Rectal absorption of diazepam in epileptic children
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SUMMARY The absorption of diazepam after rectal administration was studied in children with epilepsy. When given as a solution, diazepam was rapidly absorbed and produced serum diazepam concentrations above 200 ng/ml within 10 minutes in most children. However, a commercial suppository formulation was absorbed slowly and cannot be recommended for urgent treatment of fits. There is a need in the UK for a rapidly absorbed preparation of diazepam which is approved for rectal use.

Fits are a common problem in paediatric practice; before age 5 years between 7 and 8% of children will each have had at least one febrile or non-febrile fit. Prolonged fits in young children are known to be damaging to the brain, in particular to the temporal lobes, and may lead to chronic epilepsy. Urgent treatment is therefore essential. Although intravenous diazepam is the drug of choice to terminate a prolonged fit or status epilepticus, this route of administration is impractical if the fit occurs at home.

Intramuscular diazepam is erratically absorbed, and this route is not satisfactory for immediate treatment. Rectal administration of diazepam suppositories results in low plasma levels attained after delays of up to 2 hours. However, rectal administration of diazepam solution leads to rapid absorption with peak plasma levels within 10 minutes, and this form of treatment has been recommended for home use; however no such preparation is marketed in the UK. We report a comparison of the absorption of diazepam in children after administration as a solution or a suppository.

Patients and methods

Thirteen epileptic children aged between 1 and 15 years were studied. They had been admitted to the John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, for assessment for a ketogenic diet for the control of intractable seizures. They were regularly receiving a variety of antiepileptic drugs—such as phenytoin, phenobarbitone, carbamazepine, sodium valproate, and clonazepam. Informed consent was obtained from the parents, and the study was approved by the local ethical committee. Diazepam was administered electively to assess the absorption of the drug in rectal solution or suppository so as to assess the future use of such modes of treatment at home.

The following preparations were used: Valium (Roche) solution for intravenous administration (10 mg/2 ml) and Stesolid (Dumex, Copenhagen) suppository (5 mg and 10 mg). The children were...
fasted for 24 hours for establishment of the ketogenic diet, and diazepam was administered in the morning of each test day. No bowel preparation was used. Five children received in a random order either rectal diazepam solution or suppository in a dose of 0.2-0.7 mg/kg. After an interval of at least 48 hours the alternative preparation was given to each.

Eight additional unselected children received only one preparation. Diazepam solution was administered using a plastic syringe fitted with a soft polyethylene catheter 10–12 cm in length. The dead space in the catheter was allowed for so that the correct dose of drug was instilled into the rectum. The suppository was inserted manually to one digit length.

Clotted blood samples, of 1 ml, were taken using an indwelling butterfly needle at the following times: before drug administration, then 5, 10, 20, 30, and 60 minutes after rectal solution, and at 0, 10, 20, 30, 60, 90, and 120 minutes after the suppository formulation. Determination of diazepam in serum was performed by gas liquid chromatography.

**Results**

**Within-subject comparison.** Fig. 1 shows the serum diazepam concentration profile in each of the 5 children who received both preparations. Diazepam solution was rapidly absorbed in 4 of them, aged 1–9 years, peak serum concentrations of 350–800 ng/ml being attained within 10–30 minutes. The absorption after administration of the suppository was slower, and peak serum levels were obtained after delays of 60–90 minutes in 3 children. One 15-year-old child (Case 5) showed a slow rate of absorption after administration of the rectal solution. Peak serum concentration was not achieved within 60 minutes. Table 1 (upper half) shows the serum concentration at 10 minutes in these 5 children. In 4 of them the serum concentration at 10 minutes was much higher after rectal administration of diazepam in solution than after the suppository.

**All subjects.** Fig. 2 shows the serum concentration profiles of diazepam after rectal administration of diazepam solution in each child. Peak serum concentration...
concentrations were achieved in all but one within 30 minutes and, with the exception of Case 3, who received only 0.2 mg/kg, serum levels remained above 200 ng/ml for up to 60 minutes. Serum diazepam concentrations after the suppository formulation (Fig. 2) appeared to peak 60–120 minutes after the insertion of the suppository in some of them, but the duration of sampling was not long enough to show the peak in others. The rate of absorption after this route of administration was clearly slower than after the rectal solution.

The Table compares the serum diazepam concentration at 10 minutes after each of the two dosage forms. The mean dose administered in suppository form was slightly greater than that administered in solution. Despite this the serum diazepam concentration at 10 minutes was significantly higher in the children receiving the solution.

Discussion

Diazepam administered rectally as a solution is absorbed faster than when given as a suppository. Peak serum levels of only 210–390 ng/ml were attained after delays of 60–90 minutes in the majority of patients receiving the suppository.

Information is limited on the serum concentration of diazepam that needs to be achieved for an effective anticonvulsant action to be seen. Data suggest that serum levels of between 150 and 300 ng/ml are required. Our results show that in all but one case serum levels above 150 ng/ml were attained within 10 minutes after rectal solution, whereas delays of up to 60 minutes were observed with the suppository. Our findings agree with those of others and indicate that this route of administration and dosage form may be an effective alternative to intravenous administration in treating seizures in children.

However, there is no dosage form available in the UK which is marketed for rectal use. In the data sheet for Valium Roche no mention is made of rectal use and indeed this has not been approved by the Committee on Safety of Medicines. Nevertheless, mothers have been instructed to use Valium ampoules, drawing-up the drug with a needle and syringe and then administering the solution by placing the barrel of the syringe directly into the rectum or by attaching a soft polyethylene catheter to the syringe. No toxicological consequence of this practice has so far been reported, although solutions for rectal use have been available for several years in Scandinavian countries: Apozepez and Vival Ampoules for rectal use (Aphothekernes Laboratorium for Specialpraeparater) and Stesolid rectal tubes (Dumex).

Administration of a solution can present problems for a distressed mother facing a convulsing infant. Suppositories are therefore a more convenient dosage form but of those preparations commercially available in Europe (Stesolid Dumex; Valium Roche) both have the major disadvantage of a slow rate of absorption and are therefore unsatisfactory for use in an emergency.

Advances in the manufacture of suppository formulations are likely to lead to the development of rapidly absorbed suppositories, but until these become available, the use of rectal solution administered in the manner outlined by Bower is a realistic alternative.
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