Dwarfism, Hypopituitarism, and Growth Hormone

Small stature or growth retardation is a frequent problem in children, often leading to serious psychological repercussions. In some patients, as for instance in those with achondroplasia, severe hypothyroidism, or classical Turner's syndrome, the underlying disorder can easily be recognized. More often the growth retarded child presents no other symptoms, and the cause of dwarfism remains obscure in spite of a good history and a careful physical examination. A few years ago, many of these children were treated with anabolic steroids without further investigation. Most of them respond to anabolic steroids with an impressive spurt of growth, but bone maturation is likely to be more accelerated than growth, resulting in a decrease rather than the intended increase in the final height attained. Now hope has turned from the anabolic steroids to growth hormone (GH) which in contrast to anabolic steroids stimulates growth rather than bone maturation.

GH shows a remarkable species specificity in chemical structure and biological activity. In man only human GH (HGH) is effective. The structure of HGH has recently been elucidated (Li, Liu, and Dixon, 1966). It is a polypeptide chain with a molecular weight of 21,500 consisting of 188 amino acids of known sequence. The synthesis of such a large molecule is not yet possible, but it may soon be possible to synthesize a smaller, biologically active part of the original molecule, as has been successfully done with ACTH. At the moment we still depend on HGH extracted from pituitary glands obtained at necropsy. The supply of this material is scarce, and experience with its therapeutic use still limited. So far it seems that dwarfs with supposed deficiency of GH respond with a marked growth acceleration, whereas dwarfs without GH deficiency show only a small or dubious response (Soyka, Ziskind, and Crawford, 1964; Mason and Tanner, 1967). It is, therefore, important to reserve the available HGH for treatment of children with hypopituitarism, and to refuse it to children with dwarfism of other origin.

This raises the question of how to diagnose GH deficiency in children, and this difficult problem is discussed in this issue of the Archives by several authors, Hubble; Stimmmer and Brown; Brown, Stimmmer, and Lines; and Clayton, Tanner, Newns, Whitehouse, and Renwick. Most workers in this discipline agree that on clinical grounds alone the diagnosis of GH deficiency can only be suspected, and that the impressive battery of available diagnostic tests solves many but not all diagnostic problems. Some of the tests are, as Hubble points out, time consuming and painful, and need a great deal of co-operation from the patient. Some require a highly specialized laboratory. The results are influenced by the presence or absence of the other anterior pituitary hormones TSH and ACTH. They further depend on the degree of GH deficiency, and on whether the primary disturbance is in the hypothalamus or in the pituitary. These variables explain the discrepancies of results from different centres, and the search for new and better diagnostic tests.

The best simple guide to the diagnosis of GH deficiency is the growth curve, as Hubble, and Clayton and her co-workers have concluded. It begins to flatten at the age of 3 months to 2 years. During the following years it shows an increasing distance from the normal 3rd centile. The bone age is much delayed, but there are no or only questionable clinical symptoms of hypothyroidism. All other clinical findings, such as the mild obesity, the doll-like appearance, the normal body proportions, and sexual infantilism, have little diagnostic value in children. In the absence of neurological and radiological signs of a craniopharyngioma, one has therefore to rely on laboratory tests.

Many but not all patients have a deficiency of TSH and/or ACTH, which is usually only recognized by appropriate functional studies. TSH function is evaluated mainly by PBI and radio-iodine uptake. ACTH deficiency can be recognized with the help of the metyrapone test, the plasma cortisol response to insulin-induced hypoglycaemia, and the water loading test (delay of water excretion, correctable by ACTH). Of these tests the water loading test is the most simple, and the metyrapone test probably the most sensitive. The response of plasma and urine corticoids to a prolonged ACTH test and to vasopressin (vasopressin acting like the corticotrophin releasing factor, CRF) may be normal...
in the presence of ACTH deficiency, indicating a normal pituitary-adrenal axis and pointing to a hypothalamic origin of the pituitary insufficiency (Landon, James, and Stoker, 1965; Landon, Greenwood, Stamp, and Wynn, 1966).

The best way to demonstrate GH deficiency is probably by the plasma GH response to insulin-induced hypoglycaemia (Roth, Glick, Yalow, and Berson, 1963) as discussed in this issue by Hubble and by Stimmmer and Brown. There are, however, only a few laboratories which have mastered the delicate radioimmunological GH assay, and the results occasionally contradict those from other tests. Hubble feels that this test does not separate hypopituitary from non-hypopituitary children as well as the N-retention test. Furthermore, Zimmerman, White, Daughaday, and Goetz (1967) have recently reported two male patients with TSH, ACTH, and gonadotrophin deficiency, with no plasma GH response to insulin-induced hypoglycaemia, yet with normal stature.

N-retention during a short HGH treatment period is higher in hypopituitary dwarfs than in control children (Prader, Illig, Székely, and Wagner, 1964a). The test is time consuming and tedious but has diagnostic value (Hubble, 1966, 1967; Brown et al., 1967). In theory it should tell us whether those patients with normal stature but without GH response to hypoglycaemia really lack GH, and whether the intriguing type of dwarfism with increased plasma levels of GH (Laron, Pertzelian, and Mannheimer, 1966) is due to unresponsiveness to normal HGH, or to the production of an abnormal and inactive form of HGH. It gives occasionally misleading values (Prader et al., 1964a; Joss, Rossi, Zahnd, and Zuppingner, 1966), as do the other tests. An interesting point is the observation that N-retention with 10 mg. HGH Raben (Hubble, 1966, 1967; Brown et al., 1967) is the same as with 2 mg. HGH Raben (Prader et al., 1964a). This confirms the assumption that the dose response curve is asymptotic, and that 2 mg. are in or near the physiological range. In an extension of this short-term metabolic HGH test, Prader, Zachmann, Foley, and Illig (1967a) have recently shown that the serum-ku-amino-N increases and the a-amino-N clearance decreases in hypopituitary dwarfs but not in control children. These results reflect in part an increased transport of amino acids through the cell membranes. Other parameters, like the decrease of serum urea, the increase of serum phosphorus, and the increase of urinary calcium, are not significantly different in the two groups.

The GH response to hypoglycaemia and the N response to GH are generally regarded as fairly good tests for distinguishing hypopituitary from non-hypopituitary dwarfs. This cannot be said for the insulin tolerance test: in hypopituitarism this test frequently but not always reveals increased sensitivity of plasma glucose to insulin, or more strictly, a decreased responsiveness of plasma glucose to insulin-induced hypoglycaemia, i.e. a retarded return of plasma glucose towards fasting values (Fraser, Albright, and Smith, 1941). Since insulin should be given intravenously, there is some danger of severe hypoglycaemia, requiring close observation of the patient and intravenous glucose if serious symptoms develop. In the hands of some investigators this test, or a modification of it, has proved a useful screening test for recognizing GH deficiency (Prader et al., 1964a; Trygstad, 1965), while others find mostly normal results in patients with GH deficiency (Hubble, 1967; Stimmmer and Brown, 1967; Clayton et al., 1967). It may be that hypoglycaemia unresponsiveness is only found when GH and cortisol are lacking simultaneously. This hypothesis is supported by the normal results obtained on applying this test to patients with isolated ACTH deficiency (Odel, 1966), to patients with Addison's disease that are DOC treated and are well nourished (Fajans, 1961), and to patients with GH deficiency but with normal or increased cortisol response to insulin-induced hypoglycaemia (Stimmmer and Brown, 1967). In hypopituitary dwarfs, nonresponsiveness to hypoglycaemia can be corrected by one injection of HGH Raben 2 mg./m.

Another diagnostic test proposed is the growth response to long-term treatment with HGH. In hypopituitary dwarfs there is a sharp increase in growth velocity from pretreatment values of 1-4 cm. per year to values of 5-12 cm. during the first year of treatment (Raben, 1962, 1965; Soyka et al., 1964; Prader et al., 1964a; Wright, Brasil, Aceto, Finkelstein, Kenny, Spaulding, and Blizard, 1965; Seip and Trygstad, 1966; Prader, Zachmann, Foley, Illig, and Székely, 1967a; Mason and Tanner, 1967), whereas no such acceleration has been observed in non-hypopituitary dwarfs. This test is unfortunately unreliable because of the frequent development of HGH antibodies (Trafford, Lillicrap, and Lessof, 1963; Prader, Wagner, Székely, Illig, Touber, and Maingay, 1964b; Parker, Mariz, and Daughaday, 1964). The development of antibodies during the first months of treatment in sufficient concentration to block the effect of supposedly physiological amounts of HGH has been observed in 8 out of 19 patients (Prader et al., 1967c) treated with HGH Raben, and in at least one patient treated with HGH Li (Frasier and Smith, 1966). The antibodies have
always appeared during the first 6-9 months of treatment and never later. Since normal HGH should not stimulate the development of antibodies in man, it seems likely that certain extraction and lyophilization procedures alter the HGH molecule, making it antigenic without affecting its biological activity. In this connexion it is interesting to note that growth resistance suggesting GH suppressing antibodies has not been observed in the 12 patients treated with HGH Roos (Seip and Trygstad, 1966).
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