Simple estimate of creatinine clearance from plasma creatinine in neonates
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SUMMARY  Thirteen newborn infants, 8 preterm and 5 term, with either mild or serious neonatal asphyxia were studied. From the first 24 hours of life to day 13, glomerular filtration rate (GFR) estimated by creatinine clearance was compared with the values obtained using Schwartz's formula: GFR (ml/min per 1·73 m²) = 0·55 × length (cm)/plasma creatinine (mg/100 ml). Both in term and preterm infants, values of formula-calculated creatinine clearance were slightly higher than values obtained by the classical method; nevertheless the data show significant correlations, respectively r = 0·867 and r = 0·795 (P < 0·001). This formula provides an adequate estimation of neonatal creatinine clearance (a marker for GFR) directly from plasma creatinine provided that body length is taken into consideration. The necessity for urine collection and the associated problems are thus obviated. The simplicity of Schwartz's formula permits easy monitoring of renal function, especially in neonatal asphyxia in which the risk of developing renal failure is great.

It has been shown that endogenous creatinine clearance provides an adequate estimation of inulin clearance, as a marker for glomerular filtration rate (GFR) in low birthweight infants between the 1st and 10th days of age. Tubular secretion of creatinine and plasma chromogens, including bilirubin, interfere with the accuracy but not with the reliability of endogenous creatinine clearance; nevertheless in clinical practice creatinine clearance remains the most commonly used laboratory assessment of glomerular function in neonates, despite methodological and technical problems of urine collection.

In 1979 Fawer et al. compared single injection clearance of inulin with the standard clearance in neonates aged between 1 and 20 days. They concluded that one should be cautious when interpreting results obtained by the single injection technique during the immediate postnatal period, even though this method has the advantage that it does not require urine collection.

Schwartz et al. in 1976, reported that an accurate estimate of GFR could be obtained from the simple determinations of plasma creatinine (Pc) and body length (l). An empirical formula derived by Schwartz in a study on infants aged at least 6 months can then be applied:

\[ \text{GFR (ml/min per 1·73m²)} = 0·55 \pm 1 \text{ (cm)/Pc (mg/100 ml)}. \]

Analogous conclusion was reported in 1976 by Counahan et al. who used a different constant. The discrepancy between the constant of Counahan and that of Schwartz, respectively 0·43 and 0·55, is not substantial in our opinion and may be explained as follows. For Schwartz (0·55) the Pc is represented by a total value while for Counahan (0·43) the Pc is a real value which does not include the non-creatinine chromogens. In 1977 Széld and Méhes confirmed Counahan's data but did not recommend the use of the formula for infants aged less than 1 year; for them 24-hour creatinine clearance was preferred, despite the difficulties in collecting urine.

The purpose of our investigation is to compare neonatal GFR estimated by creatinine clearance with that calculated by Schwartz's formula.

Materials and methods

The subjects of this study were 13 newborn infants admitted to our neonatal intensive care unit for neonatal asphyxia. Eight were preterm (Table 1), of whom one died on day 9 because of bilateral pneumothorax; 5 were born at term (Table 2).

Depending on the degree of fetal distress determined by the presence, after resuscitation, of respiratory distress, transient cardiomegaly, or cerebral irritation, each infant was designated as having either mild asphyxia at birth or serious postasphyxia syndrome. Infants with serious
postasphyxia were evaluated on the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 5th, 10th, and 13th days of life and those with mild asphyxia were evaluated on the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 10th days of life. Altogether 66 estimations were carried out. Accurate 24-hour urine samples were collected on these days and the plasma creatinine ($P_c$) and the creatininuria ($C_u$) were determined by means of the Technicon automatic method, which measures the creatinine total value.

Body surface was calculated from body weight using Vaughan's formula: body surface (m²) = (0.05 × kg) + 0.05. This formula is applicable for a range of body weight from 1 to 5 kg.

Endogenous creatinine clearance was calculated by the formula $UV/P$ and the result was related to 1.73 m² of body surface. The values were then compared with those estimated by Schwartz's formula: $GFR$ (ml/min per 1.73 m²) = $0.55 \times l$ (cm)/$P_c$ (mg/100 ml). On the above days, the crown-to-heel length was measured with a neonatometer using the method of Davies and Holding.

Results

As shown (Table 3) for both preterm and term infants, the average value for 24-hour creatinine clearance determinations is lower than the value found by Schwartz's formula. Values of formula-calculated creatinine clearance are higher than values obtained by the classical method, particularly for term infants; nevertheless the values show significant correlations. Our statistical analysis of the data, logarithmically treated to normalise the distributions, is shown in Tables 4 and 5. The correlation between the two methods is significant for preterm infants: $r = 0.795$ ($P < 0.001$) and improves still more for term ones: $r = 0.867$ ($P < 0.001$) (Figure). Mean and variances of individual logarithmic differences between 24-hour creatinine clearance and formula calculated creatinine clearance show constant and significant overestimation for the formula values in 21 out of 22 term infants and in 32 out of 44 preterm ones. The means of $dA = log 24$-hour creatinine clearance $- log (0.55 \times l/P_c)$ for preterm infants, and of $dB = log 24$-hour creatinine clearance $- log (0.55 \times l/P_c)$ for term infants, were $-0.089$ and $-0.059$, respectively, with standard errors of 0.027 and 0.031, respectively.

Table 1 Clinical data for 8 (4 boys and 4 girls) preterm infants (gestational age ≤ 37 weeks)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gestational age (weeks)</th>
<th>Birthweight (g)</th>
<th>Birth length (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>29.5 (3 - 1)</td>
<td>1604 (487)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>28 - 37</td>
<td>40 - 7 (3 - 7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Clinical data for 5 (4 boys and 1 girl) term infants (gestational age > 37 weeks)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gestational age (weeks)</th>
<th>Birthweight (g)</th>
<th>Birth length (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>39.4 (1 - 2)</td>
<td>3518 (500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>37.5 - 40.5</td>
<td>2650 - 3900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Means and standard deviations of 24-hour creatinine clearance (ml/min per 1.73 m²) and Schwartz's formula estimated values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preterm</th>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Preterm and term infants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-hour creatinine clearance (ml/min per 1.73 m²)</td>
<td>20.5 (14.1)</td>
<td>29.4 (14.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.55 \times l$ (cm)/plasma creatinine (mg/100 ml)</td>
<td>22.5 (10.8)</td>
<td>38.9 (14.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Between first 24 hours and 30th day of life.

Table 4 Relationship between GFR = 24-hour creatinine clearance (ml/min per 1.73 m²) and GFR as predicted by Schwartz's formula $0.55 \times l$ (cm)/$P_c$ (mg/100 ml) in preterm and term infants. Values are treated logarithmically

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preterm infants</th>
<th>Term infants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No of estimations*</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Means X (SE)</td>
<td>1.221 (0.043)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Means Y (SE)</td>
<td>1.309 (0.029)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y = aX + b$</td>
<td>$Y = 0.54X + 0.65$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s^2$</td>
<td>0.0143</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 Means, standard errors, and probability values for differences from zero of $dA$ and $dB$. Variance of $dA$ and $dB$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infants</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>$\bar{d}A$ or $\bar{d}B$</th>
<th>SE $(\bar{d}Q)$</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preterm</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>-0.089</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.0314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-0.146</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.0098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$dA$ = log 24-hour creatinine clearance values $- log (0.55 \times l/P_c)$ formula estimated values in preterm infants. $dB$ = log 24-hour creatinine clearance values $- log (0.55 \times l/P_c)$ formula estimated values in term infants. $\bar{d}A$, $\bar{d}B$ = means of $dA$ and $dB$. $SE (\bar{d}Q)$ = standard errors of $dA$ or $dB$. $V$ = variance of $dA$ or $dB$.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Between first 24 hours and 30th day of life.
Figure  Relationship between GFR = 24-hour creatinine clearance (ml/min per 1·73 m²) and GFR as predicted by Schwartz's formula 0·55 × 1 cm²/Pc (mg/100 ml). The data were treated logarithmically. The lines show the calculated regression line and the 95% confidence limits for predicted values. Upper portion shows preterm infants, lower portion term infants.

\[
y = 0.54x + 0.65 \\
r = 0.795 \quad (P<0.001) \\
\text{n} = 44
\]

\[
y = 0.677x + 0.597 \\
r = 0.867 \quad (P<0.001) \\
\text{n} = 22
\]

- \( \log (0.55 \times 1/P_c) \) for term infants, differ significantly from zero confirming this overestimation. The variance of dA, significantly larger than that of dB (P < 0·01), shows greater variability in preterm infants (Table 5).

**Discussion**

The results of this study demonstrate the suitability of Schwartz's formula for determining creatinine clearance (a marker for GFR) for both groups of newborn infants. This is noteworthy since the estimation of creatinine clearance by the classical method requires the collection of urine, at best a difficult task with neonates. In the past, Schwartz's formula has not been applied to so young an infant. Szolid and Méhes\(^\text{10}\) found that for infants aged less than 1 year, a low correlation coefficient existed between the classic 24-hour creatinine clearance and the formula values. Their data however, are hard to justify as the age range they studied was too wide (1 month to 1 year) and the number of determinations too few (21).

Our results show that within 1–30 days of life creatinine clearance can be directly determined from plasma creatinine provided body length is taken into consideration: GFR (ml/min per 1·73 m²) = 0·55 × 1 (cm)/Pc (mg/100 ml).

In preterm infants, the slightly greater range of values can be reasonably explained by greater individual differences and by more frequent estimation errors. In our opinion the overestimation found in this study does not allow both methods to be used alternately in the same patient. In conclusion, renal function can be easily followed using Schwartz's formula especially in neonatal asphyxia where the risk of developing renal failure is great. Therefore, total plasma creatinine and body length permit a rapid, simple, but slightly higher estimation of creatinine clearance in preterm and term newborn infants, thus obviating the necessity for urine collection.
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