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Brooke, O. G., and Salvosa, C. B. (1974). Archives of Disease in Childhood, 49, 123. Response of malnourished babies to heat. Twelve seriously malnourished Jamaican babies were investigated before and after treatment to discover whether they were unduly susceptible to heat in the malnourished state. On exposure to an environmental temperature of 38 °C at low humidity their mean rectal temperature increased at a rate of 0.75 °C/hour when they were malnourished, while after recovery it showed little change. During heat exposure total evaporative water loss in the recovered children was, on average, 44% greater than it was in the malnourished state, and in the malnourished children there was a significant inverse relation between total evaporative water loss and rise in rectal temperature. Measured sweat loss under a ventilated capsule after an intradermal diaphoretic injection in 7 of the children confirmed that sweating was impaired when they were malnourished. Rectal and skin temperatures at sweat onset were unchanged after recovery, indicating that central homoeostatic mechanisms were probably not disturbed. Peripheral vasodilatation in response to heat was unimpaired. Children with severe skin lesions had the most defective sweating, and malnutrition may damage the sweat glands as it does the hair follicles. It is very important to nurse malnourished children at the correct temperature; an environmental temperature of about 29 °C is satisfactory in most cases.

It is a well-known but poorly documented clinical observation that malnourished children may run intermittent low-grade fever for which no infective cause can be found (Underwood Ground, 1954; Kahn, Rand, and Walker, 1954). Faulty adaptation to heat stress was proposed as the reason for the increased rate of summer admissions among children with kwashiorkor in Johannesburg (Wayburne, 1968), and in heated wards in Pretoria hyperthermia is more common than hypothermia in malnourished children (Wharton, 1968), the reverse of the usual findings in Africa or Jamaica (Staff, 1968; Brooke, 1972a). This increased susceptibility to heat has received little attention. Kahn and his co-workers (1954) measured sweat production under an absorbant patch on the anterior abdominal wall in oedematous malnourished children who were exposed to heat. They found that it was reduced compared with normal controls, but this method of testing may not reflect the overall capacity for evaporative heat loss. They did not study children with non-oedematous malnutrition (marasmus) or examine the possible relation between the presence of skin lesions and impairment of sweating. In

Jamaica most children with malnutrition have mild oedema or none at all, while moderate-severe skin changes are common (Alleyne, 1970). We have studied 12 malnourished children, only one of whom had generalized oedema, before and after recovery to see whether their physiological responses to heat were impaired, particularly in those with widespread skin lesions. Defective sweating would have important implications for the nursing care of these children in tropical climates.

Patients and methods

The children who were studied were all seriously malnourished on admission to the Tropical Metabolism Research Unit. They were selected to exclude any with fever or more than trivial infection. Clinical details are given in the Table. Only one child had generalized oedema, indicated by the symbol ++. They averaged 49% of their expected weight for age and 77% of their expected weight for height on admission, and averaged 70% and 103% of these parameters on discharge (Boston standards, Nelson, 1969). The children were studied within 72 hours of admission to the unit and again on recovery, when they had reached their expected weight for height.

Two kinds of studies were done. In the first the babies were placed naked (except for a plastic urine
TABLE
Clinical details of 12 malnourished Jamaican children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case no.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age on admission (mth)</th>
<th>Weight on admission (kg)</th>
<th>Height on admission (cm)</th>
<th>Oedema*</th>
<th>Skin and hair changes (graded†)</th>
<th>Weight on recovery (kg)</th>
<th>Height on recovery (cm)</th>
<th>Age on recovery (mth)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>5-3</td>
<td>49-7</td>
<td>65-0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7-78</td>
<td>68-0</td>
<td>8-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>10-1</td>
<td>5-02</td>
<td>63-5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7-31</td>
<td>65-0</td>
<td>11-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>10-7</td>
<td>4-36</td>
<td>62-5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6-15</td>
<td>65-0</td>
<td>13-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>6-0</td>
<td>5-19</td>
<td>64-0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8-47</td>
<td>66-0</td>
<td>7-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>9-6</td>
<td>3-86</td>
<td>57-5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6-40</td>
<td>62-5</td>
<td>11-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6-2</td>
<td>3-82</td>
<td>51-5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6-18</td>
<td>59-0</td>
<td>8-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6-2</td>
<td>2-42</td>
<td>49-5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4-63</td>
<td>54-0</td>
<td>8-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>13-0</td>
<td>7-38</td>
<td>68-7</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10-26</td>
<td>70-5</td>
<td>14-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>16-3</td>
<td>5-04</td>
<td>64-0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8-67</td>
<td>67-0</td>
<td>17-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>8-4</td>
<td>4-43</td>
<td>65-5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8-30</td>
<td>73-0</td>
<td>10-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6-1</td>
<td>3-13</td>
<td>52-0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5-84</td>
<td>58-0</td>
<td>7-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4-0</td>
<td>3-39</td>
<td>51-5</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4-89</td>
<td>56-0</td>
<td>5-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>8-5</td>
<td>4-42</td>
<td>59-6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7-07</td>
<td>63-7</td>
<td>10-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* + + , generalized oedema; + , oedema of legs; 0, none.
†Grading of skin and hair changes: 0, no changes; 1, hair changes only; 2, mild skin lesions (dyspigmentation, scaling); 3, generalized skin changes with pigmented changes and desquamation; 4, severe generalized lesions with ulceration.

In the second series of studies sweat production was measured under a ventilated capsule in Cases 6 to 12, after intradermal injection of 0.025 mg carbachol. The capsule, which covered an area of 9 cm², was held to the lateral surface of the right mid-thigh with rubber bands. Dry air was drawn through the capsule at a constant measured rate for 30 minutes before and 30 minutes after the diaphoretic injection. Water that evaporated from the skin surface during these periods was removed from the air in a concentrated H₂SO₄ bubbler and measured by accurate weighing. These studies were carried out in duplicate at room temperature which, during the period of the studies, did not exceed 30 °C.

During recovery in the unit the babies were not subjected to any other thermal stresses which might have produced unusual heat acclimatization.

Maternal consent was obtained for all the studies.

Results
Total evaporative water loss, body temperature, and blood flow measurements in a hot environment. Fig. 1 shows the changes in rectal temperature and total evaporative water loss during warming. Rectal temperatures increased rapidly in the malnourished children, rising in 85 minutes from 36.87 °C (SD 0.37) to 37.93 °C (SD 0.36), a rate of 0.75 °C/hour. When they had recovered, their rectal temperatures rose from 37.27 °C (SD 0.23) to 37.61 °C (SD 0.25) during the same heat stress, an increase of only 0.34 °C. In neutral conditions at the beginning of the studies the children's rectal temperatures were on average 0.37 °C lower when they were malnourished than when they had recovered (t = 3.7, P < 0.01, paired comparison). After a half-hour at raised environmental temperature their mean rectal temperature
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**Fig. 1.**—Mean change in rectal temperature and total evaporative water loss in the 12 children during exposure to environmental temperature of 38 °C when malnourished and after recovery. Bars indicate SDs.
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was 0.33 °C higher when they were malnourished than it was after recovery (t = 2.5, P < 0.05).

After recovery the children had greater total evaporative water loss, both before and during exposure to heat. In the final half-hour of warming this amounted to a mean percentage increase of 44% over the values obtained in the malnourished state. This was significant (t = 2.3, P < 0.05). Three babies (Cases 4, 10, and 12) had virtually no sweating when they were malnourished. These 3 all had severe dermatoses.

In the malnourished state there was a significant inverse relation between total evaporative water loss and rise in rectal temperature. This is shown in Fig. 2. Also shown in Fig. 2 is the extent of skin involvement by malnutrition dermatosis in each case. There is a tendency for sweat production to decrease as skin lesions become more severe.

We examined body temperature in relation to sweat onset in case the nervous mechanisms concerned with the control of sweating were disturbed. Sweat onset was easy to determine in the individual cases because there was always a simultaneous sharp rise in total evaporative water loss. These changes become smoothed out in the histogram of the mean evaporation shown in Fig. 1. Mean rectal temperature at sweat onset in the malnourished state was 37.30 °C, and after recovery it was 37.32 °C, which is not significantly different. Neither was there a significant difference in the mean skin temperature at sweat onset, which was 36.59 °C when the children were malnourished and 36.22 °C when they had recovered.

Changes in peripheral blood flow are shown in Fig. 3. Both methods of measurement showed a slightly greater increase in the malnourished than in the recovered children. By the photoelectric method the difference was 13.2% during the last half-hour of warming (t = 2.2, P = 0.05), and by the heat flow method it was 12.5% (t = 2.7, P < 0.05). We do not know whether the greater flow in the malnourished children is biologically significant or whether it is an artifact caused by thinning of the skin and subcutaneous tissues. At any rate there was probably no impairment of the vasodilator response to heat in the malnourished state. The rapid onset of vasodilatation in both malnourished and recovered children is the result of whole body warming and contrasts with the much slower response generally found in adults when

**Fig. 2.**—Relation between change in rectal temperature and total evaporative water loss during a half-hour exposure to environmental temperature of 38 °C in the 12 malnourished children. Numbers indicate grading of skin lesions (see Table).
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\[ r = 0.76 \]

\[ P < 0.01 \]
peripheral heating is used. Mean pulse rate in the malnourished children increased from 108 to 134 beats/min during the period of warming. After recovery it increased from 116 to 127 beats/min.

**Sweat production under the ventilated capsule.** Fig. 4 shows the percentage increase in evaporative water loss after the intradermal diaphoretic injection. The mean increase in the malnourished state was 168% (SD 94). After recovery it was 446% (SD 230). The latter represents a significant increase in the sweat response (t = 3·1, P < 0·02). In general, the malnourished children with clinically significant skin lesions had the lowest sweating response.

**Discussion**

The malnourished children whom we studied were intolerant of heat and their body temperatures rose rapidly during exposure to heat in spite of their nakedness and the low humidity in the chamber which favoured the evaporation of sweat. This was mainly due to defective sweat production, since peripheral blood flow increased to a greater extent in the malnourished state than it did after recovery. The malnourished child has the additional disadvantage that his thermal capacity and tissue insulation are reduced (Brooke, 1973), and hence a high environmental temperature imposes a greater stress than it does in a normal child of similar age.

Our observations on the peripheral vasomotor responses of these children make it unlikely that the impairment of sweating is due to poor skin blood flow as suggested by Kahn et al. (1954). Nor can it be attributed to oedema as it has been in congestive heart failure (Burch, 1946), since most of the children had little or no oedema. The set-point for sweat onset was evidently not altered in our children, so it seems that the functioning of the sweat glands themselves is probably at fault. Support for this is given by the finding that in general the children with the worst dermatoses sweated the least, both during body heating and after diaphoretic injection. Morphological changes in sweat glands of malnourished children have not been reported but Rangam, Bhagwat, and Gupta (1962), in a series of skin biopsies from 31 children with kwashiorkor, commented that sweat glands were infrequently seen and those that were had surrounding collections of histiocytes. The significance of these observations is not clear but it is reasonable to suppose that a nutritional insult which is capable of disturbing pigment formation and the function of hair follicles would also affect other specialized skin components. An electron microscopical study of sweat gland structure in malnourished children might throw more light on this. It is of interest that two children (Cases 6 and 7) who had hair changes but no visible dermatosis sweated less than the 3 other children who had neither skin nor hair changes.
Response of malnourished babies to heat

(Cases 1, 5, and 9), so sweat function may be a sensitive indication of the effects of malnutrition on the skin.

In the light of these findings it is of importance to ensure that malnourished children are not exposed to high ambient temperatures, particularly direct tropical sunlight, since pyrexia will quickly result. As these children are also susceptible to cold (Staff, 1968; Brooke, 1972a), it becomes critical to ensure that they are nursed at the correct temperature, and there is evidence from our own observations (unpublished) and those of Kulin and Kiss-Szabó (1966) that an environmental temperature of about 29 °C is suitable in most cases.

C.B.S. is in receipt of a WHO fellowship.
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