial regression model was fitted to the data and the residuals tested for normality. If a significant departure from normality was observed, a suitable transformation was sought. Thus a polynomial regression of mean IgG subclass value on age was obtained, satisfying the normality assumptions and giving an expected value which changed smoothly with age. For standard deviations, the age range was split into six groups (0–1, 1–2, 2–3, 3–4, 4–5, and 5–6 years). Polynomial regression of SD on age was then performed. The reference ranges are given by the fitted expected levels ± 1.96 × the fitted SDs, subsequently back transformed where appropriate.

Results

Normal ranges were found for the IgG subclasses 1–3 (Figs. 1, 2, 3). IgG1 values were found to conform closely to a normal distribution, so no transformation was necessary. Immunoglobulin G2 and IgG3 were approximately log normally distributed, so means and SDs of the logged data were fitted. The fitted polynomial regression equations are given in the appendix. All quoted coefficients were significant at P<0.0001. No transformation was found which would render the IgG4 data approximately normal, leading us to suspect that we are dealing with more than one population (Fig. 4). Splitting the population into two groups in various ways, however, yielded no improvement. Consequently no reference range for IgG4 has been produced. Fig. 5 shows a significant correlation between IgG4 and IgE values; however, the partial correlation of the two after adjustment for age is 0.11, indicating that this is not likely to be a direct effect.

Discussion

There is general agreement between the normal ranges provided here and those already published in young children,\textsuperscript{10–12} although there are discrepancies, particularly for the lower limits. This is probably due to inadequate numbers of subjects in these previous studies, or to their expressing the data as means (SD), or both. For accuracy, the normal values presented here should be used for frozen serum samples, since it is known that total IgG concentrations, measured by nephelometry,
may not give the same readings when stored frozen sera are compared with sera stored at 4°C.13

The ranges reported here differ from those recently published for English adult subjects using the same monoclonal antisera.14 This confirms previous studies which show that there is a further rise in all subclasses between the age of 6 and 20 years.10 11

The IgG2 values are particularly interesting as they are much lower in young children than in adults. Although IgG antibodies to techoic acid and some polysaccharides15 16 seem to be confined to the IgG2 subclass, the clinical importance of IgG2 deficiency is not yet clear. At all events, it is not uncommon for healthy children at 6 months to have IgG2 values of about 0·2 g/l. Such children usually also have very low serum IgA values.7 This suggests that IgG2 antibodies are not critical for host defence, and a deficiency of this subclass probably has to be accompanied by other defects before overt disease develops.

Wide variations are seen in the IgG4 concentrations, preventing a normal range being constructed. A similar problem is encountered with IgE values in both adults and children, and it is interesting that we found a significant association between serum IgG4 and IgE concentrations in our study. This apparent association may not be direct, however, and is probably due to a correlation of both IgG4 and IgE values with age.

In conclusion, this paper provides normal ranges for serum concentrations of IgG1, IgG2, and IgG3 which can be used by other laboratories, provided that standards are calibrated against the WHO serum and the assays are performed on frozen serum specimens. These normal ranges should help workers to assess the importance of low IgG subclass levels.
Appendix

The following are the polynomial regressions fitted to the means and SDs of the IgG values, transformed where necessary. Age is in years.

1. **IgG1.** Mean = 3.624 + 0.4428 age; SD = 2.166 –0.3797 age + 0.06274 age$^2$.

2. **Log (IgG2).** Mean = –0.7502 + 0.4377 age + 0.05667 age$^2$; SD = 0.541 –0.09016 age + 0.01756 age$^2$.

3. **Log (IgG3).** Mean = –1.16; SD = 0.4307 –0.05073 age + 0.009497 age$^2$.
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