Improved accuracy of lactose tolerance test in children, using expired H₂ measurement
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SUMMARY Expired hydrogen and blood glucose were measured during an oral lactose tolerance test in 163 children aged between 9 months and 14 years. Lactose malabsorption, defined as an abnormal increase in expired H₂ during a lactose tolerance test, was found in 54 children. Of these, 30 were found to be lactose intolerant as the increased expired H₂ was accompanied by clinical symptoms. The other 109 children, in whom there was no rise in expired H₂, were assumed to have normal lactose absorption. In children with lactose intolerance the increase in expired H₂ tended to occur earlier after lactose ingestion than in children with malabsorption. The mean value of the rise in blood glucose was 2.4 mmol/l (43 mg/100 ml) in the lactose-tolerant children and 1.0 mmol/l (18 mg/100 ml) in the lactose-intolerant ones. Although this difference is significant (P<0.001), the rise in blood glucose, in predicting the correct diagnosis, was wrong in 13% of cases in the lactose-tolerant group, and wrong in 37% in the lactose-intolerant group (95% confidence limits 9–19% and 22–53% respectively). It is concluded that a rise in blood glucose, whether or not of more than 1.2 mmol/l (22 mg/100 ml) is of little help in differentiating lactose tolerance from intolerance.

Lactose malabsorption is usually diagnosed by the failure of blood glucose to rise normally above the fasting level after an oral lactose tolerance test (LTT). However a subnormal rise in glucose (Δ-glucose) is an imperfect indicator of lactose malabsorption, as it tells us the portion of lactose which is absorbed, not the portion unabsorbed which is what causes the clinical symptoms. Apart from this, many factors known to influence the blood glucose level render the diagnostic value of Δ-glucose doubtful (Krasilnikoff et al., 1975; Garza and Scrimshaw, 1976; Harrison and Walker-Smith, 1977). It is therefore not surprising that there is no agreement about the value for Δ-glucose diagnostic of lactose malabsorption. It has recently been found that the LTT is interpreted more accurately by measuring expired hydrogen than by Δ-glucose, both in adults (Calloway et al., 1969; Levitt, 1969; Newcomer et al., 1975) and children (Maffei et al., 1977; Fernandes et al., 1978).

Using a procedure for H₂ determination, adapted for children and infants (Douwes et al., 1978; Fernandes et al., 1978), we have evaluated the diagnostic value of Δ-glucose in relation to expired H₂ and to clinical symptoms after an oral LTT.

Patients and methods

An LTT was performed on 163 patients ranging in age from 9 months to 14 years. Most had a history of chronic or recurrent diarrhoea and/or unexplained abdominal pain, with or without bloating. Some patients were examined because of inflammatory bowel disease or cystic fibrosis, conditions known to have an increased frequency of lastase deficiency.

Lactose (2 g/kg, maximum 50 g, 20% solution) was given orally at 9 a.m. after an overnight fast. Capillary blood was taken by finger prick at 0, 15, 30, 45, 60, 90, 120, and 150 minutes for blood glucose estimation. The mean of the glucose levels at 0 and 15 minutes was taken as the fasting level. A Δ-glucose of >1.2 mmol/l was considered to be normal.

H₂ was measured every 30 min during a period of 180 minutes. In children aged 4 years or older, expired H₂ could be measured with a rebreathing system (Fernandes et al., 1978). An excretion >0.1 ml H₂/min was considered abnormal. In
younger children and infants expired air was collected from 2 or 3 breaths (Douwes et al., 1978). An increase of ≥10 ppm H₂ above the fasting value was considered abnormal. In 48 children both methods were applied and the results were found concordant positive in 14, concordant negative in 32, and discrepant in 2. The last result was because one valve of the sampler was found to leak.

Lactose malabsorption was diagnosed on one criterion: an abnormal increase of expired H₂ during an LTT. Lactose intolerance was diagnosed on two criteria: an abnormal increase of expired H₂, and abdominal pain and/or diarrhoea after the ingestion of lactose.

The patient was considered lactose tolerant if no rise in H₂ excretion could be detected.

**Results**

In 163 oral LTTs, both expired H₂ and blood glucose concentrations were measured. No increase in expired H₂ could be detected in 109 children, and these were considered to be lactose tolerant. An abnormal rise of H₂, indicating some degree of malabsorption, was found in 54 patients, among whom symptoms were absent in 24 and present in 30. The latter were considered to be lactose intolerant. Final diagnoses of this group are listed in Table 1.

The presence or absence of symptoms was recorded in 45 of the 109 patients who had no rise in H₂ production after an oral LTT: 6 of these complained of symptoms. Δ-Glucose of 109 lactose-tolerant patients and 54 lactose malabsorbers is shown in Fig. 1A. Δ-Glucose of 109 lactose-tolerant and 30 lactose-intolerant patients is shown in Fig. 1B.

The mean values for Δ-glucose of the patients with lactose malabsorption without clinical symptoms (group 1), lactose intolerance (group 1A), and normal lactose absorption (group 2) were 1.4, 1.0, and 2.4 mmol/l, respectively. The mean values were significantly different between groups 1A and 2 and between groups 1 and 2 (P<0.001), but not between groups 1 and 1A. However, a wide overlap both above and below the arbitrarily chosen Δ-glucose of 1.2 mmol/l as the lowest normal value is apparent from Fig. 1. Δ-Glucose failed to indicate the correct condition in half the children in group 1 (lactose malabsorption), 37% of group 1A (lactose intolerance), and 13% of group 2 (normal lactose absorption) (Table 2). The time interval between lactose administration and an abnormal increase in expired H₂ in patients with lactose malabsorption and the subgroup with additional lactose-intolerance is shown in Fig. 2. There is a tendency for a shorter time lag in the intolerant group, but both groups overlapped.

**Table 1** Final diagnosis in 30 lactose-intolerant children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Proved lactase deficiency*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lactase deficiency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetic</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coeliac disease</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cystic fibrosis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short bowel</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crohn's disease</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Proved by enzyme assay (the other patients were not biopsied).
Table 2  \( \Delta \)-Glucose (mmol/l) during a lactose tolerance test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \Delta )-Glucose</th>
<th>Lactose tolerance* (n=109)</th>
<th>Lactose malabsorption† (n=54)</th>
<th>Lactose intolerance‡ (n=30)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0.2-6.4</td>
<td>0.9-5.0</td>
<td>0.3-3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False %</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>36.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% confidence limits</td>
<td>8.8-18.7</td>
<td>38.1-61.9</td>
<td>22.1-53.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Expired \( \text{H}_2 \) not increased; †increased expired \( \text{H}_2 \); ‡increased expired \( \text{H}_2 \) plus abdominal symptoms.

Fig. 2  Time lag between lactose ingestion and abnormal increase of expired \( \text{H}_2 \) in patients with lactose malabsorption and those with lactose intolerance.

Discussion

It has been emphasised that the peak rise in blood glucose (\( \Delta \)-glucose) during an oral LTT can be influenced by factors other than hydrolysis and absorption of the sugar. These factors are of intestinal (peristalsis) and extraintestinal origin (normal or under use of glucose) (Krasilnikoff et al., 1975; Garza and Scrimshaw, 1976; Harrison and Walker-Smith, 1977). It is therefore not surprising that \( \Delta \)-glucose after lactose ingestion differentiates poorly between normal lactose absorption and lactose malabsorption (James, 1972; Harrison and Walker-Smith, 1977), lactose intolerance (Garza and Scrimshaw, 1976; Harrison and Walker-Smith, 1977), and lactase deficiency (James, 1972; Krasilnikoff et al., 1975; Newcomer et al., 1975).

Excess of reducing substances in the stool, with high lactic acid content and low pH, if present, are of great help in this differentiation (James, 1972; Harrison and Walker-Smith, 1977), but only a few lactose-intolerant patients show these abnormalities. In the present study 2 of 11 stools examined showed them.

The fact that lactose malabsorption can be detected by increased expired \( \text{H}_2 \), originating from bacterial fermentation of lactose residue in the colon, is an important contribution to the diagnosis. Recent experience shows that the method is accurate and sensitive (Levitt, 1969; Newcomer et al., 1975), and can be adapted easily for children and infants (Maffei et al., 1977; Douwes et al., 1978; Fernandes et al., 1978). Taking normal or increased \( \text{H}_2 \) excretion as a reflection of normal or poor absorption of lactose, this parameter has been used to evaluate \( \Delta \)-glucose during an LTT in children (Maffei et al., 1977). Maffei et al. measured expired \( \text{H}_2 \) in 23 children with chronic diarrhoea and in 4 controls during LTT. They found no correlation between the \( \text{H}_2 \) production and blood glucose rise, but blood glucose was measured in only 7 of the \( \text{H}_2 \)-producing patients and in 2 controls. We agree with their conclusions, but find the number of observations too small.

In the present study, of 163 children 54 showed increased \( \text{H}_2 \) during an LTT. Of these, 27 showed a normal \( \Delta \)-glucose (\( \geq 1.2 \) mmol/l) (Fig. 1). Thus half of the lactose malabsorbers had a false normal \( \Delta \)-glucose. 30 of the 54 malabsorbers were diagnosed as being intolerant because they had clinical symptoms; of these 11 showed a normal \( \Delta \)-glucose. This amounts to 37% with a falsely normal \( \Delta \)-glucose. 109 patients showed no increased \( \text{H}_2 \) excretion and were therefore assumed to be lactose tolerant. Of these 14 had a \( \Delta \)-glucose <1.2 mmol/l, which amounts to an error of 13%. Extrapolating these results to larger populations, the incidence of a false normal \( \Delta \)-glucose would have been 38–62% for lactose malabsorption, and 22–53% for lactose intolerance, and the incidence of a false abnormal \( \Delta \)-glucose 9–19% for lactose tolerance (Table 2). Thus, \( \Delta \)-glucose during the LTT, appears to be an unreliable indicator of lactose malabsorption and lactose intolerance, and should be replaced by more reliable methods—such as the \( \text{H}_2 \) test.

Although we did not aim to use the \( \text{H}_2 \) test for differentiating lactose malabsorption from lactose intolerance, we confirmed the observation of Maffei et al. (1977) that the increase in \( \text{H}_2 \) excretion tends to be early in lactose-intolerant patients (Fig. 2). However, the time lag between lactose administration and an increase in \( \text{H}_2 \) excretion overlaps in both conditions. Furthermore, we noticed that some
of the patients with an early rise of expired H₂ and biopsy-proved lactase deficiency, did not develop symptoms during or shortly after the test but did so on a repeat test. These facts suggest that there is a gradual transition from lactose malabsorption to lactose intolerance.
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