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It has been shown that total curarization and intermittent positive pressure respiration (IPPR) improves the prognosis of newborn babies suffering from tetanus (Wright, Sykes, Jackson, Mann, and Adams, 1961), but facilities for its use are not always available. The physician responsible for treating a patient may have to turn to simpler means such as tracheostomy. Some consider operation not one-tracheostomy—which respirator and available made respirators with tetanus. The physician and Woodhall, 1959; Jones, Group 1961; Stothers, 1844; Smythe, 1956; Reading, 1949, 1958; Hugh-Jones, 1959; Smythe, 1963; Plum and Dunning, 1956; Stothers, 1956; Forbes, Salmon, and Herweg, 1947.

The presence of tracheostomy was based upon the criteria for tracheostomy, where criteria for tracheostomy were absent; operation not performed. The selection of patients for Groups A or B depended upon the availability of respirators. The number of respirators available made it impossible for all patients to have IPPR.

The decision to select according to availability of a respirator and not by random sampling was based upon the ethics of depriving neonates of a form of treatment—IPPR—which was known to reduce mortality, in favour of one—tracheostomy—which Wright (1960) abandoned because it did not improve prognosis.

The criteria for tracheostomy were as follows.
(1) The presence of more than one spontaneous spasm lasting 10-minute period of observation following an initial dose of chlorpromazine and phenobarbitone (see below); or (2) flaccidity in a baby who had been admitted hypotonic with severe spasms; or (3) crowing respira-
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Material and Methods

Studies were made on 95 newborn babies in the Tetanus Research Unit. They were divided into three groups: Group A, where criteria for tracheostomy were present; operation performed. Group B, where criteria for tracheostomy were present; operation not performed. Group C, where criteria for tracheostomy were absent; operation not performed.

The selection of patients for Groups A or B depended upon the availability of respirators. The number of respirators available made it impossible for all patients to have IPPR.

The decision to select according to availability of a respirator and not by random sampling was based upon the ethics of depriving neonates of a form of treatment—IPPR—which was known to reduce mortality, in favour of one—tracheostomy—which Wright (1960) abandoned because it did not improve prognosis.

The criteria for tracheostomy were as follows.
(1) The presence of more than one spontaneous spasm lasting 10-minute period of observation following an initial dose of chlorpromazine and phenobarbitone (see below); or (2) flaccidity in a baby who had been admitted hypotonic with severe spasms; or (3) crowing respira-
breathing, the trial of tracheostomy was discontinued. The baby was then treated according to the IPPR regimen previously described (Mann, Jackson, and Holloway, 1963).

If the criteria for tracheostomy had been flaccidity or continuous spasms, the subject was observed closely for the development of cyanosis or gasping respiration. When these occurred, or if the spasms became so frequent as to be associated with every breath, treatment was attempted. For continuous spasms 66 mg. phenobarbitone was given i.m. and 30 minutes allowed to elapse for the drug to take effect. For cyanosis and gasping respiration the resuscitation technique above was used. If either of these failed, the trial was discontinued and IPPR used.

The criteria for giving IPPR were cyanosis, gasping respiration, and apnoea in those patients who lost the ability to respond to resuscitation. For the purpose of this study these criteria were taken to constitute respiratory failure.

Results

Of the 95 newborn babies, 70 developed one of the criteria for tracheostomy. Of these, 47 had tracheostomies (Group A) and were observed for progress. All of them developed respiratory failure and were given IPPR. The mortality in this group was 53% (25 died).

Of the remaining 23 (Group B) who developed the criteria for tracheostomy but who had neither tracheostomy nor respiratory treatment, 21 died (91%).

The difference in mortality between Group A (with tracheostomy and IPPR) and Group B (criteria for tracheostomy but no further treatment) was statistically significant (p < 0.01).

All 25 patients in Group C (those who did not develop the criteria for tracheostomy) survived. The difference in mortality between Group A and Group C was statistically significant (p < 0.001).

The mortality in the three groups of patients is given in the Table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Mortality in Three Groups of Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>No. of Patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

All the babies who died in Group B (no tracheostomy or respirator) suffered episodes of respiratory arrest. In most the final episode was one of many, but whereas early on they responded to resuscitation, eventually this response was lost. The babies in Group A also suffered episodes of apnoea, but when they lost their ability to respond they were prevented from continuing respiratory arrest by artificial respiration.

The third group, who received no treatment other than sedation, differed from the above two in not developing the criteria for tracheostomy and having the lowest mortality.

These findings indicate a close correlation between the development of respiratory failure and poor prognosis. The patients with the lowest mortality were those who never developed signs which we now recognize to be associated with eventual respiratory failure (frequent spasms, flaccidity, crowing respiration, cyanosis, and gasping respiration), and if those who did (Group A and B) were given respiratory assistance (Group A) the mortality was lower.

It is of practical interest to know whether there is any correlation between respiratory failure and the severity of the disease. Unfortunately there are at least three methods for estimating severity and though they give similar results there are differences which can lead to confusion.

Spivey, Grulee, and Hickman (1953) classified their patients according to severity, using the time between the occurrence of the wound and the first symptom (incubation period). He believed that an incubation period of less than 7 days was associated with severe tetanus.

Cole (1940) introduced the period of onset (time between the first symptom and the first spasm) and showed that a short period of onset was associated with the disease in a severe form.

Adams (1958) confirmed Cole's findings and added that a correlation existed between the frequency of spasms on admission and the severity of the disease. One or more spasms during a 10-minute undisturbed observation period occur in patients with severe tetanus. At the other end of the spectrum are those patients with mild tetanus who, no matter how stiff they become, never have spasms. Patients whose frequency of spasms lies between these two extremes are said to have moderate tetanus (E. B. Adams, 1966, personal communication). This was confirmed by Wright (1960), who found this classification more useful than one based on incubation period or period of onset.

The classification suggested by Adams has particular value when the population concerned is unsophisticated, as for example the rural African. Under these circumstances the history may be
unreliable and the medical attendant may be forced to depend on his own observations of the patient.

The mean incubation period of the patients in Groups A and B was 6.0 and 6.8 days, respectively, while those in Group C had a mean period of 9 days. The mean periods of onset were Group A, 7 hours; Group B, 6.4 hours; and Group C, 26 hours.

To be able to divide patients according to period of onset or incubation period and to have some certainty that the division has meaning in terms of correct treatment is difficult.

Adams (1958) found poor correlation between prognosis and incubation period, but that a period of onset of less than 48 hours was associated with a 60% mortality. His patients were all older than 1 month.

More than half of the newborn babies in Group C had a period of onset of less than 48 hours, yet all survived. In 5 out of 25 the history was so poor that the period of onset was unknown.

The classification of Adams is easier to apply than one based on onset or incubation and is as reliable. According to this, all the patients in Groups A and B had severe tetanus, while those in Group C were mild or moderate with the exception of three. This carries the important practical implication that newborn babies with severe tetanus have a 97% chance of developing respiratory failure.

The 25 mild and moderate cases did well without tracheostomy; clear evidence against the view that all newborn babies with tetanus should have tracheostomies. There appear to be none who need tracheostomy but do not need IPPR, since 99% of those with severe tetanus developed respiratory failure, while the remaining 3% survived on sedation alone.

It is possible that delaying IPPR until the onset of respiratory failure jeopardizes the infant's chances of survival. The mortality among those patients who were treated in this manner was 53% and this is higher than that of babies who were given IPPR as soon as the diagnosis of severe tetanus was made (mortality 36% among the last 100 babies treated in this unit).

Summary and Conclusions

An investigation on the place of tracheostomy in tetanus neonatorum reveals that: (1) tracheostomy alone does not confer any benefit; (2) intermittent positive pressure respiration is the treatment of choice for severe tetanus neonatorum as most of these infants develop respiratory failure; and (3) those with mild and moderate tetanus do well without tracheostomy or intermittent positive pressure respiration.
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